

**DISCIPLINARY COUNSEL v. BOWMAN.**

[Cite as *Disciplinary Counsel v. Bowman*, 127 Ohio St.3d 1250, 2010-Ohio-6086.]

(No. 2006-0444 — Submitted November 17, 2010 — Decided  
November 24, 2010.)

ON APPLICATION FOR REINSTATEMENT.

---

{¶ 1} This cause came on for further consideration upon the filing of an application for reinstatement by respondent, Kevin Arthur Bowman, Attorney Registration No. 0068223, last known business address in Dayton, Ohio.

{¶ 2} The court coming now to consider its order of August 23, 2006, wherein the court, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(6)(B)(3), suspended respondent for a period of two years with conditions to reinstatement, finds that respondent has substantially complied with that order and with the provisions of Gov.Bar R. V(10)(A). Therefore,

{¶ 3} It is ordered by this court that respondent is reinstated to the practice of law in the state of Ohio.

{¶ 4} It is further ordered that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov.Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

{¶ 5} For earlier case, see *Disciplinary Counsel v. Bowman*, 110 Ohio St.3d 480, 2006-Ohio-4333, 854 N.E.2d 480.

BROWN, C.J., and PFEIFER, LUNDBERG STRATTON, O'CONNOR, O'DONNELL, LANZINGER, and CUPP, JJ., concur.

---