

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

---

## CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

November 15, 2011

[Cite as *11/15/2011 Case Announcements*, 2011-Ohio-5879.]

---

## MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

**2010-1605. Davis v. Ewers, Slip Opinion No. 2011-Ohio-5790.**

Lorain App. No. 10CA009828. Judgment affirmed.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Donnell, Cupp, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

Lanzinger, J., concurs in judgment only.

**2011-1040. Columbus Bar Assn. v. Hunter, Slip Opinion No. 2011-Ohio-5788.**

On Certified Report by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline, No. 10-071. Kyle Lee Hunter of Columbus, Ohio, Attorney Registration No. 0069099, is indefinitely suspended from the practice of law in Ohio.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Cupp, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

**2011-1219. State v. Haynes, Slip Opinion No. 2011-Ohio-5787.**

Hamilton App. No. C-100381. Appeal accepted and cause remanded.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, Lanzinger, Cupp, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

O'Donnell, J., dissents.

**2011-1232. State ex rel. Shepherd v. Astrab, Slip Opinion No. 2011-Ohio-5789.**

Cuyahoga App. No. 96511, 2011-Ohio-2938. Judgment affirmed.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Cupp, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

**2011-1238. State v. Harris, Slip Opinion No. 2011-Ohio-5783.**

Hamilton App. No. C-100243. Appeal accepted and cause remanded.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, Lanzinger, Cupp, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

O'Donnell, J., dissents.

## **DISCIPLINARY CASES**

### **2011-1422. Disciplinary Counsel v. Eynon.**

This cause is pending before the court upon the filing of a report by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline.

Upon consideration of respondent's motion for remand, or in the alternative, motion for supplementation of the record, it is ordered by the court that the motion for remand is granted. The board's review of the case upon remand shall be limited to consideration of mitigation evidence.

Upon consideration of respondent's motion to file respondent's psychological report under seal, it is ordered by the court that the motion is denied. The court further orders, sua sponte, that the parties are to submit a redacted copy, agreed to by the parties, of Appendix 1 to respondent's motion for remand, or in the alternative, motion for supplementation of the record within 10 days of the date of this entry.

### **2011-1453. Disciplinary Counsel v. Crosby,**

This cause is pending before the court upon the filing of a report by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline.

Upon consideration of respondent's motion to include sealed filing, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted. Exhibit A attached to respondent's motion is hereby filed under seal in this matter. Upon consideration of relator's motion to strike respondent's motion to include sealed filing, it is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.