

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

March 30, 2011

[Cite as *03/30/2011 Case Announcements*, 2011-Ohio-1508.]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2010-0114. Nationwide Mut. Ins. Co. v. Briggs, Slip Opinion No. 2011-Ohio-1420.

Stark App. No. 2009 CA 00108, 2009-Ohio-6452. The cause is dismissed, sua sponte, as having been improvidently accepted.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Cupp, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

Lundberg Stratton, J., dissents.

2010-0951. Mentor Exempted Village School Dist. Bd. of Edn. v. Mohat, Slip Opinion No. 2011-Ohio-1421.

Certified Question of State Law, United States District Court, Northern District of Ohio, Eastern Division, No. 1:09 CV 688. Certified question of state law dismissed as having been improvidently accepted.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Cupp, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

2010-2206. State v. Brenson, Slip Opinion No. 2011-Ohio-1425.

Delaware App. No. 09-CA-18, 2010-Ohio-4645. Discretionary appeal accepted on Proposition of Law Nos. VI and VII, judgment of the court of appeals vacated in part, and cause remanded to the court of appeals.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Cupp, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

2011-0054. State v. Humphrey, Slip Opinion No. 2011-Ohio-1426.

Ross App. No. 10CA3150, 2010-Ohio-5950. Discretionary appeal accepted, judgment of the court of appeals vacated in part, and cause remanded to the court of appeals.

O'Connor, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, Cupp, and McGee Brown, JJ., concur.

Lundberg Stratton, J., dissents and would not accept the discretionary appeal.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

1991-0963. State v. Carter.

Hamilton App. No. C-890513. By entry filed February 8, 2011, this court ordered that Clarence Carter's sentence be carried into execution on Tuesday, April 12, 2011.

In order to facilitate this court's timely consideration of any matters relating to the execution of appellant's sentence, it is ordered by the court that the Chief Justice may suspend application of any provisions of the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court, including, but not limited to, the filing requirements imposed by S.Ct.Prac.R. 14.1.

It is further ordered that service of documents as required by S.Ct.Prac.R. 14.2 shall be personal, by facsimile transmission, or by email.

It is further ordered that counsel of record for the parties shall provide this court with a copy of any document relating to this matter that is filed in, or issued by, any other court in this state or any federal court, as well as any commutation, pardon, or warrant of reprieve issued by the governor. A copy of the document shall be delivered to the Office of the Clerk as soon as possible, either personally, by facsimile transmission, or by email.