

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

October 26, 2023

[Cite as *10/26/2023 Case Announcements*, 2023-Ohio-3868.]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

In re Cases Held for *State v. Hacker* and *State v. Simmons*, Slip Opinion No. [2023-Ohio-3863](#).

The judgments of the courts of appeals in the following cases are affirmed on the authority of *State v. Hacker*, __ Ohio St.3d __, 2023-Ohio-2535, __ N.E.3d __ : 2021-0591, *State v. Sinkhorn*; 2021-0996, *State v. Rodriguez*; 2022-0328, *State v. Whetstone*; 2022-0335, *State v. Delvallie*, 2022-0341, *State v. Green*; 2022-0393, *State v. McCalpine*; 2022-0394, *State v. Hardy*; 2022-0395, *State v. Winkler*; 2022-0400, *State v. Campbell*; 2022-0414, *State v. Gamble*; 2022-0415, *State v. Sealey*; 2022-0429, *State v. Jenkins*; 2022-0433, *State v. Hardin-Rogers*; 2022-0434, *State v. Coleman*; 2022-0435, *State v. Reed*; 2022-0451, *State v. Gopar*; 2022-0452, *State v. Hines*; 2022-0453, *State v. Davidson*; 2022-0454, *State v. Polk*; 2022-0455, *State v. Anderson*; 2022-0467, *State v. Gilmer*; 2022-0468, *State v. Taylor*; 2022-0469, *State v. Drewery*; 2022-0470, *State v. Aldridge*; 2022-0471, *State v. McCarver*; 2022-0477, *State v. Whittenberg*; 2022-0480, *State v. Sitgraves*; 2022-0484, *State v. Debose*; 2022-0486, *State v. Corrigan*; 2022-0489, *State v. Young*; 2022-0490, *State v. Patterson*; 2022-0491, *State v. Jackson*; 2022-0492, *State v. Cambria*; 2022-0494, *State v. Cambria*; 2022-0495, *State v. Wurtz*; 2022-0496, *State v. Reed*; 2022-0498, *State v. Tolliver*; 2022-0499, *State v. Gillespie*; 2022-0500, *State v. Houchens*; 2022-0501, *State v. Sender*; 2022-0503, *State v. Bell*; 2022-0516, *State v. McGlothin*; 2022-0517, *State v. Mitchell*; 2022-0520, *State v. Ransom*; 2022-0522, *State v. Bradley*; 2022-0524, *State v. Walker*; 2022-0537, *State v. Primm*; 2022-0539, *State v. Perry*; 2022-0540, *State v. Mitchell*; 2022-0542, *State v. Durand*; 2022-0544, *State v. Harris*; 2022-0549, *State v. Dudas*; 2022-0551, *State v. Holsey*; 2022-0553, *State v. Daniel*; 2022-0577, *State v. Davis*; 2022-0588, *State v. Sanders*; 2022-0590, *State v. Hunter*; 2022-0613, *State v.*

Parker; 2022-0621, *State v. Byrd*; 2022-0622, *State v. Daniel*; 2022-0623, *State v. Cloud*; 2022-0647, *State v. D.S.*; 2022-0648, *State v. Thomas*; 2022-0649, *State v. Watkins*; 2022-0650, *State v. Turner*; 2022-0651, *State v. Riemer*; 2022-0665, *State v. Toney*; 2022-0676, *State v. Vince*; 2022-0681, *State v. Ratliff*; 2022-0682, *State v. Butts*; 2022-0725, *State v. Burris*; 2022-0742, *State v. Brown*; 2022-0758, *State v. Boyd*; 2022-0768, *State v. Bond*; 2022-0769, *State v. Philpot*; 2022-0804, *State v. Smith*; 2022-0815, *State v. Scott*; 2022-0820, *State v. Alexander*; 2022-0856, *State v. Hope*; 2022-0858, *State v. Clausing*; 2022-0869, *State v. Doughty*; 2022-0873, *State v. McNary*; 2022-0887, *State v. Davis*; 2022-0935, *State v. Nicholson*; 2022-0967, *State v. Johnson*; 2022-0971, *State v. Colon*; 2022-0981, *State v. Jones*; 2022-0990, *State v. Heard*; 2022-1013, *State v. Sharp*; 2022-1014, *State v. Darden*; 2022-1021, *State v. Bolden*; 2022-1024, *State v. Beatty*; 2022-1065, *State v. Thompson*; 2022-1096, *State v. Fields*; 2022-1122, *State v. Kimes*; 2022-1137, *State v. Clark*; 2022-1139, *State v. Torres*; 2022-1144, *State v. Waters*; 2022-1158, *State v. Gettings*; 2022-1159, *State v. Shepard*; 2022-1162, *State v. Brown*; 2022-1167, *State v. Crawford*; 2022-1200, *State v. R.W.*; 2022-1231, *State v. Carano*; 2022-1266, *State v. Dowdell*; 2022-1283, *State v. Pugh*; 2022-1287, *State v. Llapur*; 2022-1293, *State v. Harper*; 2022-1326, *State v. Long*; 2022-1365, *State v. Knox*; 2022-1366, *State v. Reffitt*; 2022-1380, *State v. Thomason*; 2022-1393, *State v. Joyce*; 2022-1399, *State v. Washington*; 2022-1429, *State v. Brown*; 2022-1430, *State v. Day*; 2022-1445, *State v. Allen*; 2022-1455, *State v. Bryant*; 2022-1456, *State v. Eggleton*; 2022-1459, *State v. Morris*; 2022-1472, *State v. Wells*; 2022-1508, *State v. Stevens*; 2022-1550, *State v. Woods*; 2022-1597, *State v. Collins*; 2023-0020, *State v. Thornton*; 2023-0029, *State v. Sharp*; 2023-0031, *State v. Bradford*; 2023-0032, *State v. Stearns*; 2023-0033, *State v. Allen*; 2023-0074, *State v. Milton*; 2023-0094, *State v. Terry*; 2023-0127, *State v. Glaze*; 2023-0128, *State v. Lovelace*; 2023-0129, *State v. Rodgers*; 2023-0272, *State v. Rogers*; 2023-0277, *State v. Graves*; 2023-0278. *State v. White*; 2023-0281, *State v. Howard*; 2023-0322, *State v. Roberts*; 2023-0377, *State v. Murray*; 2023-0380, *State v. Jordan*; 2023-0392, *State v. Thomas*; 2023-0434, *State v. Allen*; 2023-0457, *State v. Dotson*; 2023-0460, *State v. Potter*; 2023-0533, *State v. Rice*; 2023-0537, *State v. Barker*; 2023-0565, *State v. Dotson*; 2023-0570, *State v. Bell*; 2023-0604, *State v. Taylor*; 2023-0622, *State v. Riggins*; and 2023-0684, *State v. Freshwater*.

