

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
EASTERN DIVISION**

Joseph Emerson,	)	
	)	
Petitioner,	)	Case No. 2:23-CV-661
	)	
v.	)	Judge
	)	
United States District Court,	)	Magistrate Judge
	)	
and	)	
	)	
Federal Bureau of Investigation,	)	
	)	
Federal Respondents.	)	

**NOTICE OF REMOVAL**

1. Under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1442 and 1446, the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio and the Federal Bureau of Investigation<sup>1</sup> (collectively “Federal Respondents”) are removing to this Court a civil action originally filed by Petitioner Joseph Emerson in The Supreme Court of Ohio.

2. Petitioner Emerson filed his “writ of mandamus and prohibition” in The Supreme Court of Ohio on January 25, 2023. The summons was filed January 26, 2023. Federal Respondent United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio first received Petitioner’s summons and writ of mandamus and prohibition on January 30, 2023, and Federal Respondent FBI first received the same on January

---

<sup>1</sup>Plaintiff names as Respondents the “United States District Court” in Toledo, Ohio, and the “Toledo FBI,” “Detroit FBI,” “Columbia FBI,” and “FBI Los Angeles.”

31, 2023. The process and pleadings served upon the Federal Respondents are attached as Exhibit A.

3. The United States District Court and the FBI are components of the United States Government entitled to remove Emerson's action to the U.S. District Court for the Southern District of Ohio under 28 U.S.C. § 1442(a)(1).

4. The Federal Respondents are removing this action within the 30-day timeframe established under 28 U.S.C. § 1446(b).

5. A copy of this Notice of Removal will be filed promptly with The Supreme Court of Ohio to effect the removal. 28 U.S.C. § 1446(d).

Respectfully submitted,

KENNETH L. PARKER  
United States Attorney

/s/ John J. Stark  
John J. Stark (0076231)  
Assistant United States Attorney  
303 Marconi Boulevard, Suite 200  
Columbus, Ohio 43215  
614-469-5715  
john.stark@usdoj.gov

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on February 16, 2023, I caused the foregoing Notice of Removal to be filed using the CM/ECF system, and that on the same date I caused a copy of this notice to be served by ordinary first-class mail via the United States Postal Service to Joseph Emerson at P.O. Box 13, Toledo, Ohio 43697.

/s/John J. Stark  
John J. Stark  
Assistant United States Attorney

# The Supreme Court of Ohio

To wit: January 26, 2023

Case No. 2023-0102

## S U M M O N S

FILED

JAN 26 2023

CLERK OF COURT  
SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

The following have been named as respondents in the enclosed action:

Columbia FBI  
151 Westpark Blvd  
Columbia, South Carolina 29210

Detroit FBI  
477Michigan Avenue  
Detroit, Michigan 48226

FBI  
26 Federal Plaza  
23rd Floor  
New York, New York 10278

FBI Los Angeles  
11000 Wilshire Blvd  
#1700  
Los Angeles, California 90024

Toledo FBI  
420 Madison Ave  
#800  
Toledo, Ohio 43604

United States District Court  
1716 Spielbusch Avenue  
Toledo, Ohio 43604

You are hereby notified that a complaint for writs of mandamus and prohibition has been filed against you in the SUPREME COURT OF OHIO, 65 South Front Street, Columbus, Ohio 43215-3431, by Joseph Emerson, P.O. Box 13, Toledo, OH 43697.

Furthermore, you are hereby served with a copy of the complaint (enclosed) and are required to file an answer to the complaint or a motion to dismiss on or before the 21<sup>st</sup> day after service of this summons, unless otherwise ordered by the court. See S.Ct.Prac.R. 12.04.

If you fail to respond timely to the complaint, this action will proceed before the Court.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto subscribed my name and affixed the seal of the Supreme Court, this January 26, 2023.



\_\_\_\_\_  
INTERIM CLERK

IN THE SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

JOSEPH EMERSON  
P.O. BOX 13  
TOLEDO, OHIO 43697  
V

23-0102

- 1) FBI INTERSTATE
- 2) UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NATURE OF PROCEEDING: WRIT OF  
MANDAMUS AND PROHIBITION

WRIT OF MANDAMUS AND PROHIBITION

FILED  
 JAN 25 2023  
 CLERK OF COURT  
 SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

RESPONDENTS:

- 1) UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT, 1716 SPIELBUSCH AVENUE, TOLEDO, OHIO 43604
- 2) TOLEDO FBI, 420 MADISON AVE #800, TOLEDO, OHIO 43604
- 3) DETROIT FBI, 477 MICHIGAN AVENUE, DETROIT, MICHIGAN 48226
- 4) COLUMBIA FBI, 151 WESTPARK BLVD. COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA 29210
- 5) FBI LOS ANGELES, 11000 WILSHIRE BLVD. #1700, LOS ANGELES, CALIFORNIA 90024
- 6) FBI, 26 FEDERAL PLAZA 23<sup>RD</sup> FLOOR, NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10278

BACKGROUND:

THE FBI HAS FABRICATED I AM A INTERSTATE DRUG DEALER SINCE 1992. I BROKE AN ENGAGEMENT A FEMALE NAMED KIM BROOKS AFTER A SPRING BREAK VACATION. SHE THEN WAS SET UP GUYS FBI A FABRICATED SLANDER PROTECTION GAME. FBI THREE YEARS DELAYED MY WIFES IMMIGRATION A POLITICAL MOVE AS I STOPPED A DEMOCRAT CONTRIBUTION WITH CINDY RAYMO THEY REQUESTED YOU DONATE WHEN FORCED THRU UNION DUES MANDATORY. UNION REPS POCKETED THE DONATION YOU GAVE IN ALLOTMENT. AS I MOVED TO TOLEDO FBI INTERSTYATE MOVED KIM AND BROTHER BOB TO MONROE. GEORGE WAS HIS NAME WENT BY, I USE TO EAT DINNERS SUNDAYS AT HER MOMS HOUSE INVITING HIM AND GIRLFRIEND. THE FBI THEN BLACKBALLED MY UNEMPLOYMENT THREE TIMES WAITING OVER SEVEN WEEKS BENEFITS. THE FIRST TIME LED TO OUR DIVORCE, SHE AFRAID WOULD SUPPORT ME. LOCAL 50 HAD YOU SIGN A BOOK AND DID NOT GIVE JOBS NEXT UNTIL CALLED PEOPLE LATER. I THEN LOST MY HOUSE AND INHERITENCE AS STRATEGICALLY FBI TOLEDO AND DETROIT RIGGGED MY RETIRED BROTHER IN LAW TERRY PURSLEY SECURITY GUARD MONROE HIGH SCHOOL AND COUSIN TODD SULFARO A SHERIFF AS LAISON OFFICER. MY UNCLES DAUGHTER, LESLIE STEFANSKI, WAS MARRIED TO DAN STEFANSKI, CITY OF MONROE WASTEWATER

DEPARTMENT. THIS WAY AS FORCED QUIT FORDS AND CITY OF MONROE, BLACKBALL ANY JOB APPLICATIONS. I THEN LOST 23,000 MY FORCED SALE MY HOUSE, AND 60,000 INHERITENCE LIVING ON THIS 2002-2006. AFTER THIS I THREE YEARS PIPEFITTED INTERSTATE KEPT FROM UTILYZING COPYRIGHTS I CREATED SINCE 2002 TO STEAL. THEN I SPENT THREE YEARS A HOMELESS SHELTER AS NOBODY HELPED ME. FBI RIGGED CELEBRITIES PIPEFITTERS BOOKS AND SEX TRAFFIC MINORS TO NEW YORK LOWERING AGE OF CONSENT SEX. I FORCED QUIT BEAL PROPERTY AFTER TWO LENGTHY EMPLOYEES WERE FIRED. I WROTE STATEMENT CIVIL RIGHTS OFFICE THEY WERE RAILROADED. FBI THEN BLACKBALLED ME JOBS FORCING ME TO PAWN A ANTIQUE WATCH AND MORE. AWC WHO I HAVE A LAWSUIT COURT OF APPEALS PRESENTLY DELAYED MONTHS HIRING ME SO EVICTED FIVE MONTHS BACK TO THE HOMELESS SHELTER. TWO OTHER TIMES BETWEEN JOBS I WAS THREE MONTHS NOT EVICTED. I RENTED THERE FOUR YEARS AS FOUR TENNANTS WERE IN AND OUT OTHER DUPLEX SIDE. THEN AS NEW OWNER KNEW ESCROW RENT HEAD BY SALE OF A BUSINESS, HE WENT THREE MONTHS NOT FIXING THE ROOF. JUST RECENTLY FBI WENT TO THREE LANDLORDS TO NOT RENT ME. THIS IS A LAWSUIT COMMON PLEAS COURT. CERTIFIED MAIL SUMMONS ARE MISSING THAT CASE AND INTERSTATE WHICH HAS BEEN MOVED TO FEDERAL COURT. AS I FORCED QUIT AWC SEX HARASSMENT ENTRAPMENT FBI BLACKBALLED ME JOBS AGAIN. I FILED A LAWSUIT UNEMPLOYMENT REFUSED BENEFITS, JUDGE LORI OLENDER DID NOT SERVE COURT PAPERS MAY 28, 2001, SHE WAITED UNTIL NOVEMBER EATING MY SAVINGS 5,000 I HAD SAVED FROM COVID, STIMULOUS CHECKLS, AND GOING FULL TIME TRYING TO BUY A HOUSE. SHE JUST HAD INTERSTATE CASE, MY PRESENT JOB, WANTING IT SQUASHED IN FEDERAL COURT. CASES FEDERAL COURT THRU BEHIND MY BACK SLANDER FBI WITNESSES RIGGED DISMISSED, I FILED IN COMMON PLEAS COURT. COURT OF APPEALS REINSTATED SUING REFINERY, LOCAL 421 AND 50. THE REFINERY IS A PENDING MOTION OF DEFAULT. THIS COURTHOUSE IS DAMAGING MY REPUTATION AND CREDIBILITY WITH FBI SLANDER AND FALSE WITNESSES. I HAVE BEEN PLAYED THIRTY YEARS OF MY LIFE A DRUG DEALER, TWENTY A TERRORIST AND RAPIST GAME TO STEAL MY COPYRIGHTS. THEY ARE TRYING TO FORCE ME SEX FEMALES THEY BLOCK AND INTERSTATE SEX TRAFFIC TO SPREAD STDS AS 38 POLOTICIANS HAVE STOCK IN THE MEDICAL FIELD . FACEBOOK REMOVED FEDERAL COURT IS AN ACTIVE CASE COMMON PLEAS COURT.

