

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

February 4, 2013

[Cite as *02/04/2013 Case Announcements*, 2013-Ohio-310.]

SLIP OPINIONS REPLACED BY OHIO OFFICIAL REPORTS VERSIONS AS OF FEBRUARY 4, 2013

The official versions of the opinions listed below, which were previously released as slip opinions, have been published in the February 4, 2013 Ohio Official Reports advance sheet. These opinions should now be cited using the Ohio Official Reports citation format.

2011-0181. Rumpke Sanitary Landfill, Inc. v. Colerain Twp., 134 Ohio St.3d 93, 2012-Ohio-3914.

2011-0672. State ex rel. Knapp v. Indus. Comm., 134 Ohio St.3d 134, 2012-Ohio-5379.

2011-1501. State v. Billiter, 134 Ohio St.3d 103, 2012-Ohio-5144.

2011-1634. Branch v. Cleveland Clinic Found., 134 Ohio St.3d 114, 2012-Ohio-5345.

2011-1925. State v. Jackson, 134 Ohio St.3d 184, 2012-Ohio-5561.

2011-2025. DiFranco v. FirstEnergy Corp., 134 Ohio St.3d 144, 2012-Ohio-5445.

2012-0316. Columbus Bar Assn. v. Stubbs, 134 Ohio St.3d 162, 2012-Ohio-5481.

2012-0426. In re Application of Martin, 134 Ohio St.3d 154, 2012-Ohio-5427.

2012-0429. In re Application of Wilson, 134 Ohio St.3d 168, 2012-Ohio-5480.

2012-0656. Disciplinary Counsel v. Noel, 134 Ohio St.3d 157, 2012-Ohio-5456.

2012-0691. Trumbull Cty. Bar Assn. v. Large, 134 Ohio St.3d 172, 2012-Ohio-5482.

2012-0943. State ex rel. Anderson v. Vermilion, 134 Ohio St.3d 120, 2012-Ohio-5320.

2012-1001. Disciplinary Counsel v. Meyer, 134 Ohio St.3d 180, 2012-Ohio-5487.

2012-1003. Cleveland Metro. Bar Assn. v. Westfall, 134 Ohio St.3d 127, 2012-Ohio-5365.

2012-0655. Ohio State Bar Assn. v. Trivers, 134 Ohio St.3d 139, 2012-Ohio-5389.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2012-1615. McDonald's Corp. v. Union Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Union App. No. 14-12-14, 2012-Ohio-3751. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal.

Upon consideration of appellant's motion for oral argument before a Special Master, it is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

2012-2103. State v. Fairfield.

Cuyahoga App. No. 97466, 2012-Ohio-5060. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal. The records of this court indicate that appellee/cross-appellant has not filed a memorandum in support of jurisdiction for the cross-appeal, due January 16, 2013, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio and therefore has failed to prosecute the cross-appeal with the requisite diligence.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that the cross-appeal is dismissed.

2012-2028. Johnson v. Athens Cty. Court of Common Pleas.

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus.

Upon consideration of the filing of relator's motion for leave to file memorandum contra to the motion to dismiss, it is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that the motion is stricken as prohibited by S.Ct.Prac.R. 4.01(B)(2).

2013-0013. State v. Solomon.

Hamilton App. No. C-120044, 2012-Ohio-5755. This cause is pending before the court as a certified conflict case.

Upon consideration of appellant's motion for stay of the First District Court of Appeals' December 7, 2012 decision, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

2013-0027. State v. Solomon.

Hamilton App. No. C-120044, 2012-Ohio-5755. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal.

Upon consideration of appellant's motion for stay of the First District Court of Appeals' December 7, 2012 decision, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2012-1977. State ex rel. Porterfield v. McKay.

Trumbull App. No. 2012-T-0012, 2012-Ohio-5027. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Trumbull County. The records of this court indicate that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due January 15, 2013, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered by the court that this cause is dismissed.