

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

June 29, 2015

[Cite as *06/29/2015 Case Announcements*, 2015-Ohio-2567.]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2013-0846. State v. Cassano.

Richland App. No. 12CA55, 2013-Ohio-1783. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal.

Upon consideration of appellant's pro se emergency motion to remove Michael J. Benza, it is ordered by the court that the motion is denied as moot.

2015-0386. Crutchfield, Inc. v. Testa.

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 2012-926, 2012-3068, and 2013-2021. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals.

Upon consideration of the parties' joint motion to supplement the record on appeal, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted. The deposition transcripts of Eric Goldman, Ashkan Soltani, and Joseph G. Turow that are attached to the joint motion to supplement are hereby deemed part of the record on appeal. It is further ordered that the errata sheets for the transcripts of the depositions of Jason McCartney and Richard Stavitski that are attached to the joint motion to supplement are hereby deemed part of the record on appeal.

2015-1043. State v. Bell.

Hamilton App. No. C-140345, 2015-Ohio-1711. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal.

Review of appellant's memorandum in support of jurisdiction reveals that it fails to comply with S.Ct.Prac.R. 7.02(B)(1), which requires that a memorandum "shall not exceed fifteen numbered pages, exclusive of the table of contents and certificate of service." Therefore, it is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that pages 15 through 25 of the memorandum in support of jurisdiction are stricken, as they

are incorrectly numbered and are in excess of the 15-page limit imposed on content for a memorandum in support of jurisdiction.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2015-0680. State ex rel. Baisden v. Ohio Dept. of Rehab. & Corr.

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus.

Upon consideration of relator's application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.