**LAW AND ARGUMENT:**

INTERSTATE CRIMINAL SEX TRAFFICKING, 10 U.S. CODE & 920 ARTICLE 120 RAPE UNLAWFUL FORCE, 28 U.S. CODE 4101 SLANDER

PRESENTLY SELENA GOMEZ GAINED WEIGHT I AM SLANDERED SHE IS COMING TO ME TO LOSE WEIGHT. SHE IS ON PORNS WITH THOUSANDS OF OTHERS THE FBI COIRCED THE SEX WEBSITE "CELEB JIHAD." SOME START POSING IN A BIKINI MINOR OR NOT, THEN FBI INTERSTATE STAYS ON THEM TO POSE NUDE AND PORNS TO ILLIMINATE THEIR COUREERS. ALEXANDRIA OCASIO CORTEZ IS ON IT A POLITICIAN GIVING ORAL SEX WHO OBVIOUS SHOULD NOT BE A NEW YORK POLOTICIAN. NOBODY RIGS ME ANY FEMALE ANYWHERE. ON DATING WEBSITES YOU PAY A FEE FOR PRIVACY AND PROTECTION. FBI BLOCKS COMMUNICATION FOR INTERSTATE SEX TRAFFICKING. SOME ARE RIGGED JOURNEYMAN PIPEFITTERS BOOKS TAKING PEOPLES JOBS LOCALS SPREADING STDS WHEREVER THEY GO. A FEMALE I WORKED WITH IS NOT COMMUNICATING, I SAID GOODBYE. THEY RIGGED A STATE FARM FAMILY COMMERCIAL

LATINOS AS SHE IS LATINA, CORINA LOPEZ. NEVER UNTIL 2002 THE FBI DID ANYONE NOT CONTACT ME. I AM SUING LORI LOUGHLIN AND DAUGHTERS THE FBI AND POLICE ARE STALKING TRYING TO RIG SEX AND MOVE GAMES THEM. THE SIMPLE FACT LAWSUITS ARE FILED A PARTY CEASES. OTHERWISE A THREAT MY LIFE I HAVE A RIGHT TO TAKE THEIRS A THREAT THEY DO NOT STOP. THIS IS FORCED RAPE, I HAVE A RIGHT TO TALK TO AND SCREEN AND COMMUNICATE WITH ANY AND EVERY FEMALE.

JOSEPH EMERSON

01/19/2023

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joseph Emerson". The signature is written in a cursive, flowing style.



United States District Court

Northern District of Ohio

Office of the Clerk

(216) 357-7000

Sandy Opacich  
Clerk of Court

2-161 Carl B. Stokes United States Court House  
801 West Superior Avenue  
Cleveland, Ohio 44113-1830

January 12, 2023

Joseph Emerson  
P.O. Box 13  
Toledo, OH 43697

Dear Mr. Emerson:

On January 12, 2023, our office received a new case filing from you. Upon further review, it was found that the court issued the enclosed Orders enjoining you from filing any future litigation in the United States District Court for the Northern District of Ohio, unless it is accompanied by the full filing fee, and with leave to file approved from the Chief Judge amongst other requirements to be met. Please see the enclosed Orders.

Currently, the required filing fee to open a new civil case in this district is \$402.00. If you wish to pursue future litigation, the full filing fee stated above must be paid by money order or check at the same time the Clerk's Office receives all required approved documentation to open a new case on your behalf through the mail.

Pursuant to the enclosed Orders, and the reasons stated above, the letter is being returned to you

Thank you.

Sandy Opacich  
Clerk of Court

By:   
Deputy Clerk (DS)

568 John F. Seiberling  
Federal Building and U.S. Court House  
2 South Main Street  
Akron, OH 44308-1876  
(330) 252-6000

114 James M. Ashley & Thomas W. L. Ashley  
U.S. Court House  
1716 Spielbusch Avenue  
Toledo, OH 43604-5385  
(419) 213-5500

337 Thomas D. Lambros  
Federal Building and U.S. Court House  
125 Market Street  
Youngstown, OH 44503-1787  
(330) 884-7400

Case: 3:20-cv-01634-JGC Doc #: 8 Filed: 12/01/20 1 of 4. PageID #: 182

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

Joseph Emerson,

Case No. 3:20 CV 1634

Plaintiff,

JUDGE JAMES G. CARR

v.

OPINION AND ORDER

Federal Bureau of Investigation,

Defendant.

*Pro se* Plaintiff Joseph Emerson filed this action in the Toledo Municipal Court against the Federal Bureau of Investigation (“FBI”). Defendant removed the case to federal court and filed a Motion to Dismiss (Doc. No. 4). The Complaint consists entirely of rambling, incoherent, stream-of-consciousness narrative. Plaintiff also filed a supplement written in the same manner. Because the Complaint does not include a lucid statement of fact or an identifiable legal claim, the Court need not address the Motion to Dismiss.

A district court may, at any time, *sua sponte* dismiss a Complaint when the allegations of the Complaint “are totally implausible, attenuated, unsubstantial, frivolous, devoid of merit, or no longer open to discussion.” *Apple v. Glenn*, 183 F.3d 477, 479 (6th Cir. 1999) (citing *Hagans v. Lavine*, 415 U.S. 528, 436-37 (1974)); see *Hassink v. Mottl*, 47 Fed.Appx. 753, 755 (6th Cir. 2002) (holding that district court properly dismissed the case *sua sponte* for lack of subject matter jurisdiction where the plaintiff’s complaint lacked an arguable basis in law). Because Plaintiff has not included any element of a Complaint needed to meet basic notice pleading requirements, this action is dismissed.

Case: 3:20-cv-01634-JGC Doc #: 8 Filed: 12/01/20 2 of 4. PageID #: 183

Furthermore, Plaintiff is a familiar litigant in the Northern District of Ohio. He filed eleven actions prior to this one, which were all dismissed as frivolous. *See Emerson v. Local 50 Northwestern Ohio Plumbers and Pipefitters*, No. 3:15-cv-02074 (N.D. Ohio Nov. 17, 2015); *Emerson v. Chrysler Headquarters et al*, No. 3:18-cv-02137 (N.D. Ohio Jan. 11, 2019); *Emerson v. Hilton Worldwide Global Headquarters et al*, No. 3:18-cv-02796 (N.D. Ohio Jan. 11, 2019); *Emerson v. Dept. of Public Utilities*, No. 3:19-cv-00351 (N.D. Ohio July 31, 2019); *Emerson v. Williams et al*, No. 3:19-cv-00365 (N.D. Ohio Jan. 2, 2020); *Emerson v. Beal*, No. 3:19-cv-00366 (N.D. Ohio Mar. 11, 2019); *Emerson v. CBS Headquarter et al*, No. 3:19-cv-00414 (N.D. Ohio May 29, 2019); *Emerson v. Myler*, No. 3:19-cv-00425 (N.D. Ohio Dec. 30, 2019); *Emerson v. Hebb*, No. 3:19-cv-00460 (N.D. Ohio Mar. 28, 2019); *Emerson v. City of Monroe et al*, No. 3:19-cv-00461 (N.D. Ohio Dec. 30, 2019); *Emerson v. Facebook et al*, No. 3:19-cv-00475 (N.D. Ohio Mar. 19, 2019). Due to his pattern of vexatious litigation, he has been barred from proceeding with a new action unless he pays the full filing fee. He is barred from proceeding *in forma pauperis*. *Emerson v. Facebook et al*, No. 3:19-cv-00475 (N.D. Ohio Mar. 19, 2019). Undeterred, he filed this case in state court from which Defendant removed it.

It is therefore necessary to impose further filing restrictions on Plaintiff. He is permanently enjoined from filing any new lawsuits or other documents without seeking and obtaining leave of court from the Chief Judge or the Miscellaneous Duty Judge in accordance with the following:

1. He must file a Motion Pursuant to Court Order Seeking Leave to File with any document he proposes to file and he must attach a copy of this Order to it (any such Motion should be filed in a miscellaneous case).
2. As an exhibit to any Motion seeking such leave, he must also attach a declaration which has been prepared pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1746 or a sworn affidavit certifying that (1) the document raises a new issue which has never been previously raised by his in this or any other court, (2) the

claim or issue is not frivolous, and (3) the document is not filed in bad faith.

3. By means of a second exhibit, he must identify and list: (a) the full caption of each and every suit which has been previously filed by him or on his behalf in any Court against each and every Defendant in any new suit he wishes to file, and (b) the full caption of each and every suit which he has currently pending.

4. As a third exhibit to the Motion, he must provide a copy of each Complaint identified and listed in accordance with the foregoing paragraph 3 and a certified record of its disposition.

The Court may deny any Motion for leave to file if the proposed document is frivolous, vexatious or harassing. If the Motion is denied, the document shall not be filed. Further, Plaintiff's failure to comply with the terms of this Order shall be sufficient ground for this Court to deny any Motion for leave to file, and may be considered an act of contempt for which he may be punished accordingly. If Plaintiff files the action in state court and it is removed to federal court by the Defendant, it will be dismissed without further notice by the Court unless Plaintiff immediately files the Motion for leave to proceed and attaches the three exhibits as set forth above.

Further, to prevent future harassment by Plaintiff and the waste of this Court's limited resources, the Clerk's Office is hereby ordered that it shall not accept any document submitted by Plaintiff prior to him obtaining leave to file. The Clerk's Office shall not file any Motion for leave unless it is *specifically identified* as a "Motion Pursuant to Court Order Seeking Leave to File," and unless it contains the attachments required by this Order. The Clerk's Office shall not accept any other documents from Plaintiff until the Motion Pursuant to Court Order Seeking Leave to File is granted.

Case: 3:20-cv-01634-JGC Doc #: 8 Filed: 12/01/20 4 of 4. PageID #: 185

Accordingly, this action is dismissed. The Court certifies pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1915(a)(3) that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith.<sup>1</sup> In addition, Plaintiff is permanently enjoined from filing additional actions or prosecuting additional actions in this Court without first obtaining leave of court as set forth in this Memorandum of Opinion and Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/James G. Carr

---

JAMES G. CARR  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

---

<sup>1</sup> 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3) provides:

An appeal may not be taken *in forma pauperis* if the trial court certifies that it is not taken in good faith.

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
WESTERN DIVISION

Joseph Emerson,

Case No. 3:19 CV 475

Plaintiff,

DISMISSAL ORDER

-vs-

JUDGE JACK ZOUHARY

Facebook, et al.,

Defendants.

**INTRODUCTION**

Plaintiff *pro se* Joseph Emerson filed this Complaint against Facebook, United Association, FBI Headquarters, and Norwegian Cruise Lines (Doc. 2). The Complaint consists entirely of a rambling, stream-of-consciousness narrative. Since filing the Complaint on March 4, 2019, Emerson has filed three Supplements (Docs. 4, 5, 6) written in the same manner as the Complaint.

**LEGAL STANDARD**

*Pro se* pleadings are liberally construed. *Boag v. MacDougall*, 454 U.S. 364, 365 (1982); *Haines v. Kerner*, 404 U.S. 519, 520 (1972). This Court, however, is required to dismiss a *pro se* complaint if it fails to state a claim upon which relief may be granted or lacks an arguable basis in law or fact. 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(2); *Neitzke v. Williams*, 490 U.S. 319, 328 (1989). An action has no arguable basis in law when a defendant is immune from suit or when a plaintiff claims a violation of a legal interest which clearly does not exist. *Williams*, 490 U.S. at 327. An action has no arguable basis in fact when the allegations are “delusional” or “wholly incredible.” *Denton v. Hernandez*, 504 U.S. 25, 32–33 (1992) (citation omitted).

