

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

March 5, 2012

[Cite as *03/05/2012 Case Announcements*, 2012-Ohio-849.]

---

## SLIP OPINIONS REPLACED BY OHIO OFFICIAL REPORTS VERSIONS AS OF MARCH 5, 2012

The official versions of the opinions listed below, which were previously released as slip opinions, have been published in the March 5, 2012 Ohio Official Reports advance sheet. These opinions should now be cited using the Ohio Official Reports citation format.

**2010-1102. State ex rel. Bilaver v. Indus. Comm., 131 Ohio St.3d 132, 2012-Ohio-26.**

**2010-2158. State v. Carlisle, 131 Ohio St.3d 127, 2011-Ohio-6553.**

## MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

**2011-2005. State v. Dean.**

Clark C.P. No. 05-CR-348. This cause is pending before the court as a death penalty appeal from the Court of Common Pleas of Clark County.

Upon consideration of appellant's motion for extraordinary fees and to allow periodic billing, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

**2011-2146. Sylvania City Schools Bd. of Edn. v. Lucas Cty. Bd. of Revision.**

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2011-M-2524. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Board of Tax Appeals.

Upon consideration of appellee's motion to dismiss, it is ordered by the court that the appellant show cause within 14 days of the date of this entry why this appeal should not be dismissed for lack of jurisdiction on the authority of *Olympic*

*Steel, Inc. v. Cuyahoga Cty. Bd. of Revision*, 110 Ohio St.3d 1242, 2006-Ohio-4091.

## DISCIPLINARY CASES

### **2011-0023. Cincinnati Bar Assn. v. Hauck.**

Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline, No. 10-022. On motion to hold respondent in contempt. Respondent found in contempt. The stay of the suspension of respondent, John Wesche Hauck, Attorney Registration No. 0023153, is revoked and respondent is suspended from the practice of law for six months.

Lundberg Stratton, J., concurs in the finding of contempt but would not revoke the stay of respondent's suspension.

O'Donnell, J., concurs in the finding of contempt and revocation of the stay but would impose a 24-month suspension.

### **2012-0189. Cincinnati Bar Assn. v. Backsman.**

On May 4, 2011, this court accepted the application for retirement of respondent, Mary Goeke Backsman. On February 1, 2012, relator, Cincinnati Bar Association, filed a motion to hold respondent in contempt, alleging that respondent continued to practice law after her May 4, 2011 retirement. On February 13, 2012, respondent filed a response to relator's contempt motion.

Upon consideration thereof, the court finds that respondent engaged in the practice of law after she retired on May 4, 2011. The court further finds respondent in contempt for engaging in this unauthorized practice of law after she retired. It is ordered by the court that respondent is fined \$1,000. Respondent is ordered to pay the fine by certified check or money order to the clerk of this court on or before thirty days from the date of this order. If respondent fails to pay said fine on or before thirty days from the date of this order, the matter will be referred to the Office of the Attorney General for collection.