The causes in the following cases are dismissed as having been improvidently accepted: 2022-0147, *State v. Patterson*; 2022-0969, *State v. Giancaterino*; and 2022-1146, *State v. Harrison*.

Kennedy, C.J., and Fischer, DeWine, Donnelly, Stewart, Brunner, and Deters, JJ., concur.

The judgment of the court of appeals in the following case is affirmed on the authority of *State v. Hacker*, __ Ohio St.3d __, 2023-Ohio-2535, __ N.E.3d __: 2022-1437, *State v. Haynes*.

Kennedy, C.J., and Fischer, DeWine, Donnelly, and Stewart, JJ., concur.

Brunner, J., dissents and would dismiss the cause as having been improvidently accepted.

Deters, J., not participating.

The causes in the following cases are dismissed as having been improvidently accepted: 2022-1088, *State v. Guyton*; 2022-1089, *State v. Mosley*; 2022-1090, *State v. O'Neal*; 2022-1234, *State v. Edwards*; 2022-1250, *State v. Johnson*; 2022-1383, *State v. Bacon*; and 2022-1600, *State v. Reed*.

Kennedy, C.J., and DeWine, Donnelly, and Brunner, JJ., concur.

Fischer and Stewart, JJ., dissent and would order briefing on proposition of law Nos. II, III, and IV in 2022-1088, *State v. Guyton*, and hold the other causes for the decision in *Guyton*.

Deters, J., not participating.

The cause in the following case is dismissed as having been improvidently accepted: 2022-1281, *State v. Fraley*.

Kennedy, C.J., and Fischer, DeWine, and Deters, JJ., concur.

Donnelly, Stewart, and Brunner, JJ., dissent and would order briefing on proposition of law Nos. II, III, and IV.

The causes in the following cases are dismissed as having been improvidently accepted: 2022-1345, *State v. Dixon*; and 2023-0235, *State v. Bruce*.

Kennedy, C.J., and DeWine, Donnelly, Stewart, and Brunner, JJ., concur.

Fischer, J., dissents and would hold the causes for the decision in 2022-1088, *State v. Guyton*.

Deters, J., not participating.

The cause in the following case is dismissed as having been improvidently accepted: 2022-1500, *State v. Heath*.

Kennedy, C.J., and DeWine, Donnelly, and Brunner, JJ., concur.

Stewart, J., dissents and would hold the cause for the decision in 2022-1088, *State v. Guyton*.

Fischer and Deters, JJ., not participating.

2023-0504. State ex rel. Simpson v. Melnick, Slip Opinion No. 2023-Ohio-3864.

Montgomery App. No. 29554, [2023-Ohio-1236](#). Judgment affirmed.

Kennedy, C.J., and Fischer, DeWine, Donnelly, Stewart, Brunner, and Deters, JJ., concur.

DISCIPLINARY CASES

In re Bridgeforth.

On recommendation by the Commission on Continuing Legal Education that respondent be reinstated to the practice of law. Recommendation adopted. Respondent, Henry Clay Bridgeforth, Attorney Registration No. 0038388, reinstated to the practice of law.

1997-2646. Disciplinary Counsel v. Washington.

Sua sponte, William Terry Washington, Attorney Registration No. 0051948, last known business address in Vienna, Virginia, found in contempt for failure to file an affidavit of compliance on or before June 5, 1998.

2011-2049. Disciplinary Counsel v. Seabrook.

Sua sponte, Eric Maurice Seabrook, Attorney Registration No. 0069118, last known business address in Columbus, Ohio, found in contempt for failure to surrender his attorney-registration card and failure to file an affidavit of compliance on or before October 9, 2012.

2023-1178. Disciplinary Counsel v. Crossin.

On certified order of United States Department of Justice Executive Office for Immigration Review Board of Immigration Appeals, No. D2023-0033. Amy Marie Etoll Crossin, Attorney Registration No. 0093856, last known address in Lithopolis, Ohio, suspended from the practice of law for 30 days. Respondent will not be reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio until such time as respondent is

reinstated to the practice of law before the Board of Immigration Appeals, the Immigration Courts, and the Department of Homeland Security.