At the pleading stage, this Court construes the Complaint in the light most favorable to Emerson, accepts all factual allegations as true, and determines whether the Complaint contains “enough facts to state a claim to relief that is plausible on its face.” *Bell Atl. Corp. v. Twombly*, 550 U.S. 544, 570 (2007). But this Court need not accept as true allegations that are “clearly baseless,” such as claims that are fanciful, delusional, wholly incredible, or irrational. *Denton*, 504 U.S. at 32–33. A claim is plausible when the Complaint contains “factual content that allows the court to draw the reasonable inference that the defendant is liable for the misconduct alleged.” *Ashcroft v. Iqbal*, 556 U.S. 662, 678 (2009). At a minimum, the Complaint must give Defendants fair notice of what Emerson’s claims are and the factual grounds upon which they rest. *Bassett v. Nat’l Collegiate Athletic Ass’n*, 528 F.3d 426, 437 (6th Cir. 2008).

#### DISCUSSION

This case lacks an arguable basis in law and fact. Neither the Complaint nor the Supplements contain any decipherable legal claims or requests for relief. This Court is not required to conjure up questions never squarely presented to it or to construct full blown claims from sentence fragments. *Martin v. Overton*, 391 F.3d 710, 714 (6th Cir. 2004); *Beaudett v. City of Hampton*, 775 F.2d 1274, 1278 (4th Cir. 1985). The Complaint does not meet the basic pleading requirements and must be dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e).

Emerson is a familiar litigant in the Northern District of Ohio and, in all cases filed, he has sought to proceed *in forma pauperis*. Emerson recently filed three other lawsuits, in addition to this one, in which he was granted leave to proceed *in forma pauperis* and which were dismissed pursuant to Section 1915(e) or for lack of subject matter jurisdiction. Those cases are: Case No. 3:18-cv-2137-JZ (*Emerson v. Chrysler Headquarters, et al.*) (dismissed under Section 1915(e) and enjoined from filing further documents in the case due to pattern of filing frivolous and vexatious materials);

Case: 3:19-cv-00475-JZ Doc #: 7 Filed: 03/19/19 3 of 4. PageID #: 38

Case No. 3:18-cv-2796-JZ (*Emerson v. Hilton Worldwide Global Headquarters, et al.*) (same); Case No. 3:19-cv-366-JGC (*Emerson v. Beal*) (dismissed for lack of subject matter jurisdiction).

And there are more *in forma pauperis* actions filed by Emerson that are still pending in this District. Those cases are: Case No. 3:19-cv-351-JJH (*Emerson v. Department of Public Utilities*); Case No. 3:19-cv-365-JJH (*Emerson v. Williams, et al.*); Case No. 3:19-cv-414-JGC (*Emerson v. CBS Headquarters, et al.*); Case No. 3:19-cv-425-JJH (*Emerson v. Myler, et al.*); Case No. 3:19-cv-460-JGC (*Emerson v. Hebb*); and Case No. 3:19-cv-461-JJH (*Emerson v. City of Monroe, et al.*).

Altogether, Emerson has filed ten lawsuits in the Northern District of Ohio in under six months. Eight of these lawsuits were filed in the first three months of 2019. Of the ten, four (including this one) were dismissed before service of process, and six are pending:

Proceeding *in forma pauperis* is a privilege, not a right. *Wilson v. Yaklich*, 148 F.3d 596, 603 (6th Cir. 1998). The repeated filing of meritless lawsuits diverts judicial resources, interferes with the orderly administration of justice, and is incompatible with the privilege of proceeding *in forma pauperis*. See *Moore v. Controlled Substances Act*, 2006 WL 1313880, at \*1 (W.D. Mich. 2006). “[F]ederal courts have the inherent power to impose appropriate sanctions, including restrictions on future access to the judicial system, to deter future frivolous, harassing, or duplicative lawsuits.” *Lawrence v. Bevin*, 2017 WL 1103616, at \*3 (W.D. Ky. 2017) (citing *Chambers v. Nasco, Inc.*, 501 U.S. 32, 43–45 (1991); *Futernick v. Sumpter Twp.*, 207 F.3d 305, 314 (6th Cir. 2000); *Filipas v. Lemons*, 835 F.2d 1145, 1146 (6th Cir. 1987)). This inherent power includes denying the privilege of proceeding as a pauper when a litigant abuses the privilege by repeatedly filing meritless lawsuits. See *In re McDonald*, 489 U.S. 180, 184–85 (1989); *Maxberry v. S.E.C.*, 879 F.2d 222, 224 (6th Cir. 1989).



This Court has a responsibility to ensure that the judiciary's resources are allocated in a manner that advances the interests of justice. Given Emerson's history of filing multiple lawsuits in this District in quick succession -- with none yet found to have merit in federal court -- this Court concludes that allowing Emerson to continue to file lawsuits in this District without payment of the filing fee does not promote the use of court resources in the interest of justice. *See Maxberry*, 879 F.2d at 224. Accordingly, in the future, Plaintiff Joseph Emerson will no longer be permitted to file civil lawsuits in this District without paying the full filing fee.

**CONCLUSION**

Emerson's Motion to Proceed in Forma Pauperis (Doc. 2) is granted, but if Emerson desires to pursue future litigation in the Northern District of Ohio, he will be required to pay the full filing fee.

This action is dismissed under 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e). This Court certifies, pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(a)(3), that an appeal from this decision could not be taken in good faith.

Emerson is enjoined from filing any further documents, letters, or supplements in this case due to his clear pattern of filing materials that are "patently frivolous and vexatious" and that "abuse the judicial process." *Kissi v. Clement*, 2008 WL 7526326, at \*5 (N.D. Ohio 2008).

IT IS SO ORDERED.

s/ Jack Zouhary  
JACK ZOUHARY  
U. S. DISTRICT JUDGE

March 19, 2019

ACTIVE CASE PUR IN FEDERAL COURT

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
(WESTERN DIVISION)

JOSEPH EMERSON,	)	CASE NO. 3:23-cv-00023
	)	
Plaintiff,	)	JUDGE JEFFREY J. HELMICK
	)	
-v-	)	
	)	<b><u>DEFENDANT HOME DEPOT U.S.A.</u></b>
INTERSTATE BUILDING	)	<b><u>INC.'S MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF</u></b>
MAINTENANCE et al.,	)	<b><u>TIME TO MOVE, PLEAD OR</u></b>
	)	<b><u>OTHERWISE RESPOND TO</u></b>
Defendants.	)	<b><u>PLAINTIFF'S COMPLAINTS</u></b>

Now comes Defendant Home Depot U.S.A. Inc., by and through undersigned counsel, and hereby moves the Court for an Order granting it an additional thirty (30) days, up to and including February 13, 2023 in which to move, plead or otherwise respond to the Complaints of Plaintiff Joseph Emerson ("Plaintiff"). Defendant states this extension is not made for purposes of delay, but is necessary in order to digest Plaintiff's multiple Complaints, and prepare a meaningful response on behalf of Defendant. For the above reason, said Motion should be well taken and granted.

Respectfully submitted,

/s/ Lauren C. Tompkins  
 Rebecca J. Bennett (0069566)  
 Lauren C. Tompkins (0087304)  
 Ogletree, Deakins, Nash, Smoak & Stewart, P.C.  
 Key Tower  
 127 Public Square, Suite 4100  
 Cleveland, OH 44114  
 216.241.6100  
 216.357.4733 (FAX)  
[Rebecca.bennett@ogletree.com](mailto:Rebecca.bennett@ogletree.com)  
[lauren.tompkins-payton@ogletree.com](mailto:lauren.tompkins-payton@ogletree.com)  
 Attorneys for Defendant  
 Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that on January 11, 2023, a copy of the foregoing *Defendant Home Depot U.S.A. Inc.'s Motion for Extension of Time to Move, Plead or Otherwise Respond to Plaintiff's Complaints* was electronically filed with the Clerk of Court using the CM/ECF system. Notice of filing will be sent to all parties by operation of the Court's electronic filing system. Parties may access this filing through the Court's system. In addition, this filing is also being served upon all parties below Regular U.S. mail and/or via electronic mail.

Joseph Emerson  
PO BOX 13  
Toledo, Ohio 43697  
*Plaintiff*

Interstate Building Maintenance Corp.  
c/o Brad Marg, Vice President Quality  
508 Prudential Rd.  
Suite 100  
Horsham, PA 19044  
[bmarg@interstatemaintenance.com](mailto:bmarg@interstatemaintenance.com)  
*Defendant*

/s/ Lauren C. Tompkins  
Lauren C. Tompkins  
*One of the Attorneys for Defendant  
Home Depot U.S.A., Inc.*

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHWESTERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
(WESTERN DIVISION )

PLAINTIFF:

CASE : 3:23-CV-00023

JOSEPH EMERSON

JUDGE:

V

COMPLAINT: INVASION OF PRIVACY

DEFENDANTS:

INTERSTATE BUILDING MAINTENANCE (ET/AL)

SUMMARY:

THREE DECADES FBI DETROIT HAS PUT KIM BROOKS AND BROTHER, MARK SIEBARTH, GREG SMITH, JASON HILL AND OTHERS ON SA FABRICATED WITNESS PROTECTION GAME I AM A DRUG DEALER. THEY DWINDLED 23,000 FORCED SALE MY HOUSE BLACKBALLED PIPEFITTING JOBS, 60,000 INHERITENCE BLACKBALLED ALSO STREET JOBS FOUR YEARS, AND I HAD 5,000 IN SAVINGS JUDGE LORI OLENDER HELPED FBI DWINDLE NOT FILING MY LAWSUIT UNEMPLOYMENT QUITTING JOB FORCED AWC. THIS MONEY CAME FROM COVID, STIMULOUS CHECKS AND SAVING MONEY ONLY PAYING 300 RENT. AT HOME DEPOT I BELIEVE FBI INTERSTATE SEX TRAFFICS THE ABOVE AND OTHERS THEIR FEMALE EMPLOYEES. AS I CAME BACK TO WORK THIS SUNDAY JAMES DELISLE CALLED IN SICK. THEN TOM THE SITE MANAGER TOOK HIS SPOT AND MYSTERIOUS NOW NICK IS COMING TO WORK, SO IS CINDY. THIS IS WHY I SENT IN A SUBPOENA REQUESTING ATTENDANCE. FBI TOLD MANAGEMENT, EVEN LEO WAS IN SUNDAY MORNING, ANTICIPATING SUING ALSO 100,000. LEO AND TOM ARE IN CIRCLE OF VILLIANS NOT HIRING PEOPLE. NOT COMING IN , NICK AND CINDY, CREATE PRIOR EVEN WORSE WORKLOAD. ONCE THEY HAVE BAIT A LAWSUIT INCENTATIVE, THEN THEY SHOW UP ALL THE TIME.

JOSEPH EMERSON

01/18/2023

AO 88B (Rev. 02/14) Subpoena to Produce Documents, Information, or Objects or to Permit Inspection of Premises in a Civil Action

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Ohio



joseph emerson

Plaintiff

interstate building maintenance (et/al)

Defendant

Civil Action No. 3:23-cv-00023

## SUBPOENA TO PRODUCE DOCUMENTS, INFORMATION, OR OBJECTS OR TO PERMIT INSPECTION OF PREMISES IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: bob weller  
interstate building maintenance, 508 prudential road, suite 100, horsham, penn. 19044

(Name of person to whom this subpoena is directed)

**Production:** YOU ARE COMMANDED to produce at the time, date, and place set forth below the following documents, electronically stored information, or objects, and to permit inspection, copying, testing, or sampling of the material: 1) attendance records interstate employees at home depot warehouse, 22671 pemberville road, lucky, ohio 43443. 2) records of home depot paying interstate for its employees hired up to and/or including 40 by contract per se. 3) a copy of the contract agreement between interstate and home depot.

