

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

March 9, 2010

[Cite as *03/09/2010 Case Announcements, 2010-Ohio-864.*]

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

In re Karnofel.

On February 10, 2010, this court found Delores M. Karnofel to be a vexatious litigator under S.Ct.Prac.R. 14.5(B). This court further ordered that Karnofel was prohibited from continuing or instituting legal proceedings in this court without first obtaining leave. On March 5, 2010, Karnofel submitted a motion for leave to proceed. Upon review of the proffered document the court finds it without merit. Accordingly,

It is ordered by the court that Delores M. Karnofel's motion for leave is denied.

2009-1878. State v. Hamilton.

Montgomery App. No. 22895, 183 Ohio App.3d 819, 2009-Ohio-4602. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Montgomery County. Upon consideration of appellee's motion for appointment of the Office of the Ohio Public Defender as counsel,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is granted and Timothy Young of Columbus, Ohio is appointed to represent appellee.

2009-1958. State v. Hamilton.

Montgomery App. No. 22895, 183 Ohio App.3d 819, 2009-Ohio-4602. This cause is pending before the court on the certification of a conflict by the Court of Appeals for Montgomery County. Upon consideration of appellee's motion for appointment of the Office of the Ohio Public Defender as counsel,

It is ordered by the court that the motion is granted and Timothy Young of Columbus, Ohio is appointed to represent appellee.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2009-2312. Karnofel v. Bernard.

Trumbull App. No. 2009-T-0046. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Trumbull County. It appears from the records of this court that appellant has not filed a merit brief, due February 22, 2010, in compliance with the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court and therefore has failed to prosecute this cause with the requisite diligence. Upon consideration thereof,

It is ordered by the court that this cause is dismissed sua sponte.