

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

December 27, 2010

[Cite as *12/27/2010 Case Announcements*, 2010-Ohio-6370.]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2009-0627. DIRECTV, Inc. v. Levin, Slip Opinion No. 2010-Ohio-6279.

Franklin App. No. 08AP-32, 181 Ohio App.3d 92, 2009-Ohio-636. Judgment of the court of appeals affirmed.

Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.
Brown, C.J., and Pfeifer, J., dissent.

2009-1998. Ward v. Summa Health Sys., Slip Opinion No. 2010-Ohio-6275.

Summit App. No. 24567, 184 Ohio App.3d 254, 2009-Ohio-4859. Judgment of the court of appeals affirmed.

Brown, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

O'Donnell, J., dissents and would reverse the judgment of the court of appeals.

2009-2106. Summerville v. Forest Park, Slip Opinion No. 2010-Ohio-6280.

Hamilton App. No. C-090708. Judgment of the court of appeals reversed, and cause remanded to the court of appeals.

Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, and Cupp, JJ., concur.
Brown, C.J., and Pfeifer and Lanzinger, JJ., dissent.

2010-1139. Lorain Cty. Bar Assn. v. Godles, Slip Opinion No. 2010-Ohio-6274.

On Certified Report by the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline, No. 09-073. Michael J. Godles, Attorney Registration No. 0042398, is publicly reprimanded.

Brown, C.J., and Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

RECONSIDERATION OF PRIOR DECISIONS

2009-1507. Berry v. Javitch, Block & Rathbone, L.L.P.

Cuyahoga App. No. 91723, 182 Ohio App.3d 795, 2009-Ohio-3067. Reported at ___ Ohio St.3d ___, 2010-Ohio-5772, ___ N.E.2d ___. On motion for reconsideration. Motion denied.

Brown, C.J., and Froelich, J., dissent.

Jeffrey E. Froelich, J., of the Second Appellate District, sitting for O'Connor, J.

2010-1296. [State ex rel.] Huff v. O'Toole.

In Mandamus. Reported at 127 Ohio St.3d 1608, 2010-Ohio-4979, 935 N.E.2d 52. On motion for reconsideration. Motion denied.

2010-1311. State v. Colopy.

Licking App. No. 09 CA 105, 2009-Ohio-2804. Reported at 127 Ohio St.3d 1445, 2010-Ohio-5762, ___ N.E.2d ___. On motion for reconsideration. Motion denied.

Brown, C.J., and O'Donnell, J., dissent.

2010-1431. Dominish v. Nationwide Ins. Co.

Lake App. No. 2009-L-116, 2010-Ohio-3048. Reported at 127 Ohio St.3d 1444, 2010-Ohio-5762, ___ N.E.2d ___. On motion for reconsideration. Motion granted to the following extent: The discretionary appeal is accepted on Proposition of Law No. 2.

Brown, C.J., and Pfeifer and Lanzinger, JJ., dissent.

2010-1562. Licking & Knox Community Mental Health & Recovery Bd. v. T.B.

Franklin App. No. 10AP-454, 2010-Ohio-3487. Reported at 127 Ohio St.3d 1447, 2010-Ohio-5762, ___ N.E.2d ___. On motion for reconsideration. Motion denied.

Pfeifer, Acting C.J.

Brown, C.J., not participating.

2010-1591. State ex rel. Waters v. Spaeth.

In Mandamus and Procedendo. Reported at 127 Ohio St.3d 1440, 2010-Ohio-5762, ___ N.E.2d ___. On motion for reconsideration. Motion denied.

2010-1627. State ex rel. Colin v. Moore.

In Mandamus. Reported at 127 Ohio St.3d 1441, 2010-Ohio-5762, ___ N.E.2d ___. On motion for reconsideration. Motion denied.

2010-1653. State ex rel. Goshay v. Ambrose.

In Procedendo and Prohibition. Reported at 127 Ohio St.3d 1441, 2010-Ohio-5762, ___ N.E.2d ___. On motion for reconsideration. Motion denied.

2010-1670. State ex rel. Vinson v. Franklin Cty. Court of Common Pleas.

In Mandamus. Reported at 127 Ohio St.3d 1441, 2010-Ohio-5762, ___ N.E.2d ___. On motion for reconsideration. Motion denied.

2010-1691. Henderson v. Santiago.

In Habeas Corpus. Reported at 127 Ohio St.3d 1441, 2010-Ohio-5762, ___ N.E.2d ___. On motion for reconsideration. Motion denied.

2010-1731. State v. Wilson.

Montgomery App. No. 23313, 2010-Ohio-3439. Reported at 127 Ohio St.3d 1443, 2010-Ohio-5762, ___ N.E.2d ___. On motion for reconsideration. Motion denied.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2010-1618. Cooper v. Tommy's Pizza.

Franklin App. No. 09AP-1078, 2010-Ohio-2978. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

It is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.