Place:	Date and Time:
--------	----------------

**Inspection of Premises:** YOU ARE COMMANDED to permit entry onto the designated premises, land, or other property possessed or controlled by you at the time, date, and location set forth below, so that the requesting party may inspect, measure, survey, photograph, test, or sample the property or any designated object or operation on it.

Place:	Date and Time:
--------	----------------

The following provisions of Fed. R. Civ. P. 45 are attached – Rule 45(c), relating to the place of compliance; Rule 45(d), relating to your protection as a person subject to a subpoena; and Rule 45(e) and (g), relating to your duty to respond to this subpoena and the potential consequences of not doing so.

Date: 01/16/2023

CLERK OF COURT

OR

Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk

Attorney's signature

The name, address, e-mail address, and telephone number of the attorney representing (name of party) \_\_\_\_\_, who issues or requests this subpoena, are:

### Notice to the person who issues or requests this subpoena

If this subpoena commands the production of documents, electronically stored information, or tangible things or the inspection of premises before trial, a notice and a copy of the subpoena must be served on each party in this case before it is served on the person to whom it is directed. Fed. R. Civ. P. 45(a)(4).

<b>Form 211</b> (July 2018)	Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service <b>Application for Award for Original Information</b>	OMB Number 1545-0409 Date Claim received Claim number (completed by IRS)
--------------------------------	--	--

**Section A – Information About the Person or Business You Are Reporting**

1. Is this <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> New submission or <input type="checkbox"/> Supplemental submission If a supplemental submission, list previously assigned claim number(s)	2. Last 4 digits of Taxpayer Identification Number(s) (e.g., SSN, ITIN, or EIN)
---	---

3. Name of taxpayer (include aliases) and any related taxpayers who committed the violation  
INTERSTATE BUILDING MAINTENANCE

4. Taxpayer's address, including ZIP code <u>2309 508 PRUDENTIAL ROAD #100, HORSHAM, PA 19044</u>	5. Taxpayer's date of birth or approximate age
--	--

6. Name and title and contact information of IRS employee to whom violation was first reported, if known

7. Alleged Violation of Tax Law (check all that apply)

<input type="checkbox"/> Income Tax	<input type="checkbox"/> Employment Tax	<input type="checkbox"/> Estate & Gift Tax	<input type="checkbox"/> Tax Exempt Bonds
<input type="checkbox"/> Employee Plans	<input type="checkbox"/> Governmental Entities	<input type="checkbox"/> Exempt Organizations	<input type="checkbox"/> Excise
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (Identify) <u>UNREPORTED INCOME</u>			

8. Describe the Alleged Violation. State all pertinent facts to the alleged violation. (Attach a detailed explanation and include all supporting information in your possession and describe the availability and location of any additional supporting information not in your possession.) Explain why you believe the act described constitutes a violation of the tax laws

HOME DEPOT PAY INTERSTATE 40 PEOPLE AND DOES NOT HAVE 40.

9. Describe how you learned about and/or obtained the information that supports this claim. (Attach sheet if needed)

10. What is your relationship (current and former) to the alleged noncompliant taxpayer(s)? Check all that apply. (Attach sheet if needed)

<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Current Employee	<input type="checkbox"/> Former Employee	<input type="checkbox"/> Attorney	<input type="checkbox"/> CPA
<input type="checkbox"/> Relative/Family Member	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe) _____		

11. Do you still maintain a relationship with the taxpayer  Yes  No

12. If yes to number 11, describe your relationship with the taxpayer

13. Are you involved with any governmental or legal proceeding involving the taxpayer  Yes  No

14. If yes to number 13, Explain in detail. (Attach sheet if needed)

15. Describe the amount of tax owed by the taxpayer(s). Provide a summary of the information you have that supports your claim as to the amount owed (i.e. books, ledgers, records, receipts, tax returns, etc). (Attach sheet if needed)

16. Fill in Tax Year (TY) and Dollar Amount (\$), if known

TY \_\_\_\_\_ \$ \_\_\_\_\_ TY \_\_\_\_\_ \$ \_\_\_\_\_ TY \_\_\_\_\_ \$ \_\_\_\_\_ TY \_\_\_\_\_ \$ \_\_\_\_\_

**Section B – Information About Yourself**

17. Name of individual claimant: <u>JOSEPH EMEALON</u>	18. Claimant's date of birth (MMDDYYYY) <u>09/09/1962</u>	19. Last 4 digits of Claimant's SSN or ITIN <u>9642</u>
---	--	--

20. Are you currently an IRS employee <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	21. Are you the spouse or a dependent of an IRS employee <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
22. Are you currently an IRS contractor <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	23. Are you a Federal, State or Local Government employee <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

24. Address of claimant, including ZIP code <u>P.O. BOX 13</u> <u>TOLEDO, OHIO 43697</u>	25. Telephone number (including area code) <u>567-315-0878</u>
--	---

26. Email address

27. Declaration under Penalty of Perjury I declare that I have examined this application, all accompanying statement and supporting documentation, and to the best of my knowledge and belief, they are true, correct, and complete

Signature of Claimant 	Date <u>01/12/2023</u>
---------------------------	---------------------------

Form <b>3949-A</b> (October 2020)	Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service <b>Information Referral</b> (See instructions on reverse)	OMB Number 1545-1960
--------------------------------------	---	-------------------------

Use this form to report suspected tax law violations by a person or a business.

**CAUTION: READ THE INSTRUCTIONS BEFORE COMPLETING THIS FORM.** There may be other more appropriate forms specific to your complaint. (For example, if you suspect your identity was stolen, use Form 14039.)

**Section A - Information About the Person or Business You Are Reporting**

Complete 1, if you are reporting an individual. Complete 2, if you are reporting a business only. Complete 1 and 2 if you are reporting a business and its owner. (Leave blank any lines you do not know.)

1a. Name of individual		b. Social Security Number/TIN		c. Date of birth	
d. Street address		e. City		f. State	g. ZIP code
h. Occupation		i. Email address			
j. Marital status (check one, if known) <input type="checkbox"/> Married <input type="checkbox"/> Single <input type="checkbox"/> Head of Household <input type="checkbox"/> Divorced <input type="checkbox"/> Separated				k. Name of spouse	
2a. Name of business <b>INTERSTATE BUILDING MAINTENANCE</b>		b. Employer Tax ID number (EIN)		c. Telephone number	
d. Street address <b>2309 508 PRUDENTIAL ROAD #100</b>		e. City <b>HURSHAM</b>		f. State <b>PA</b>	g. ZIP code <b>19044</b>
h. Email address		i. Website			

**Section B - Describe the Alleged Violation of Income Tax Law**

3. Alleged violation of income tax law. (Check all that apply.)

<input type="checkbox"/> False Exemption	<input type="checkbox"/> Unsubstantiated Income	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Unreported Income	<input type="checkbox"/> Failure to Withhold Tax
<input type="checkbox"/> False Deductions	<input type="checkbox"/> Earned Income Credit	<input type="checkbox"/> Narcotics Income	<input type="checkbox"/> Failure to File Return
<input type="checkbox"/> Multiple Filings	<input type="checkbox"/> Public/Political Corruption	<input type="checkbox"/> Kickback	<input type="checkbox"/> Failure to Pay Tax
<input type="checkbox"/> Organized Crime	<input type="checkbox"/> False/Altered Documents	<input type="checkbox"/> Wagering/Gambling	<input type="checkbox"/> Other (describe in 5)

4. Unreported income and tax years  
 Fill in Tax Years and dollar amounts, if known (e.g., TY 2010- \$10,000)

TY \_\_\_ \$ \_\_\_ TY \_\_\_ \$ \_\_\_ TY \_\_\_ \$ \_\_\_ TY \_\_\_ \$ \_\_\_ TY \_\_\_ \$ \_\_\_

5. Comments (Briefly describe the facts of the alleged violation-Who/What/Where/When/How you learned about and obtained the information in this report. Attach another sheet, if needed.)

**HOME DEPOT PAID INTERSTATE BY CONTRACT FOR 40 EMPLOYEES. INTERSTATE ATTENDANCE DOES NOT FIRE EMPLOYEES OR HIRE THE 40 PAID FOR. THIS IS THEN A WORKLOAD ISSUE THERE, SO QUIT. THIS AFFECTS PLANT CLEANLINESS AND TRYING TO FORCE PEOPLE OVERTIME TO DO THE WORKLOAD. HOW MANY PALACE HOMES DOES THIS HAPPEN?**

6. Additional information. Answer these questions, if possible. Otherwise, leave blank.

a. Are book/records available? (If available, do not send now. We will contact you, if they are needed for an investigation.)  Yes  No

b. Do you consider the taxpayer dangerous  Yes  No

c. Banks, Financial Institutions used by the taxpayer

Name			Name		
Street address			Street address		
City	State	ZIP code	City	State	ZIP code

**Section C - Information About Yourself**

(We never share this information with the person or business you are reporting.)

This information is not required to process your report, but would be helpful if we need to contact you for any additional information.

7a. Your name <b>JOSEPH FAENOW</b>		b. Telephone number <b>567-315-0878</b>		c. Best time to call	
d. Street address <b>P.O. Box 13</b>		e. City <b>TOLEDO, OH</b>		f. State <b>OH</b>	g. ZIP code <b>43697</b>

Print and send your completed form to: Internal Revenue Service  
 PO Box 3801  
 Ogden, UT 84409

CASE  
REACTIVATED  
BY COURT OF  
APPEALS DISMISSED  
WITH PREJUDICE

FILED  
COURT OF APPEALS  
2022 OCT -4 AM 9:48  
COMMON PLEAS COURT  
BERNIE QUILTER  
CLERK OF COURTS

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO  
SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT  
LUCAS COUNTY

JOSEPH EMERSON

COURT OF APPEALS NO. {48}L-22-1218

APPELLANT

TRIAL COURT NO. CI0202202529

v.

LOCAL 421, ET AL.

DECISION AND JUDGMENT

APPELLEES

\*\*\*\*\*

This appeal is before the court sua sponte. Appellant, Joseph Emerson, filed a notice of appeal from the August 26, 2022 judgment of the Lucas County Court of Common Pleas, that dismissed appellant's complaint as to defendant-appellee Local 421 only. For the following reasons, the appeal is dismissed for lack of a final, appealable order.

The record reflects that on May 27, 2022, appellant filed a complaint against defendants Local 421, Local 50, Local 636, Local 671, and BP Husky Refinery. In a judgment journalized on July 22, 2022, the complaint as to Local 636 was dismissed.

**E-JOURNALIZED**

1.

