

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

June 21, 2016

[Cite as *06/21/2016 Case Announcements #2, 2016-Ohio-3538.*]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2015-1470. State ex rel. Ford v. Ruehlman, Slip Opinion No. 2016-Ohio-3529.
In Mandamus and Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for writs of mandamus and prohibition.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that a peremptory writ of prohibition is granted to order respondent, Hamilton County Common Pleas Court Judge Robert Ruehlman, to vacate his orders, consistent with the opinion rendered herein.

It is further ordered by the court that the request for a writ of mandamus is denied.

It is further ordered by the court that intervening respondents' motion for leave to file a memorandum in opposition to relator's motion to join Linda Brumley as a co-relator and intervening respondents' motion for leave to file a memorandum in opposition to relator's "notice of respondent's latest order" are granted.

It is further ordered by the court that relator's motion to join Linda Brumley as co-relator, respondent's motion for judgment on pleadings, intervening respondents' motion for judgment on the pleadings and respondent's motion to dismiss are denied.

It is further ordered by the court that relator's motion for alternative writ and intervening respondents' motion to vacate the September 17, 2015 order staying the Hamilton County action are denied as moot.

Costs assessed to respondent.

O'Connor, C.J., and O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Kennedy, and French, JJ., concur.

Pfeifer, J., dissents with an opinion.

O'Neill, J., not participating.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2015-1470. State ex rel. Ford v. Ruhlman.

In Mandamus and Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for writs of mandamus and prohibition.

Upon consideration of relator's motion for emergency relief, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

Pfeifer, J., dissents.

O'Donnell, J., dissents, because the court has no jurisdiction over the newly filed case and there is no statement regarding bond submitted by movant.

O'Neill, J., not participating.