

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

June 17, 2011

[Cite as *06/17/2011 Case Announcements, 2011-Ohio-2940.*]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2010-1335. Am. Chem. Soc. v. Leadscope, Inc.

Franklin App. No. 08AP-1026, 2010-Ohio-2725. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Franklin County.

Upon consideration of the motions for admission pro hac vice of David W. DeBruin, Matthew E. Price, Matthew S. Hellman, and Lindsay Harrison, it is ordered by the court that the motions are granted.

2010-1536. State ex rel. O'Shea & Assoc. Co., L.P.A. v. Cuyahoga Metro. Hous. Auth.

Cuyahoga App. No. 93275, 190 Ohio App.3d 218, 2010-Ohio-3416. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County.

Upon review of the brief of amicus curiae United States of America, it is evident that Tony West, Leonard Schaitman, Helen R. Kanovsky, John P. Opitz, John B. Shumway, and Lee Ann Richardson have not filed timely motions for admission pro hac vice pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 1.2. Therefore, it is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that Tony West, Leonard Schaitman, Helen R. Kanovsky, John P. Opitz, John B. Shumway, and Lee Ann Richardson are stricken from the brief of amicus curiae United States of America for failure to comply with S.Ct.Prac R. 1.2 and Gov.Bar R. XII (2)(A)(6)(a)-(e).

2010-1705. In re All Cases Against Sager Corporation.

Cuyahoga App. No. 93567, 188 Ohio App.3d 796, 2010-Ohio-3872. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Cuyahoga County.

Upon review of the brief of amici curiae, Ohio Insurance Institute et al., it is evident that Linda S. Mounts, Gregg Dykstra, Ann W. Spragens, and Sean McMurrugh have not filed a timely motion for admission pro hac vice pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 1.2. Therefore, it is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that Linda S. Mounts, Gregg Dykstra, Ann W. Spragens, and Sean McMurrugh are stricken from the brief of amici curiae for failure to comply with S.Ct.Prac.R. 1.2 and Gov.Bar R. XII (2)(A)(6)(a)-(e).

2011-0033. State v. Johnson.

Butler App. No. CA2009-12-307, 190 Ohio App.3d 750, 2010-Ohio-5808. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Butler County.

Upon consideration of the motions for admission pro hac vice of Michael W. Price, Norman L. Reimer, and Susan J. Walsh, it is ordered by the court that the motions are granted.

2011-0328. Sogg v. Goodman.

Franklin App. No. 10AP-358, 2011-Ohio-81. This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of appellant's motion for reconsideration.

Upon review of the notice of appeal, memorandum in support of jurisdiction, and motion for reconsideration, it is evident that John R. Wylie, Charles R. Watkins, Arthur T. Susman, and Glenn L. Hara have not filed timely motions for admission pro hac vice pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 1.2. Therefore, it is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that John R. Wylie, Charles R. Watkins, Arthur T. Susman, and Glenn L. Hara are stricken from the notice of appeal, memorandum in support of jurisdiction, and motion for reconsideration for failure to comply with S.Ct.Prac.R. 1.2 and Gov.Bar R. XII (2)(A)(6)(a)-(e).

2011-0438. Schwering v. TRW Vehicle Safety Sys., Inc.

Certified Question of State Law, United States District Court, Southern District of Ohio, Western Division, Case No. 1:10-CV-679. This cause is pending before the court on the certification of a state law question from the United States Southern District of Ohio, Western Division.

Upon review of Kevin C. Schieferl's motion for admission pro hac vice, the motion was untimely. Pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 1.2, the motion for admission pro hac vice shall be filed with the first document the attorney files. The requirement is waived in this instance, and it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

2011-0514. Kerger v. Dentsply Internatl., Inc.

Cuyahoga App. No. 94430, 2011-Ohio-84. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right.

Upon review of Jack Bierig's motion for admission pro hac vice, the motion was untimely. Pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 1.2, the motion for admission pro hac vice shall be filed with the first document the attorney files. The requirement is waived in this instance, and it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

2011-0538. State v. Davis.

Butler App. No. CA2009-10-263, 2011-Ohio-787. This cause is pending as a death penalty appeal from the Court of Appeals for Butler County.

Upon consideration of the motion for admission pro hac vice of Alan M. Freedman, it is ordered by the court that the motion is granted.

2011-0595. State v. Little.

Lorain App. No. 10CA009758, 2011-Ohio-768. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right.

Upon consideration of appellant's motion to hold in abeyance, it is ordered by the court that the motion is denied. Appellant shall file the memorandum in support of jurisdiction no later than June 24, 2011.

2011-0715. Davis v. Marcotte.

Franklin App. No. 10AP-361, 2011-Ohio-1189. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right.

Upon review of the notice of appeal and memorandum in support of jurisdiction, it is evident that Douglas J. Raymond has not filed a timely motion for admission pro hac vice pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 1.2. Therefore, it is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that Douglas J. Raymond is stricken from the notice of appeal and memorandum in support of jurisdiction for failure to comply with S.Ct.Prac.R. 1.2 and Gov.Bar R. XII (2)(A)(6)(a)-(e).

2011-0842. Arndt v. P & M Ltd.

Portage App. No. 2009-P-0088, 2011-Ohio-649. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal and claimed appeal of right.

Upon review of the memorandum in support of jurisdiction, it is evident that Edward W. Smith has not filed a timely motion for admission pro hac vice pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. 1.2. Therefore, it is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that Edward W. Smith is stricken from the memorandum in support of jurisdiction for failure to comply with S.Ct.Prac.R. 1.2 and Gov.Bar R. XII (2)(A)(6)(a)-(e).

MEDIATION REFERRALS

The following cases have been referred to mediation pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. XIV(6):

2011-0904. State ex rel. Cincinnati Enquirer v. Dinkelacker.

In Mandamus and Prohibition.

2011-0906. IB Property Holdings, L.L.C. v. Hamilton Cty. Bd. of Revision.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2010-A-137.

2011-0931. State ex rel. Dayton Tea Party v. Ohio Mun. League.

In Mandamus.

2011-0972. State ex rel. Coleman v. Indus. Comm.

Franklin App. No. 10AP-287, 2011-Ohio-2006.