OCT - 4 2022



Neither the July 22, 2022 judgment dismissing defendant Local 636, nor the August 26, 2022 judgment from which this appeal is taken, contain a Civ.R. 54(B) determination that there is “no just reason for delay” and, therefore, a final, appealable order has not yet been entered. *Noble v. Colwell*, 44 Ohio St.3d 92, 540 N.E.2d 1381 (1989), states, “An order which adjudicates one or more but fewer than all the claims or the rights and liabilities of fewer than all the parties must meet the requirements of R.C. 2505.02 and Civ.R. 54(B) in order to be final and appealable.” *Id.* at syllabus.

Civ.R. 54(B) states:

**Judgment Upon Multiple Claims or Involving Multiple Parties.** When more than one claim for relief is presented in an action, whether as a claim, counterclaim, cross-claim or third-party claim, and whether arising out of the same or separate transactions, or when multiple parties are involved, the court may enter final judgment as to one or more but fewer than all of the claims or parties only upon an express determination that there is no just reason for delay. In the absence of a determination that there is no just reason for delay, any order or other form of decision, however designated, which adjudicates fewer than all the claims or the rights and liabilities of fewer than all the parties, shall not terminate the action as to any of the claims or parties, and the order or other form of decision is subject to revision at any time.

before the entry of judgment adjudicating all the claims and the rights and liabilities of all the parties.

The trial court's judgment from which appellant has appealed does not dispose of all the claims between all of the parties and does not contain a determination that there is no just reason for delay. Because appellant's complaint against defendants Local 50, Local 671, and BP Husky Refinery remain pending, the appeal is dismissed for lack of a final, appealable order. Appellant is ordered to pay the costs of this appeal pursuant to App.R. 24.


It is so ordered.

A certified copy of this entry shall constitute the mandate pursuant to App.R. 27. See, also, 6th Dist.Loc.App.R. 4.

Thomas J. Osowik, J.

Christine E. Mayle, J.


Myron C. Duhart, P.J.  
CONCUR



JUDGE



JUDGE



JUDGE

# Court of Appeals of Ohio

*Sixth Appellate District*

JUDGE MARK L. PIETRYKOWSKI  
JUDGE THOMAS J. OSOWIK  
JUDGE CHRISTINE E. MAYLE  
JUDGE GENE A. ZMUDA  
JUDGE MYRON C. DUHART  
PRESIDING JUDGE

ONE CONSTITUTION AVENUE  
TOLEDO, OHIO 43604-2104  
419-213-4755  
FAX 419-213-4844  
E-Mail: 6thca@co.lucas.oh.us

COUNTIES IN DISTRICT  
ERIE OTTAWA  
FULTON SANDUSKY  
HURON WILLIAMS  
LUCAS WOOD

JASON A. HILL  
COURT ADMINISTRATOR  
CARRIE A. CONNELLY  
ASSISTANT COURT  
ADMINISTRATOR

October 6, 2022

Joseph Emerson  
P.O. Box 13  
Toledo, Ohio 42697  
Re: Documents received


Dear Mr. Emerson:

Your appeal for CI-202103693, our case #CL-222-1218 has been dismissed on October 4, 2022. There is no appeal pending with the court. Enclosed is a copy, you will be receiving a file stamped copy through the clerk's office.

The court received your documents. The court does not have a filing clerk at this address, therefore I am returning your documents. I am providing to you the Clerk of Court's address for filing your paperwork. The mailing address for the clerk is as follows:

**Clerk of Courts/ Court of Appeals  
Lucas County Courthouse  
700 Adams Street  
Toledo, OH 43604**

Sincerely,



Jason A. Hill  
Court Administrator

JAH/kah  
Enclosures

STILL NOT PAID

**COPY**

FILED  
LUCAS COUNTY

2022 NOV 29 PM 3:36

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO  
COMMON PLEAS COURT  
BERNIE GUILTER  
CLERK OF COURTS

JOSEPH EMERSON  
Plaintiff,


vs.

LOCAL 421, et al.,  
Defendants.

\* Case No. G-4801-CI-0202202529-000  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\* Judgment Entry  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\* JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE  
\*

The record of this case shows that more than 28 days have passed since service was obtained on the Defendant, BP HUSKY REFINERY and that no responsive pleading has been filed.

It is therefore Ordered that Plaintiff file a Motion for Default Judgment by December 19, 2022, or this case will be dismissed as to Defendant, BP HUSKY REFINERY without prejudice pursuant to Ohio Civil Rule 41.



November 28, 2022

JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE

cc:  
JOSEPH EMERSON- See Praecipe

**E-JOURNALIZED**

NOV 30 2022

FILED  
LUCAS COUNTY

2022 NOV 29 PM 3:36

COMMON PLEAS COURT  
BERNIE GUILTER  
CLERK OF COURTS  
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO

JOSEPH EMERSON  
Plaintiff,

vs.


LOCAL 421, et al.,  
Defendants.

\* Case No. G-4801-CI-0202202529-000  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\* Judgment Entry  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\* JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE  
\*

The record of this case shows that more than 28 days have passed since service was obtained on the Defendant, BP HUSKY REFINERY and that no responsive pleading has been filed.

It is therefore Ordered that Plaintiff file a Motion for Default Judgment by December 19, 2022, or this case will be dismissed as to Defendant, BP HUSKY REFINERY without prejudice pursuant to Ohio Civil Rule 41.

November 28, 2022

  
JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE

cc:  
JOSEPH EMERSON- See Praecipe

**E-JOURNALIZED**

NOV 30 2022

E - JOURNALIZED  
CIVILSCANNER1  
11/30/2022 10:34:14 AM  
LUCAS COUNTY  
IMAGING SYSTEM  
JOURNALIZED:  
11/30/2022  
JOURNAL ID: 17714036

Case # G-4801-CI-202202529-000

JUDGE LINDSAY NAVARRE

FILED

JOSEPH EMERSON  
LUCAS COUNTY

Plaintiff

P.O. Box 2  
~~2022 DEC 2 PM 3:40~~

TOLEDO, OHIO 43697

COMMON PLEAS COURT  
BERNIE QUILTER  
CLERK OF COURTS

vs.

**MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT**

BP HUSKY REFINERY

Defendant

4001 CEDAR POINT ROAD

OREGON, OHIO 43616

Plaintiff hereby moves the Court to enter judgment by default herein in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant. The defendant has been duly served with summons herein in accordance with law and has not entered an appearance, answered or otherwise defended the action brought by the plaintiff, and that, therefore the plaintiff is entitled to a default judgment in the amount demanded herein.

Joseph Emerson  
Plaintiff

**JUDGMENT ENTRY**

Upon application of the plaintiff herein having been heard, the Court finds that the allegations stated in plaintiff's application are true and said application should therefore be sustained and, therefore, the Court makes the following order.

WHEREFORE, it is the order of the Court that the plaintiff be granted judgment against the defendant in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ plus the costs this action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of this pleading was mailed to the defendant via US mail on this date, DECEMBER 02, 2022, to the Defendant at:

BP HUSKY REFINERY  
(address)  
4001 CEDAR POINT ROAD  
OREGON, OHIO 43616



LUCAS COUNTY  
COURTHOUSE  
700 Adams Street  
Toledo, Ohio 43604  
419/213-4484

**J. BERNIE QUILTER**  
Lucas County Clerk of Courts

THIS CASE WAS DISMISSED, I FILED  
OFFICE OF DISCIPLINARY, IT SAT, I  
DID THIS, THEN JUDGE DID A 28  
DAY FBI TRYING TO DISMISS CRIMINAL  
SEX CHARGE

December 12, 2022

EMERSON JOSEPH  
PO BOX 13  
TOLEDO, OH 43697

CASE NO: G-4801-CL-0202201291-000

JOSEPH EMERSON  
APPELLANT

CRAIGSLIST CORP HEADQUARTERS  
JIM BUCKMASTER CEO  
989 MARKET ST #200  
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94103

VS.


CRAIGSLIST CORP HEADQUARTERS  
APPELLEE

Dear Attorneys:

In compliance with Appellate Rule 11 (B), I wish to advise you that the record in the above numbered case was filed on December 12, 2022 in the Lucas County Court of Appeals.

This record Consisting of the original (electronically filed) pleadings and exhibits thereto filed in the trial court and a certified copy of the docket and journal entries. There was no transcript of proceedings filed. If you have any questions regarding this matter please call me at (419) 213-4486.

**BERNIE QUILTER**  
Clerk of Court

By:   
Deputy Clerk

cc: Toledo FBI, FBI Headquarters

Form# CA2 - 000006013



IN THE COMMON PLEAS COURT OF LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO

PLAINTIFF :

CASE NUMBER: G-4801-CI-202202672-000

JOSEPH EMERSON

JUDGE: ERIC ALLEN MARKS

V

COMPLAINT: INVASION OF PRIVACY FBI/ZOOSK

DEFENDANTS:

FACEBOOK/MARK ZUCKERBURG (ET/AL)

SUMMARY:

I EMAILED CORINA LOPEZ I KNEW A JOB AWC WHO IS PRESENTLY AN ACTIVE LAWSUIT. I EMAILED HER EVIDENCE SINISTER GAMES THERE AND ELSEWHERE. THEN SHE HAD BOYFRIEND ISSUES AND WE WERE ACCUSED AN AFFAIR. HER THEN BOYFRIEND IS PICTURED FACEBOOK WITH A BABY, SHE IS ALONE PICTURED WITH A BABY. SHE DID NOT RESPOND BACK, ANOTHER FBI GAME. I WENT ON ZOOSK, FACEBOOKS DATING WEBSITE, THEY WILL NOT ALLOW ME TO POST A PHOTO. I SEE A GAME I AM HIDING NOT POSTING A PHOTO SHOWING MY IDENTITY. OBVIOUS CORINA HAS A CHILD SOMEONE AND I OBVIOUSLY SOLDIER ON. ON TV THE VERY NEXT DAY FBI RIGGED A STATE FARM INSURANCE COMMERCIAL A LATINO FAMILY EATING SUPPER AND BLACK GUY A GAME JAY LEISURE WHORING. THEN THE GIRL HAD A HAND FULL OF SHRIMP TAILS A GAME HIS GIRLS. WHAT ARE ODDS I WRITE A LATINO AND HE LIVED WITH HER FAMILY SO BOTH COULD SAVE MONEY AN APARTMENT. FBI THINKS RIGGING FEMALES AND CASE SETTLEMENTS , NOBODY NEGOTIATES ANYTHING ANYWHERE BEHIND MY BACK. THEY ALSO DO NOT RIG OR DICTATE WHAT FEMALE I SEE.

JOSEPH EMERSON

01/18/2023



FILED  
LUCAS COUNTY

2022 NOV 16 **COPY**

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO

BERNE QUILLER  
CLERK OF COURTS

JOSEPH EMERSON  
Plaintiff,

vs.

CRAIGSLIST CORPORATE  
HEADQUARTERS, et al.,  
Defendants.

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

Case No. G-4801-CI-0202103693-000


Judgment Entry

JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE

The record of this case shows that more than 28 days have passed since service was obtained on the Defendant, TOLEDO FBI, and that no responsive pleading has been filed.

It is therefore Ordered that Plaintiff, Joseph Emerson, file a Motion for Default Judgment by December 5, 2022, or this case will be dismissed as to Defendant, TOLEDO FBI, without prejudice pursuant to Ohio Civil Rule 41.

