

**IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO
ELEVENTH APPELLATE DISTRICT
LAKE COUNTY**

STATE OF OHIO,
CITY OF PAINESVILLE,

Plaintiff-Appellee,

- vs -

CHRISTOPHER J. CISCO,

Defendant-Appellant.

CASE NOS. 2023-L-082
2023-L-083
2023-L-084

Criminal Appeals from the
Painesville Municipal Court

Trial Court Nos. 2012 CRB 02686 A
2012 CRB 02686 B
2012 CRB 02686 C

MEMORANDUM
OPINION

Decided: September 18, 2023
Judgment: Appeals dismissed

Joseph Hada, Painesville City Prosecutor, 1392 Som Center Road, Mayfield Heights, OH 44124 (For Plaintiff-Appellee).

Christopher J. Cisco, pro se, 901 Southwind Road, Springfield, IL 62703 (Defendant-Appellant).

MARY JANE TRAPP, J.

{¶1} On August 25, 2023, appellant, Christopher J. Cisco, pro se, filed a notice of appeal from a January 18, 2023 entry denying his motion to seal his record and a February 9, 2023 entry denying his motion to reconsider sealing of his record.

{¶2} A timely notice of appeal from the January 18, 2023 entry was due no later than February 17, 2023, and a timely notice of appeal from the February 9, 2023 entry

was due no later than March 13, 2023, which were not holidays or weekends. Both appeals are untimely by over 5 months.

{¶3} “* * * [A] party who wishes to appeal from an order that is final upon its entry shall file the notice of appeal required by App.R. 3 within 30 days of that entry.” App.R. 4(A)(1).

{¶4} Because the appeals were untimely filed, this court lacks jurisdiction. Thus, the appeals are dismissed, sua sponte, as being untimely.

JOHN J. EKLUND, P.J.,

EUGENE A. LUCCI, J.,

concur.