

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

September 24, 2015

[Cite as *09/24/2015 Case Announcements*, 2015-Ohio-3871.]

---

## MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

**2014-0164. Stewart v. Lockland School Dist. Bd. of Edn., Slip Opinion No. 2015-Ohio-3839.**

Hamilton App. No. C-130263, 2013-Ohio-5513. Judgment affirmed.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lanzinger, Kennedy, French, and O'Neill, JJ., concur.

O'Donnell, J., dissents and would dismiss the cause as improvidently allowed.

## AFFIDAVITS OF DISQUALIFICATION

The chief justice has released the following judicial disqualification opinions, which were previously issued as entries in response to affidavits of disqualification filed pursuant to R.C. 2701.03.

**15-AP-036. In re Disqualification of Park, Slip Opinion No. 2015-Ohio-3840 (decided Apr. 21, 2015).**

## MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

**In re Suldaana.**

On August 26, 2015, this court found Hakeem Suldaana to be a vexatious litigator under S.Ct.Prac.R. 4.03(B). This court further ordered that Suldaana was prohibited from continuing or instituting legal proceedings in this court without

first obtaining leave. On September 18, 2015, Sultaana submitted a “motion to leave for motion for reconsideration.”

It is ordered by the court that the motion for leave is denied.

## **DISCIPLINARY CASES**

### **2011-1017. Disciplinary Counsel v. Hall.**

On application for reinstatement of Eric Dorman Hall, Attorney Registration No. 0067566. Application is granted, respondent is reinstated to the practice of law in Ohio, and placed on probation for a period of one year in accordance with Gov.Bar R. V(21) and consistent with the opinion rendered herein on March 1, 2012.

### **2015-0481. Disciplinary Counsel v. Hoskins.**

This cause came on for further consideration upon co-relator’s filing of a motion to hold respondent in contempt.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by this court, sua sponte, that respondent, Robert Hansford Hoskins, show cause by filing a written response with the clerk of this court on or before ten days from the date of this order why respondent should not be held in contempt.

### **2015-1440. Trumbull Cty. Bar Assn. v. Roland.**

This cause is pending before the court upon the filing of a motion to show cause by relator, Trumbull County Bar Association Certified Grievance Committee.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by this court that the motion is granted to the extent that respondent, David Keith Roland, show cause by filing a written response with the clerk of this court on or before ten days from the date of this order why respondent should not be held in contempt.