November 14, 2022

  
JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE

cc:

JOSEPH EMERSON- See Praecipe  
TOLEDO FBI- See Praecipe

**E-JOURNALIZED**

NOV 21 2022

FILED  
LUCAS COUNTY

NOV 18 PM 3:47

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO  
CLERK OF COURTS

JOSEPH EMERSON  
Plaintiff,

vs.

CRAIGSLIST CORPORATE  
HEADQUARTERS, et al.,  
Defendants.

\* Case No. G-4801-CI-0202103693-000  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\* Judgment Entry for Partial Dismissal  
\*  
\*  
\* JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE  
\*

Matter comes before the Court upon review of the record. This Court notes that the *pro se* Plaintiff, JOSEPH EMERSON, has failed to comply with this Court's Order filed on September 29, 2022 by not showing cause why service has not been perfected on the Defendants, Craigslist Corporate Headquarters and FBI Headquarters.

Based upon the foregoing, the Plaintiff having failed to show cause why the case should not be dismissed as to said Defendants, pursuant to Lucas County Local Rule 5.01(C), it is Ordered that the above case is dismissed as to Defendants Craigslist Corporate Headquarters and FBI Headquarters for want of prosecution without prejudice and otherwise than on the merits at Plaintiff's costs.

November 14, 2022

  
JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE

cc:  
JOSEPH EMERSON- See Praecipe

E-JOURNALIZED  
NOV 21 2022



**J. BERNIE QUILTER**  
Lucas County Clerk of Courts

LUCAS COUNTY  
COURTHOUSE  
700 Adams Street  
Toledo, Ohio 43604  
419/213-4484

December 15, 2022

JOSEPH EMERSON  
PO BOX 13  
TOLEDO OH 43608

CASE NO: G-4801-CL-0202201294-000  
JOSEPH EMERSON  
APPELLANT  
VS.

BRIAN G DERSHAW  
425 WALNUT ST  
SUITE 1800  
CINCINNATI, OH 45202


AUTO WAREHOUSING COMPANY  
APPELLEE

Dear Attorneys:

In compliance with Appellate Rule 11 (B), I wish to advise you that the record in the above numbered case was filed on December 15, 2022 in the Lucas County Court of Appeals.

This record Consisting of the original (electronically filed) pleadings and exhibits thereto filed in the trial court and a certified copy of the docket and journal entries. There was no transcript of proceedings filed. If you have any questions regarding this matter please call me at (419) 213-4486.

**BERNIE QUILTER**  
Clerk of Court

By:   
Deputy Clerk

cc: Toledo FBI, L.Loughlin, O. Giannulli, I. Giannulli, Premier Bank, B.Federico, G. Grant



**COPY**

FILED  
COURT OF APPEALS  
2022 DEC 19 PM 2:03

COMMON PLEAS COURT  
BERNIE QUILTER  
CLERK OF COURT

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO  
SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT  
LUCAS COUNTY

JOSEPH EMERSON

COURT OF APPEALS NO. {48}L-22-1294

APPELLANT

TRIAL COURT NO. CI0202203605

V.

**DECISION AND JUDGMENT**

AUTO WAREHOUSING  
COMPANY, ET AL.,

APPELLEES

\*\*\*\*\*

ACCELERATED CALENDAR

SCHEDULING ORDER

It is the order of this court that this appeal be placed on the accelerated calendar, pursuant to 6th Dist.Loc.App.R. 12.

Finding that the record has been filed, appellant's brief shall be filed on or before **January 04, 2023**. Thereafter, briefs shall be filed in accordance with App.R. 11.1 (C). **No reply briefs** shall be filed without leave of court. See 6th Dist.Loc.App.R. 12(B). **No extensions of time** for filing briefs will be given except in extraordinary circumstances. See 6th Dist.Loc.App.R. 5(A). **No oral argument will be scheduled unless the court orders it sua sponte or if any party to the appeal files a written notice requesting**

**oral argument, in the form of the words "ORAL ARGUMENT REQUESTED"**  
**displayed prominently on the cover page of any appellant's or cross-appellant's**  
**opening brief or any appellee's or cross-appellee's brief.** See 6th Dist.Loc.App.R.  
9(A).

Any party may file a motion requesting that this appeal be removed from the accelerated calendar and placed on the regular calendar. See 6th Dist.Loc.App.R. 12(A).  
It is so ordered.



---

JUDGE

**To the Court of Appeals Clerk**

Serve a copy of this Decision and Judgment Entry on all parties, or if represented by counsel, on said counsel. Also, provide a copy of this Decision and Judgment to the trial court clerk, the trial court judge who signed the judgment entry appealed from and, if necessary, to the court reporter responsible for preparing the transcript of proceedings.

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO, SIXTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF LUCAS COUNTY

APPELLANT:

JOSEPH EMERSON

V

APPELLEES:

AUTO WAREHOUSING COMPANY (ET/AL)

COURT OF APPEALS NUMBER: (48) –L-22-1294

TRIAL COURT NUMBER: C10202203605

COMPLAINT: MORE EVIDENCE CASE

SUMMARY:

A GUY AT WORK KNOWS CORINA LOPEZ AND JAY LEISURE. THEY WERE A COUPLE WHO SPLIT UP. I EMAILED JAY AND STEPH CURRY TIME AGO. NOW JAY HAS HIM V CALLED STEPH. NOW I EMAILED CORINA TELLING HER EVERYTHING. THE GIRL HINTED WANTED A SITUATION I, AND HER TO SPLIT JAY. I EMAILED HER FACEBOOK AND I RECEIVED NO RESPONSE. I KNOW JAY IS PICTURED WITH A GIRL AND BABY. CORINA HAS PICTURES NO GUY, MOST OF HER AND A BABY. I TOLD HER FBI CONTROLS HER AND NEEDS TO MOVE ON. I ASSUME FEMALES ARE WAITING FOR SETTLEMENTS THEN THINK WILL USE MY MONEY, SHOWING THEIR FACE. AS I QUIT FORCED AWC I HAD 5,000 IN SAVINGS. THIS WAS FROM COVID AND STIMULOUS PAYMENTS, PLUS SAVED MONEY BEING FULL TIME. I TRIED BUYING A HOUSE WHEN WORKED FOR AWC. THE FBI 30 YEARS HAS PEOPLE A INTERSTATE FABRICATED WITNESS PROTECTION PROGRAM I AM. A DRUG DEALER. THEY TOLD JUDGE LORI OLENDER TO SIT ON MY LAWSUIT FIVE MONTHS EATING MY SAVINGS. AS I FILED LAWSUITS CASES YOU SEE MONETARY DWINDLE SIGNING AND SUBMITTING COURT FEE WAIVERS. PEOPLE AT WORK GAVE ME THEIR NUMBERS AND POLICE AND FBI HAD THEM TURN ON ME. FACEBOOK HAS A SINGLES WEBSITE CALLED ZOOSK. I WAS BLOCKED PUTTING MY PHOTOS ON THERE A GAME I AM HIDING. BOTH PHOTOS ARE DISCRIMINATION POSTED ON THE REGULAR FACEBOOK ACCOUNT. ON A TV 11 SHOP NETWORK CHANNEL THEY RAPE GAME RIGGED A HARVEY WEINSTEIN LOOK ALIKE. BEFORE I WENT TO AWC THEY STALLED HIRING ME FOR MONTHS. I ENDED UP PAWNING A ANTIQUE WATCH FOR 800 TO PAY BILLS. THIS WAS A FBI DRUG DEALER GAME FORCED ALSO. I ENDED UP NOT EVICTED, BUT FIVE MONTHS BEHIND ON RENT.

JOSEPH EMERSON

01/18/2023

**CIVIL COVER SHEET**

JS 44 (Rev. 04/21)

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

**I. (a) PLAINTIFFS**

JOSEPH EMERSON

**DEFENDANTS**

FBI INTERSTATE

(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff LUCAS  
(EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)

County of Residence of First Listed Defendant LUCAS  
(IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY)

(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)

~~JUDGE KNEPP  
MAG JUDGE CLAY  
3:23 8V 60~~

NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.

**FILED**  
JAN 17 2023  
CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
TOLEDO

**II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
- 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
- 2 U.S. Government Defendant
- 4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

**III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES** (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)

- |   |                                       |                                       |   |                            |                            |
|---|---------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|---|----------------------------|----------------------------|
|   | PTF                                   | DEF                                   |   | PTF                        | DEF                        |
| Citizen of This State                   | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 1 | <input type="checkbox"/> 1            | Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State     | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 | <input type="checkbox"/> 4 |
| Citizen of Another State                | <input type="checkbox"/> 2            | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 | Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 | <input type="checkbox"/> 5 |
| Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country | <input type="checkbox"/> 3            | <input type="checkbox"/> 3            | Foreign Nation  | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 | <input type="checkbox"/> 6 |

**IV. NATURE OF SUIT** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

CONTRACT	TORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES
<input type="checkbox"/> 110 Insurance <input type="checkbox"/> 120 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 130 Miller Act <input type="checkbox"/> 140 Negotiable Instrument <input type="checkbox"/> 150 Recovery of Overpayment & Enforcement of Judgment <input type="checkbox"/> 151 Medicare Act <input type="checkbox"/> 152 Recovery of Defaulted Student Loans (Excludes Veterans) <input type="checkbox"/> 153 Recovery of Overpayment of Veteran's Benefits <input type="checkbox"/> 160 Stockholders' Suits <input type="checkbox"/> 190 Other Contract <input type="checkbox"/> 195 Contract Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 196 Franchise	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 310 Airplane <input type="checkbox"/> 315 Airplane Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 320 Assault, Libel & Slander <input type="checkbox"/> 330 Federal Employers' Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 340 Marine <input type="checkbox"/> 345 Marine Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 350 Motor Vehicle <input type="checkbox"/> 355 Motor Vehicle Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 360 Other Personal Injury <input type="checkbox"/> 362 Personal Injury - Medical Malpractice	<b>PERSONAL INJURY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 365 Personal Injury - Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 367 Health Care/Pharmaceutical Personal Injury Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 368 Asbestos Personal Injury Product Liability <b>LABOR</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 <input type="checkbox"/> 423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157 <b>INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY RIGHTS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 820 Copyrights <input type="checkbox"/> 830 Patent <input type="checkbox"/> 835 Patent - Abbreviated New Drug Application <input type="checkbox"/> 840 Trademark <input type="checkbox"/> 880 Defend Trade Secrets Act of 2016 <b>SOCIAL SECURITY</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 861 HIA (1395ff) <input type="checkbox"/> 862 Black Lung (923) <input type="checkbox"/> 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) <input type="checkbox"/> 864 SSID Title XVI <input type="checkbox"/> 865 RSI (405(g)) <b>FEDERAL TAX SUITS</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff or Defendant) <input type="checkbox"/> 871 IRS—Third Party 26 USC 7609	<input type="checkbox"/> 375 False Claims Act <input type="checkbox"/> 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 3729(a)) <input type="checkbox"/> 400 State Reapportionment <input type="checkbox"/> 410 Antitrust <input type="checkbox"/> 430 Banks and Banking <input type="checkbox"/> 450 Commerce <input type="checkbox"/> 460 Deportation <input type="checkbox"/> 470 Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations <input type="checkbox"/> 480 Consumer Credit (15 USC 1681 or 1692) <input type="checkbox"/> 485 Telephone Consumer Protection Act <input type="checkbox"/> 490 Cable/Sat TV <input type="checkbox"/> 850 Securities/Commodities/Exchange <input type="checkbox"/> 890 Other Statutory Actions <input type="checkbox"/> 891 Agricultural Acts <input type="checkbox"/> 893 Environmental Matters <input type="checkbox"/> 895 Freedom of Information Act <input type="checkbox"/> 896 Arbitration <input type="checkbox"/> 899 Administrative Procedure Act/Review or Appeal of Agency Decision <input type="checkbox"/> 950 Constitutionality of State Statutes
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS	LABOR	IMMIGRATION
<input type="checkbox"/> 210 Land Condemnation <input type="checkbox"/> 220 Foreclosure <input type="checkbox"/> 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment <input type="checkbox"/> 240 Torts to Land <input type="checkbox"/> 245 Tort Product Liability <input type="checkbox"/> 290 All Other Real Property	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 440 Other Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 441 Voting <input type="checkbox"/> 442 Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 443 Housing/Accommodations <input type="checkbox"/> 445 Amer. w/Disabilities - Employment <input type="checkbox"/> 446 Amer. w/Disabilities - Other <input type="checkbox"/> 448 Education	<b>Habeas Corpus:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 463 Alien Detainee <input type="checkbox"/> 510 Motions to Vacate Sentence <input type="checkbox"/> 530 General <input type="checkbox"/> 535 Death Penalty <b>Other:</b> <input type="checkbox"/> 540 Mandamus & Other <input type="checkbox"/> 550 Civil Rights <input type="checkbox"/> 555 Prison Condition <input type="checkbox"/> 560 Civil Detainee - Conditions of Confinement	<input type="checkbox"/> 710 Fair Labor Standards Act <input type="checkbox"/> 720 Labor/Management Relations <input type="checkbox"/> 740 Railway Labor Act <input type="checkbox"/> 751 Family and Medical Leave Act <input type="checkbox"/> 790 Other Labor Litigation <input type="checkbox"/> 791 Employee Retirement Income Security Act	<input type="checkbox"/> 462 Naturalization Application <input type="checkbox"/> 465 Other Immigration Actions

