

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

July 11, 2013

[Cite as *07/11/2013 Case Announcements*, 2013-Ohio-2990.]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

1990-1815. State v. Slagle.

Cuyahoga App. No. 55759. Pursuant to a warrant of reprieve issued by the governor and filed with this court on September 2, 2011, it was ordered that Billy Slagle's sentence be carried into execution on Wednesday, August 7, 2013. In order to facilitate this court's timely consideration of any matters relating to the execution of appellant's sentence:

It is ordered by the court that the chief justice may suspend application of any provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court, including, but not limited to, the filing requirements imposed by S.Ct.Prac.R. 3.02.

It is further ordered that service of documents as required by S.Ct.Prac.R. 3.11 shall be personal, by facsimile transmission, or by e-mail.

It is further ordered that counsel of record for the parties shall provide this court with a copy of any document relating to this matter that is filed in, or issued by, any other court in this state or any federal court, as well as any commutation, pardon, or warrant of reprieve issued by the governor. A copy of the document shall be delivered to the office of the clerk as soon as possible, either personally, by facsimile transmission, or by e-mail.

2012-2151. O'Farrell v. Landis.

This cause originated before the chief justice of this court upon the filing of an election-contest petition pursuant to R.C. 3515.08(B). The entire record of this matter was assembled and filed with the clerk of the Ohio House of Representatives for determination of the election and qualification of its own member under R.C. 3515.14.

The House having determined the election, and on consideration of the contestee's application for costs under R.C. 3515.09, it is ordered that the motion is granted, and costs are awarded in the amount of \$1,704.20. The remaining portion of the bond posted for this case shall be returned to the contestor.

O'Connor, C.J., in chambers.

2013-0276. Eagleview Realty, L.L.C. v. Ashland Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2009-Q-1971. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals. Upon consideration of the joint motion to remand the appeal to the Board of Tax Appeals, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted and this case is remanded to the Board of Tax Appeals so that the board may take further action as appropriate.

It is further ordered that a mandate be sent to the Board of Tax Appeals by certifying a copy of this judgment entry and filing it with the Board of Tax Appeals.

2013-1030. State v. Hadley.

Marion App. No. 9-11-30, 2013-Ohio-1942. This cause is pending before the court as a jurisdictional appeal.

Upon consideration of motions to withdraw the jurisdictional memoranda of amici curiae Richard F. Keck, Norfleet (Bill) Rives, and Daniel Oglevee in support of appellant, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

DISCIPLINARY CASES

2013-0999. Disciplinary Counsel v. Deters.

This cause is pending before the court upon the filing by relator, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel, of a certified copy of a disciplinary order issued by the Supreme Court of Kentucky dated May 23, 2013, in *Kentucky Bar Assn. v. Deters*, case No. 2012-SC-000666-KB. On June 28, 2013, respondent, Eric Charles Deters, filed a response to the show-cause order issued by this court. On July 1, 2013, relator filed a certified copy of an order of the Supreme Court of Kentucky that stayed respondent's suspension in that state pending a ruling on his petition for rehearing or reconsideration.

Upon consideration thereof, it is ordered that proceedings before this court in this case are stayed until the stay issued by the Supreme Court of Kentucky expires and upon further order of this court.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2012-2184. Olmsted Falls Bd. of Edn. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, Nos. 2008-K-2274, 2008-K-2275, 2008-K-2278, and 2008-K-2279. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals.

Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.