

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

February 26, 2013

[Cite as *02/26/2013 Case Announcements*, 2013-Ohio-659.]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2011-0122. State v. Hardin.

Pike App. No. 10CA803, 2010-Ohio-6304. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal.

Upon consideration of appellant's motion for appointment of counsel, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted and the Ohio Public Defender's Office is appointed to represent appellant.

2012-1410. State v. Long.

Hamilton App. No. C-110160, 2012-Ohio-3052. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Hamilton County.

Upon consideration of appellant's motion to supplement the record with the presentence investigation report under seal and to grant leave to counsel for both sides to view and copy the document, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted, in part.

It is further ordered that the clerk of court for Hamilton County shall supplement the record with the presentence investigation report under seal, within 20 days of the date of this entry.

It is further ordered by the court that counsel for both sides are granted leave to view the document once it is filed with the clerk of this court, but counsel shall not copy the document.

2012-1833. State v. Billiter.

Monroe App. No. 10 MO 5, 2012-Ohio-4551. This cause came for further consideration upon the filing of appellant's motion for expedited stay. Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that the motion is denied as moot.

2013-0162. McCarthy v. Sterling Chems., Inc.

Hamilton App. Nos. C-110805 and C-110856, 2012-Ohio-5211. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal.

Upon review of the memorandum in response to jurisdiction of Sterling Chemicals, Inc., it is evident that Jack C. Brock and Fred D. Raschke have not filed motions for admission pro hac vice pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 2.02. Therefore, it is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that Jack C. Brock and Fred D. Raschke are stricken from the memorandum in response to jurisdiction of Sterling Chemicals, Inc., for failure to comply with S.Ct.Prac.R. 2.02 and Gov.Bar R. XII(2)(A)(6)(a) through (e).

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2012-2033. Brown v. Levin.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2010-K-2871. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals.

Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.