**V. ORIGIN** (Place an "X" in One Box Only)

- 1 Original Proceeding
- 2 Removed from State Court
- 3 Remanded from Appellate Court
- 4 Reinstated or Reopened
- 5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
- 6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer
- 8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

**VI. CAUSE OF ACTION**

Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):  
10 U.S. CODE § 920 Art 120 RAPE UNLAWFUL FORCE / 28 USC CODE 1395f - SCANDAL  
 Brief description of cause:  
FBI IS INVADING INTERNET WEBSITES ENGAGING SEX FEMALE

**VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:**

CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$ \_\_\_\_\_  
 CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint:  
**JURY DEMAND:**  Yes  No

**VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY**

(See instructions): JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ DOCKET NUMBER \_\_\_\_\_

DATE DEC 16, 2022 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD [Signature]

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY: RECEIPT # \_\_\_\_\_ AMOUNT \_\_\_\_\_ APPLYING IFP \_\_\_\_\_ JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_ MAG. JUDGE \_\_\_\_\_

FILED

JAN 13 2023

CLERK, U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
TOLEDO

AO 240 (Rev. 07/10) Application to Proceed in District Court Without Prepaying Fees or Costs (Short Form)

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

JUDGE NEPP

MAG JUDGE CLAY

JOSEPH EMERSON

Plaintiff/Petitioner

v.

FBI INTERSTATE

Defendant/Respondent

Civil Action No. 3:23 CV 80

APPLICATION TO PROCEED IN DISTRICT COURT WITHOUT PREPAYING FEES OR COSTS (Short Form)

I am a plaintiff or petitioner in this case and declare that I am unable to pay the costs of these proceedings and that I am entitled to the relief requested.

In support of this application, I answer the following questions under penalty of perjury:

1. If incarcerated. I am being held at:

If employed there, or have an account in the institution, I have attached to this document a statement certified by the appropriate institutional officer showing all receipts, expenditures, and balances during the last six months for any institutional account in my name. I am also submitting a similar statement from any other institution where I was incarcerated during the last six months.

2. If not incarcerated. If I am employed, my employer's name and address are:

INTERSTATE BUILDING MAINTENANCE  
2309 508 PRUDENTIAL ROAD #100  
HORSHAM, PENNSYLVANIA 19044

My gross pay or wages are: \$ 2,560 , and my take-home pay or wages are: \$ 1,920 per

(specify pay period) MONTHLY

3. Other Income. In the past 12 months, I have received income from the following sources (check all that apply):

- (a) Business, profession, or other self-employment  Yes  No
(b) Rent payments, interest, or dividends  Yes  No
(c) Pension, annuity, or life insurance payments  Yes  No
(d) Disability, or worker's compensation payments  Yes  No
(e) Gifts, or inheritances  Yes  No
(f) Any other sources  Yes  No

If you answered "Yes" to any question above, describe below or on separate pages each source of money and state the amount that you received and what you expect to receive in the future.





Pro Se 1 (Rev. 12/16) Complaint for a Civil Case

~~FILED~~

~~JAN 22 2023~~

~~CLERK U.S. DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO  
TOLEDO~~

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Ohio

Civil Division

JOSEPH EMERSON

Plaintiff(s)

(Write the full name of each plaintiff who is filing this complaint. If the names of all the plaintiffs cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)

-v-

FBI INTENTATE (ET/AL)

Defendant(s)

(Write the full name of each defendant who is being sued. If the names of all the defendants cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)

Case No.

~~3:23 CV 60~~

~~(to be filled in by the Clerk's Office)~~

Jury Trial: (check one)

Yes  No

~~JUDGE KNEPP  
MAG. JUDGE CLAY~~

COMPLAINT FOR A CIVIL CASE

I. The Parties to This Complaint

A. The Plaintiff(s)

Provide the information below for each plaintiff named in the complaint. Attach additional pages if needed.

Name	<u>JOSEPH EMERSON</u>
Street Address	<u>P.O. Box 13</u>
City and County	<u>TOLEDO, OH</u>
State and Zip Code	<u>OHIO 43697</u>
Telephone Number	<u>567-315-0878</u>
E-mail Address	

B. The Defendant(s)

Provide the information below for each defendant named in the complaint, whether the defendant is an individual, a government agency, an organization, or a corporation. For an individual defendant, include the person's job or title (if known). Attach additional pages if needed.

Pro Se 1 (Rev. 12/16) Complaint for a Civil Case

Defendant No. 1

Name TOLEDO FBI

Job or Title (if known) \_\_\_\_\_

Street Address 420 MADISON AVE #800

City and County TOLEDO (OHIO)

State and Zip Code OHIO 43604

Telephone Number \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail Address (if known) \_\_\_\_\_

Defendant No. 2

Name DETROIT FBI

Job or Title (if known) \_\_\_\_\_

Street Address 477 MICHIGAN AVE

City and County DETROIT

State and Zip Code MICH. 48226

Telephone Number \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail Address (if known) \_\_\_\_\_

(SEX WORK FURTHER AND IN NUMBER)

Defendant No. 3

Name COLUMBIA FBI

Job or Title (if known) \_\_\_\_\_

Street Address 151 WEST PARK BLVD

City and County COLUMBIA

State and Zip Code SOUTH CAROLINA 29210

Telephone Number \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail Address (if known) \_\_\_\_\_

(PIPEFITTER UNION LOCAL 421)

Defendant No. 4

Name FBI LOS ANGELES

Job or Title (if known) \_\_\_\_\_

Street Address 11000 WILSHIRE BLVD #1700

City and County LOS ANGELES

State and Zip Code CALIF 90024

Telephone Number \_\_\_\_\_

E-mail Address (if known) \_\_\_\_\_

(SEX CELEBRITIES AND MINORS)  
 EMERSON TENNEY MOVED AT  
 17 TO NEW YORK, SEX WITH  
 CONJUGIAL WITH PARTNER

**II. Basis for Jurisdiction**

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction (limited power). Generally, only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: cases involving a federal question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, a case arising under the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal question case. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one State sues a citizen of another State or nation and the amount at stake is more than \$75,000 is a diversity of citizenship case. In a diversity of citizenship case, no defendant may be a citizen of the same State as any plaintiff.

What is the basis for federal court jurisdiction? (check all that apply)

Federal question

Diversity of citizenship

Fill out the paragraphs in this section that apply to this case.

**A. If the Basis for Jurisdiction Is a Federal Question**

List the specific federal statutes, federal treaties, and/or provisions of the United States Constitution that are at issue in this case.

- 1) NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT (748)
- 2) FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMERCE ACT
- 3) ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY ACT

**B. If the Basis for Jurisdiction Is Diversity of Citizenship**

**1. The Plaintiff(s)**

a. If the plaintiff is an individual

The plaintiff, (name) \_\_\_\_\_, is a citizen of the State of (name) \_\_\_\_\_.

b. If the plaintiff is a corporation

The plaintiff, (name) \_\_\_\_\_, is incorporated under the laws of the State of (name) \_\_\_\_\_, and has its principal place of business in the State of (name) \_\_\_\_\_.

*(If more than one plaintiff is named in the complaint, attach an additional page providing the same information for each additional plaintiff.)*

**2. The Defendant(s)**

a. If the defendant is an individual

The defendant, (name) \_\_\_\_\_, is a citizen of the State of (name) \_\_\_\_\_. Or is a citizen of (foreign nation) \_\_\_\_\_.

Pro Se 1 (Rev. 12/16) Complaint for a Civil Case

b. If the defendant is a corporation

The defendant, (name) \_\_\_\_\_, is incorporated under the laws of the State of (name) \_\_\_\_\_, and has its principal place of business in the State of (name) \_\_\_\_\_.  
Or is incorporated under the laws of (foreign nation) \_\_\_\_\_, and has its principal place of business in (name) \_\_\_\_\_.

(If more than one defendant is named in the complaint, attach an additional page providing the same information for each additional defendant.)

3. The Amount in Controversy

The amount in controversy—the amount the plaintiff claims the defendant owes or the amount at stake—is more than \$75,000, not counting interest and costs of court, because (explain):

III. Statement of Claim

Write a short and plain statement of the claim. Do not make legal arguments. State as briefly as possible the facts showing that each plaintiff is entitled to the damages or other relief sought. State how each defendant was involved and what each defendant did that caused the plaintiff harm or violated the plaintiff's rights, including the dates and places of that involvement or conduct. If more than one claim is asserted, number each claim and write a short and plain statement of each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional pages if needed.

FEMALE VICTIMS WERE TOLD TO SEND STATEMENTS TO LUCAS COUNTY COURTHOUSE CASE FACEBOOK G-4801-CI-202202672 AND CRAIGSLIST CASE G-4801-CI-0202103693-000 NOW IN COURT OF APPEALS IN TOLEDO CASE CL22-1291

IV. Relief

State briefly and precisely what damages or other relief the plaintiff asks the court to order. Do not make legal arguments. Include any basis for claiming that the wrongs alleged are continuing at the present time. Include the amounts of any actual damages claimed for the acts alleged and the basis for these amounts. Include any punitive or exemplary damages claimed, the amounts, and the reasons you claim you are entitled to actual or punitive money damages.

