

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

February 2, 2024

[Cite as *02/02/2024 Case Announcements #2, 2024-Ohio-355.*]

MERIT DECISIONS WITHOUT OPINIONS

2023-1387. McCoy v. Gonzales-Wells.

In Prohibition. On respondents' motion to dismiss. Motion granted. Respondents' motion to strike denied as moot. Relator's motion to dismiss and motion to dismiss that motion denied as moot. Respondents' motion to deem relator a vexatious litigator granted. Relator, Charles McCoy, found to be a vexatious litigator under S.Ct.Prac.R. 4.03(B). Accordingly, Charles McCoy prohibited from continuing or instituting legal proceedings in this court without first obtaining leave. Any request for leave shall be submitted to the clerk of this court for the court's review. Cause dismissed.

Kennedy, C.J., and Stewart, J., concur.

Fischer and DeWine, JJ., concur in part and dissent in part and would grant respondents' motion to strike.

Donnelly and Brunner, JJ., concur in part and dissent in part and would deny respondents' motion to deem relator a vexatious litigator.

Deters, J., concurs in part and dissents in part and would deny respondents' motion to strike on the merits.

2023-1483. McCoy v. Land-Libby.

In Prohibition. On respondent's motion to dismiss. Motion granted. Respondent's motion to strike denied. Respondent's motion to deem relator a vexatious litigator granted. Relator, Charles McCoy, found to be a vexatious litigator under S.Ct.Prac.R. 4.03(B). Accordingly, Charles McCoy prohibited from continuing or instituting legal proceedings in this court without first obtaining leave. Any request for leave shall be submitted to the clerk of this court for the court's review.

Relator's motion for leave denied as moot. Relator's motion to dismiss and motion to dismiss that motion denied as moot. Cause dismissed.

Kennedy, C.J., and Fischer, JJ., concur.

Stewart, J., concurs but would deny respondent's motion to strike as moot.

DeWine, J., concurs in part and dissents in part and would grant respondent's motion to strike and deny relator's motion for leave on the merits.

Donnelly and Deters, JJ., concur in part and dissent in part and would deny respondent's motion to deem relator a vexatious litigator.

Brunner, J., concurs in part and dissents in part and would deny respondent's motion to strike as moot and would deny respondent's motion to deem relator a vexatious litigator.