

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

February 19, 2013

[Cite as *02/19/2013 Case Announcements*, 2013-Ohio-514.]

SLIP OPINIONS REPLACED BY OHIO OFFICIAL REPORTS VERSIONS AS OF FEBRUARY 18, 2013

The official versions of the opinions listed below, which were previously released as slip opinions, have been published in the February 18, 2013 Ohio Official Reports advance sheet. These opinions should now be cited using the Ohio Official Reports citation format.

2011-0486. State v. Emerson, 134 Ohio St.3d 191, 2012-Ohio-5047.

2011-0859. Stockberger v. Henry, 134 Ohio St.3d 213, 2012-Ohio-5392.

2011-1392. JNT Properties, L.L.C. v. KeyBank Natl. Assn., 134 Ohio St.3d 209, 2012-Ohio-5369.

2011-1520. In re Application of McKinney, 134 Ohio St.3d 260, 2012-Ohio-5635.

2011-2013. Hewitt v. L.E. Myers Co., 134 Ohio St.3d 199, 2012-Ohio-5317.

2011-2073 and 2011-2160. LeBlanc v. Wells Fargo, 134 Ohio St.3d 250, 2012-Ohio-5458.

2012-0019. Wilson v. Kasich, 134 Ohio St.3d 221, 2012-Ohio-5367.

2012-0428. In re Application of Zimmerman, 134 Ohio St.3d 268, 2012-Ohio-5644.

2012-0681. Disciplinary Counsel v. Edwards, 134 Ohio St.3d 271, 2012-Ohio-5643.

2012-1023. Dayton Bar Assn. v. Matlock, 134 Ohio St.3d 276, 2012-Ohio-5638.

2012-1341. Trumbull Cty. Bar Assn. v. Rucker, 134 Ohio St.3d 282, 2012-Ohio-5642.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2012-2182. In re Mercantile Customer Pilot Program for Integration of Customer Energy Efficiency or Peak-Demand Reduction Programs.

Public Utilities Commission, No. 10-834-EL-POR. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

Upon consideration of the motions for leave to intervene as appellees of the Ohio Energy Group, Ohio Edison Company, the Cleveland Electric Illuminating Company, the Toledo Edison Company, and Industrial Energy Users-Ohio, it is ordered by the court that the motions are granted. Upon consideration of the Environmental Law & Policy Center's motion for leave to intervene as an appellant, it is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2012-2154. Shelly Co. v. Karas Properties, Inc.

Cuyahoga App. No. 98039, 2012-Ohio-5416. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal.

Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

MEDIATION MATTERS

The following cases have been referred to mediation pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 19.01(A):

2012-2120. Fabiano v. Tuscarawas Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2012-Q-1431.

2013-0149. Apple Group Ltd. v. Medina Cty. Bd. of Revision.
Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2009-K-2101.