

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

October 9, 2014

[Cite as *10/09/2014 Case Announcements*, 2014-Ohio-4465.]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2013-0394. State ex rel. Mun. Constr. Equip. Operators' Labor Council v. Cleveland, Slip Opinion No. 2014-Ohio-4364.

Cuyahoga App. No. 98516, 2013-Ohio-374. Judgment reversed and writ granted.

Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Kennedy, and O'Neill, JJ., concur.

French, J., concurs in judgment only.

O'Connor, C.J., and Lanzinger, J., dissent.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2014-0779. Cincinnati School Dist. Bd. of Edn. v. Hamilton Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2011-3042. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals.

Upon consideration of the joint motion to remand the appeal to the Board of Tax Appeals to implement a settlement agreement, it is ordered by the court that the cause is remanded to the Board of Tax Appeals to take further action as appropriate.

2014-1472. G6 Hospitality Property, L.L.C. v. Stark Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2013-6250. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals.

Upon consideration of the joint motion to remand the appeal to the Board of Tax Appeals to implement a settlement agreement, it is ordered by the court that

the cause is remanded to the Board of Tax Appeals to take further action as appropriate.

2014-1473. G6 Hospitality Property, L.L.C. v. Lucas Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2013-4856. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals.

Upon consideration of the joint motion to remand the appeal to the Board of Tax Appeals to implement a settlement agreement, it is ordered by the court that the cause is remanded to the Board of Tax Appeals to take further action as appropriate.

DISCIPLINARY CASES

2014-1516. Lorain Cty. Bar Assn. v. Awadallah.

On certification of default. Rami Majed Awadallah, Attorney Registration No. 0079468, is suspended from the practice of law for an interim period.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2013-1366. TCG Buckeye Westbelt 2771-2779, L.L.C. v. Franklin Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2011-Q-710. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals.

Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

2013-1367. TCG Buckeye Westbelt 2771-2779, L.L.C. v. Franklin Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2011-Q-711. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals.

Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

2013-2024. Lorain City School Dist. Bd. of Edn. v. Lorain Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 2011-3034 and 2011-3035. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals.

Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

2014-0749. School Choice Ohio, Inc. v. Cincinnati Pub. School Dist.

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus.

Upon consideration of relator's application for partial dismissal of case, with respect to respondent Cincinnati Public School District only, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed as to Cincinnati Public School District.

2014-0879. 1828 W. Coast Invest. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2013-3983. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. The records of this court indicate that appellants have not filed a merit brief, due October 1, 2014, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio and therefore have failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that this cause is dismissed.