

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

October 15, 2014

[Cite as *10/15/2014 Case Announcements*, 2014-Ohio-4550.]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2013-1176. State ex rel. Paluch v. Zita, Slip Opinion No. 2014-Ohio-4529.

Summit App. No. 26849. Judgment affirmed.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lanzinger, and O'Neill, JJ., concur.

O'Donnell, Kennedy, and French, JJ., dissent.

2013-1994. State ex rel. Luoma v. Russo, Slip Opinion No. 2014-Ohio-4532.

Cuyahoga App. No. 99844, 2013-Ohio-5033. Judgment affirmed.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Kennedy, French, and O'Neill, JJ., concur.

2013-2017. State ex rel. Johnson v. McClelland, Slip Opinion No. 2014-Ohio-4533.

Cuyahoga App. No. 100427, 2013-Ohio-5442. Judgment affirmed.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Kennedy, French, and O'Neill, JJ., concur.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2013-2026. In re Rev. of Alternative Energy Rider Contained in Tariffs of Ohio Edison Co.

Public Utilities Commission, No. 11-5201-EL-RDR. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

Upon consideration of the motion for admission pro hac vice of Madeline Fleisher, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted. Pursuant to Gov.Bar R. XII(4), counsel shall file a notice of permission to appear pro hac vice with the

Supreme Court's Office of Attorney Services within 30 days of the date of this entry.

2014-1690. State ex rel. Bank of New York Mellon v. Martin.

In Mandamus and Prohibition. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus and prohibition.

Upon consideration of the amended motions for admission pro hac vice of Christopher J. Houpt, Matthew D. Ingber, and Michael D. Martinez, it is ordered by the court that the motions are granted. Pursuant to Gov.Bar R. XII(4), counsel shall file notices of permission to appear pro hac vice with the Supreme Court's Office of Attorney Services within 30 days of the date of this entry.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2013-1817. PAK Holdings, L.L.C. v. Testa.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2012-438. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals.

Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.