

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

May 17, 2012

[Cite as *05/17/2012 Case Announcements*, 2012-Ohio-2181.]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2011-0960. Blair v. Sugarcreek Twp. Bd. of Trustees, Slip Opinion No. 2012-Ohio-2165.

Greene App. No. 2010-CA-3, 2011-Ohio-1725. Judgment affirmed.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Cupp, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

2011-1049. Cleveland Metro. Bar Assn. v. Berk, Slip Opinion No. 2012-Ohio-2167.

On Certified Report by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline, No. 10-090. Robert J. Berk, Attorney Registration No. 0001031, is suspended from the practice of law in Ohio for 18 months, all stayed on the condition of probation.

Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Donnell, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

O'Connor, C.J., and Lanzinger and McGee Brown, JJ., dissent and would impose an 18-month suspension with 12 months stayed and probation.

2011-1722. Disciplinary Counsel v. Engel, Slip Opinion No. 2012-Ohio-2168.

On Certified Report by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline, No. 11-004. Joshua Adam Engel, Attorney Registration No. 0075769, is suspended from the practice of law in Ohio for six months.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

Lundberg Stratton, J., dissents.

McGee Brown, J., not participating.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2012-0098. State v. Sutton.

Cuyahoga App. No. 96408, 2011-Ohio-6270. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County.

Upon consideration of appellee's motion for appointment of counsel, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted, and Peter Galyardt of the Ohio Public Defender's Office is appointed to represent appellee in this case.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2012-0489. In re C.M.

Licking App. Nos. 2011CA00013 and 2011CA00036, 2012-Ohio-342. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right.

Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.

MEDIATION MATTERS

The following case has been referred to mediation pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 17.1(A):

2012-0815. State ex rel. Roxbury v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 11AP-125, 2012-Ohio-1310.