

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

February 23, 2023

[Cite as *02/23/2023 Case Announcements, 2023-Ohio-520.*]

---

## MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

**2022-0723. Robinson v. McConahay, Slip Opinion No. 2023-Ohio-498.**

Richland App. No. 2022 CA 0033. Judgment affirmed. Appellant's motion for summary judgment denied.

Kennedy, C.J., and Fischer, DeWine, Donnelly, Stewart, Brunner, and Deters, JJ., concur.

**2022-0814. Westerfield v. Bracy, Slip Opinion No. 2023-Ohio-499.**

Trumbull App. No. 2022-T-0012, [2022-Ohio-1904](#). Judgment affirmed.

Kennedy, C.J., and Fischer, DeWine, Donnelly, Brunner, and Deters, JJ., concur.

Stewart, J., concurs in judgment only.

**2022-1157. State ex rel. Boler v. McCarthy, Slip Opinion No. 2023-Ohio-500.**

Athens App. No. 22CA12. Judgment affirmed.

Kennedy, C.J., and Fischer, DeWine, Donnelly, Stewart, Brunner, and Deters, JJ., concur.

## MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

**2022-1029. In re Estate of Ohio Republic v. Hamilton Cty. Courthouse.**

In Mandamus and Prohibition. Sua sponte, relator ordered to show cause within 14 days why this case should not be dismissed for failure to perfect service in accordance with Civ.R. 4(E) as to respondents Sheriff Office–Hamilton County;

Clunk Hoose Co., L.P.A.; Laura C. Infante; Leann Covey; Lawrence C. Baron, Jason A. Whitacre; James A. Tully, Select Portfolio Servicing, Inc.; and Lereta, L.L.C.

**2022-1030. In re Estate of Ohio Republic v. Hamilton Cty. Courthouse.**

In Mandamus and Prohibition. Sua sponte, relator ordered to show cause within 14 days why this case should not be dismissed for failure to perfect service in accordance with Civ.R. 4(E) as to respondents Sheriff Office–Hamilton County, Ohio; Clunk Hoose Co., L.P.A.; and AP Rimextex, L.L.C.

**2022-1496. State ex rel. Sultaana v. Ohio Health Marion Gen. Hosp.**

In Mandamus. On relator’s motion for leave to amend complaint. Motion granted.

**2022-1553. Johnson v. Watson.**

In Habeas Corpus. On petitioner’s motion for prior actions. Motion denied as moot.

**2023-0246. Kent v. Lusane.**

Portage App. No. 2022-P-0011, [2022-Ohio-4057](#). Appellant’s memorandum in support of jurisdiction fails to comply with S.Ct.Prac.R. 7.02(B) (a memorandum “shall not exceed fifteen numbered pages, exclusive of the table of contents and certificate of service”). Sua sponte, pages 17 through 22 of the memorandum in support of jurisdiction, exclusive of the certificate of service on page 22, stricken.