

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

December 1, 2011

[Cite as *12/01/2011 Case Announcements*, 2011-Ohio-6142.]

---

## MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

**2009-1292. State ex rel. Doner v. Zody, Slip Opinion No. 2011-Ohio-6117.**

In Mandamus. Writ granted.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Belfance, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

Eve V. Belfance, J., of the Ninth Appellate District, sitting for Cupp, J.

**2010-0964. State ex rel. Gonzales v. Morgan, Slip Opinion No. 2011-Ohio-6047.**

Franklin App. No. 09AP-752, 2010-Ohio-1959. Judgment affirmed.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Cupp, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

**2011-1381. State ex rel. Hayes v. Winkler, Slip Opinion No. 2011-Ohio-6046.**

Hamilton App. No. C-110365. Judgment affirmed.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Cupp, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

## MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

**2011-0215. In re M.W.**

Cuyahoga App. No. 94737, 2010-Ohio-6362. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County.

Upon consideration of the joint motion of appellant and amicus curiae National Juvenile Defender Center to participate in oral argument scheduled for December

6, 2011, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted and amicus curiae National Juvenile Defender Center shall share the time allotted to the appellant.

**2011-1177. State ex rel. ESPN, Inc. v. Ohio State Univ.**

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus.

Upon consideration of amicus curiae's motion for admission pro hac vice of Alisa B. Klein on behalf of the United States, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

**2011-1828. Smith v. Ohio Edison Co.**

Public Utilities Commission, No. 10-340-EL-CSS. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Public Utilities Commission of Ohio.

Upon consideration of Ohio Edison Company's motion for leave to intervene as appellee, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

**2011-1933. Rayess v. Educational Comm. for Foreign Med. Graduates.**

Montgomery App. No. 24125. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal.

Upon consideration of appellant's motion to file under seal the September 30, 2011 opinion and final entry of the Court of Appeals, Second Appellate District, it is ordered by the court that appellant shall file a supplemental motion to file under seal. The supplemental motion shall delineate the rationale given to and/or given by the court of appeals for sealing the opinion and final entry and shall demonstrate good cause as to why this court should seal the opinion and final entry. The supplemental motion shall be filed within ten days of the date of this entry and may be filed under seal.

**2011-1950. State v. Woodrum.**

Hamilton App. No. C-100783. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right.

Upon consideration of appellant's motion to exceed the page limitation of the memorandum in support of jurisdiction, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.