

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

July 13, 2011

[Cite as *07/13/2011 Case Announcements*, 2011-Ohio-3453.]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2009-0088. State v. Williams, Slip Opinion No. 2011-Ohio-3374.

Warren App. No. CA2008-02-029, 2008-Ohio-6195. Judgment of the court of appeals reversed, and cause remanded to the trial court.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, Lanzinger, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

O'Donnell and Cupp, JJ., dissent.

2009-1735. Engel v. Univ. of Toledo College of Medicine, Slip Opinion No. 2011-Ohio-3375.

Franklin App. No. 09AP-53, 184 Ohio App.3d 669, 2009-Ohio-3957. Judgment of the court of appeals reversed, and cause remanded to the trial court.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Cupp, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2010-0240. In re D.B.

Licking App. No. 2009 CA 00024, 2009-Ohio-6841. This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of appellee's motion for stay of the mandate pending review on certiorari. It is ordered by the court that the motion is denied.

2011-0137. State ex rel. Brown v. Wauford.

Hancock App. No. 5-10-24. This cause came on for further consideration upon appellant's filing of a motion for reconsideration.

As a result of a clerical error, the motion for reconsideration was timely presented but not filed in on its presentment date until after the response time for a memorandum opposing a motion for reconsideration had passed. Therefore, it is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that the appellee may file a response to the motion for reconsideration within ten days from the date of this entry.

2011-0138. State ex rel. Brown v. Oliver.

Seneca App. No. 13-10-31. This cause came on for further consideration upon appellant's filing of a motion for reconsideration.

As a result of a clerical error, the motion for reconsideration was timely presented but not filed in on its presentment date until after the response time for a memorandum opposing a motion for reconsideration had passed. Therefore, it is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that the appellee may file a response to the motion for reconsideration within ten days from the date of this entry.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2011-1037. Guernsey Bank v. Milano Sports Ents., L.L.C.

Franklin App. No. 09AP-1015, 2011-Ohio-2162. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal.

Upon consideration of the joint application for dismissal, it is ordered by the court that the joint application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.