

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

November 24, 2014

[Cite as *11/24/2014 Case Announcements*, 2014-Ohio-5178.]

---

### SLIP OPINIONS REPLACED BY OHIO OFFICIAL REPORTS VERSIONS AS OF NOVEMBER 24, 2014

The official versions of the opinions listed below, which were previously released as slip opinions, have been published in the November 24, 2014 Ohio Official Reports advance sheet. These opinions should now be cited using the Ohio Official Reports citation format.

**2011-0093. State v. Ketterer, 140 Ohio St.3d 400, 2014-Ohio-3973.**

**2012-1484. In re Fuel Adjustment Clauses for Columbus S. Power Co. & Ohio Power Co., 140 Ohio St.3d 352, 2014-Ohio-3764.**

**2012-1611. State v. Wine, 140 Ohio St.3d 409, 2014-Ohio-3948.**

**2013-0713. In re Foreclosure of Liens for Delinquent Land Taxes v. Parcels of Land Encumbered with Delinquent Tax Liens, 140 Ohio St.3d 346, 2014-Ohio-3656.**

**2013-0761. Renfrow v. Norfolk S. Ry. Co., 140 Ohio St.3d 371, 2014-Ohio-3666.**

**2013-1626. Cleveland Metro. Bar Assn. v. McElroy, 140 Ohio St.3d 391, 2014-Ohio-3774.**

**2013-1665. State ex rel. Kirkpatrick v. Rice, 140 Ohio St.3d 398, 2014-Ohio-3958.**

**2013-1743. Cincinnati Bar Assn. v. Seibel, 140 Ohio St.3d 1242, 2014-Ohio-3795.**

**2013-1944. Disciplinary Counsel v. Marsteller, 140 Ohio St.3d 1244, 2014-Ohio-3796.**

**2013-1984. Cincinnati Bar Assn. v. Damon, 140 Ohio St.3d 383, 2014-Ohio-3765.**

**2014-1292. Disciplinary Counsel v. Matsa, 140 Ohio St.3d 1250, 2014-Ohio-4076.**

**2014-1393. Cleveland Metro. Bar Assn. v. Weber, 140 Ohio St.3d 1252, 2014-Ohio-4241.**

**2014-1564. In re Armengau, 140 Ohio St.3d 1247, 2014-Ohio-3940.**

**2014-1565. State ex rel. Dawson v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Elections, 140 Ohio St.3d 406, 2014-Ohio-4145.**

## **MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS**

**2014-1290. In re Comm. Rev. of Ohio Adm.Code Chapter 4901:1-10 regarding Elec. Cos.**

Public Utilities Commission, No. 12-2050-EL-ORD. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

Upon consideration of the joint motion to stay the briefing schedule, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted. It is further ordered that the parties shall notify the court within 60 days from the date of this entry of the status and whether the stay may be lifted.