

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

April 14, 2022

[Cite as *04/14/2022 Case Announcements #3, 2022-Ohio-1249.*]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2021-1193, 2021-1198, and 2021-1210. League of Women Voters of Ohio v. Ohio Redistricting Comm., Slip Opinion No. 2022-Ohio-1235.

On complaints invoking this court's original jurisdiction pursuant to Article XI, Section 9 of the Ohio Constitution. Petitioners' objections to the Ohio Redistricting Commission's third revised General Assembly–district plan under Article XI, Sections 6(A) and 6(B) of the Ohio Constitution sustained. Third revised plan declared invalid in its entirety. The Ohio Redistricting Commission shall be reconstituted, shall convene, and shall draft and adopt an entirely new General Assembly–district plan that meets the requirements of the Ohio Constitution, including Article XI, Sections 6(A) and 6(B).

The Ohio Redistricting Commission shall file the district plan with the secretary of state by 9:00 a.m. on May 6, 2022, and shall file a copy of that plan with this court by 12:00 p.m. on the same date. This court retains jurisdiction for the purpose of reviewing the new plan.

Petitioners shall file objections, if any, to the new plan by 9:00 a.m. three days after the new plan is filed with this court. Respondents shall file responses to the objections by 9:00 a.m. three days after the objections are filed. If the deadline for the objections or responses falls on a Saturday, Sunday, or holiday, the objections or responses shall be filed by 9:00 a.m. on the next business day. Petitioners shall not file a reply or any motion for leave to file a reply. The clerk of this court shall refuse to accept any filings under this paragraph that are untimely or prohibited.

No requests or stipulations for extension of time for the objections or responses shall be filed, and the clerk shall refuse to file any requests or

stipulations for extension of time. For good cause shown, the commission may file a motion for extension of time to file the district plan with the secretary of state.

Petitioners' requests for additional relief denied.

O'Connor, C.J., and Stewart and Brunner, JJ., concur.

Donnelly, J., concurs, with an opinion.

Kennedy, J., dissents, with an opinion.

Fischer, J., dissents, with an opinion and joins paragraphs 151, 152, 157, and 158 of Justice DeWine's dissenting opinion.

DeWine, J., dissents, with an opinion joined by Kennedy, J.