I REQUESTED FEMALE CRAIGSLIST AND FACE BOOK 3 MILLION IN LAWSUITS. AS FBI REFUSE TO QUIT GOING BETWEEN I WANT DAMAGES 5 MILLION EACH FOR SEVERE SLANDER AND DEFORMATION ATTORNEY EVERY CASE, TWO MILLION JOSEPH EMERSON, AND BANNED COURTHOUSE FILM CASE,

**V. Certification and Closing**

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, by signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that this complaint: (1) is not being presented for an improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation; (2) is supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Rule 11.

**A. For Parties Without an Attorney**

I agree to provide the Clerk’s Office with any changes to my address where case-related papers may be served. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk’s Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Date of signing: DEC 16, 2022

Signature of Plaintiff



Printed Name of Plaintiff

JOSEPH EMERSON

**B. For Attorneys**

Date of signing: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Attorney

\_\_\_\_\_

Printed Name of Attorney

\_\_\_\_\_

Bar Number

\_\_\_\_\_

Name of Law Firm

\_\_\_\_\_

Street Address

\_\_\_\_\_

State and Zip Code

\_\_\_\_\_

Telephone Number

\_\_\_\_\_

E-mail Address

\_\_\_\_\_

FILED  
LUCAS COUNTY

2022 NOV 16 10:31 AM  
**COPY**

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO  
BERNE QUINER  
CLERK OF COURTS

JOSEPH EMERSON  
Plaintiff,

vs.

CRAIGSLIST CORPORATE  
HEADQUARTERS, et al.,  
Defendants.

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

Case No. G-4801-CI-0202103693-000


Judgment Entry

JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE

The record of this case shows that more than 28 days have passed since service was obtained on the Defendant, TOLEDO FBI, and that no responsive pleading has been filed.

It is therefore Ordered that Plaintiff, Joseph Emerson, file a Motion for Default Judgment by December 5, 2022, or this case will be dismissed as to Defendant, TOLEDO FBI, without prejudice pursuant to Ohio Civil Rule 41.

November 14, 2022

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE

cc:  
JOSEPH EMERSON- See Praecipe  
TOLEDO FBI- See Praecipe

**E-JOURNALIZED**

NOV 21 2022

FILED  
LUCAS COUNTY

2022 NOV 18 PM 3:41

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO  
CLERK OF COURTS

JOSEPH EMERSON  
Plaintiff,

vs.


CRAIGSLIST CORPORATE  
HEADQUARTERS, et al.,  
Defendants.

\* Case No. G-4801-CI-0202103693-000  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\* Judgment Entry for Partial Dismissal  
\*  
\*  
\* JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE  
\*

Matter comes before the Court upon review of the record. This Court notes that the *pro se* Plaintiff, JOSEPH EMERSON, has failed to comply with this Court's Order filed on September 29, 2022 by not showing cause why service has not been perfected on the Defendants, Craigslist Corporate Headquarters and FBI Headquarters.

Based upon the foregoing, the Plaintiff having failed to show cause why the case should not be dismissed as to said Defendants, pursuant to Lucas County Local Rule 5.01(C), it is Ordered that the above case is dismissed as to Defendants Craigslist Corporate Headquarters and FBI Headquarters for want of prosecution without prejudice and otherwise than on the merits at Plaintiff's costs.

November 14, 2022

  
JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE

cc:  
JOSEPH EMERSON- See Praecipe

E-JOURNALIZED

NOV 21 2022



FILED  
LUCAS COUNTY

2022 NOV 29 PM 3:36

COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO  
COMMON PLEAS COURT  
BERNIE GUILTER  
CLERK OF COURTS

JOSEPH EMERSON  
Plaintiff,

vs.


LOCAL 421, et al.,  
Defendants.

\* Case No. G-4801-CI-0202202529-000  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\* Judgment Entry  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\* JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE  
\*

The record of this case shows that more than 28 days have passed since service was obtained on the Defendant, BP HUSKY REFINERY and that no responsive pleading has been filed.

It is therefore Ordered that Plaintiff file a Motion for Default Judgment by December 19, 2022, or this case will be dismissed as to Defendant, BP HUSKY REFINERY without prejudice pursuant to Ohio Civil Rule 41.

November 28, 2022

  
JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE

cc:  
JOSEPH EMERSON- See Praecipe

**E-JOURNALIZED**

NOV 30 2022

E - JOURNALIZED  
CIVILSCANNER1  
11/30/2022 10:34:14 AM  
LUCAS COUNTY  
IMAGING SYSTEM  
JOURNALIZED:  
11/30/2022  
JOURNAL ID: 17714036

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO

Case # G-4801-CI-202202529-000

JUDGE LINDSAY NAVARRE

JOSEPH EMERAS  
Plaintiff

P.O. Box 43697  
2022 DEC 2 PM 3:40

TOLEDO, OHIO 43697  
COMMON PLEAS COURT  
BERNIE QUILTER  
CLERK OF COURTS

vs.

**MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT**

BP HUSKY REFINERY  
Defendant

4001 CEDAR POINT ROAD

OREGON, OHIO 43616

Plaintiff hereby moves the Court to enter judgment by default herein in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant. The defendant has been duly served with summons herein in accordance with law and has not entered an appearance, answered or otherwise defended the action brought by the plaintiff, and that, therefore the plaintiff is entitled to a default judgment in the amount demanded herein.

Joseph Emeras  
Plaintiff

**JUDGMENT ENTRY**

Upon application of the plaintiff herein having been heard, the Court finds that the allegations stated in plaintiff's application are true and said application should therefore be sustained and, therefore, the Court makes the following order.

WHEREFORE, it is the order of the Court that the plaintiff be granted judgment against the defendant in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ plus the costs this action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of this pleading was mailed to the defendant via US mail on this date, DECEMBER 02, 2022, to the Defendant at:

BP HUSKY REFINERY  
(address)  
4001 CEDAR POINT ROAD  
OREGON, OHIO 43616

LUCAS COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT

JOSEPH EMERSON,

Plaintiff,

Case No. G-4801-CI-0202204210-000  
Hon. Gary G. Cook

v.

CITY OF MONROE, DPS,

Defendant.

---

Joseph Emerson  
*Pro Se Plaintiff*  
PO Box 13  
Toledo, OH 43697

John J. Gillooly (PHV-26403)  
GARAN LUCOW MILLER, P.C.  
Attorney for Defendant  
1155 Brewery Park Blvd., Suite 200  
Detroit, MI 48207  
313.446.5501  
[jjgillooly@garanlucow.com](mailto:jjgillooly@garanlucow.com)

Christina L. Corl (No. 67869)  
PLUNKETT COONEY  
Attorney for Defendant  
716 Mt. Airyshire, Suite 150  
Columbus, OH 43235  
614.629.3018  
[ccorl@plunkettcooney.com](mailto:ccorl@plunkettcooney.com)

---

**DEFENDANT CITY OF MONROE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICES'**  
**MOTION TO DISMISS**

NOW COMES Defendant, City of Monroe Department of Public Services ("DPS"), by and through its attorneys, Garan Lucow Miller, P.C., and for its Motion to Dismiss pursuant to Ohio Civ. R. 12(B)(2) and Ohio Civ. R. 12(B)(6), hereby states the following:

1. *Pro se* Plaintiff Joseph Emerson is a frequent organizer of frivolous lawsuits.

2. Plaintiff commenced the instant lawsuit against the City of Monroe Department of Public Services ("DPS") on November 2, 2022. (**Exhibit 1** – Plaintiff's Complaint).

3. However, Defendant DPS is merely a division of the City of Monroe, Michigan and is not a legal entity capable of being sued under Ohio law.

4. Further, this Court lacks jurisdiction over Defendant DPS because Plaintiff does not plead a cause of action that arises from Defendant DPS' supposed conduct in the State of Ohio. Indeed, there is no sensible connection between Defendant DPS and the State of Ohio.

5. Moreover, the incoherent statements and allegations set forth in Plaintiff's pleadings are insufficient to suggest he has any plausible claim on which relief may be granted.

6. Therefore, Plaintiff's Complaint should be dismissed in its entirety.

WHEREFORE, Defendant City of Monroe Department of Public Services respectfully requests that this Court enter an order dismissing Plaintiff Joseph Emerson's claims in their entirety.

Respectfully submitted:

GARAN LUCOW MILLER, P.C.

/s/ John J. Gillooly

John J. Gillooly (PHV-26403)

Attorney for Defendants

1155 Brewery Park Blvd., Suite 200

Detroit, MI 48207

313.446.5655

[jjgillooly@garanlucow.com](mailto:jjgillooly@garanlucow.com)

Dated: December 2, 2022

LUCAS COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT

JOSEPH EMERSON,

Plaintiff,

Case No. G-4801-CI-0202204210-000  
Hon. Gary G. Cook

v.

CITY OF MONROE, D.P.S.,

Defendant.

---

Joseph Emerson  
*Pro Se Plaintiff*  
PO Box 13  
Toledo, OH 43697

John J. Gillooly (PHV-26403)  
GARAN LUCOW MILLER, P.C.  
Attorney for Defendant  
1155 Brewery Park Blvd., Suite 200  
Detroit, MI 48207  
313.446.5501  
[jgillooly@garanlucow.com](mailto:jgillooly@garanlucow.com)

Christina L. Corl (No. 67869)  
PLUNKETT COONEY  
Attorney for Defendant  
716 Mt. Airyshire, Suite 150  
Columbus, OH 43235  
614.629.3018  
[ccorl@plunkettcooney.com](mailto:ccorl@plunkettcooney.com)

---

**BRIEF IN SUPPORT OF DEFENDANT**  
**CITY OF MONROE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC SERVICES'**  
**MOTION TO DISMISS**

**I. STATEMENT OF FACTS**

*Pro se* Plaintiff Joseph Emerson, "a frequent frivolous filer,"<sup>1</sup> commenced the instant lawsuit against the City of Monroe Department of Public Services ("DPS") on November 2, 2022. (**Exhibit 1** – Plaintiff's Complaint).

---

<sup>1</sup> See *Emerson v. City of Monroe*, No. 3:19-CV-461, 2019 WL 7290975 (N.D. Ohio Dec. 30, 2019) (holding that Plaintiff Joseph Emerson is a "frequent frivolous filer" in federal court). See also *Emerson v. Toledo Police Dep't*, No. CV 17-12252, 2017 WL 3263427 (E.D. Mich. July 31, 2017) (holding that the instant action



trial court properly granted the motion to dismiss against the pro-se plaintiff's complaint for a failure to state a claim for relief); *Prewitt v. Wood Cty. Prosecutor's Office*, 6th Dist. Wood No. WD-15-029, 2016-Ohio-1477, ¶ 5.

Here, Plaintiff's complaint fails to include factual allegations setting forth the elements of any legal claim against any defendant, let alone AWC. In fact, Plaintiff's complaint makes only one statement against AWC: "AWC put two females a protection game as FBI interstate has me a drug dealer fabrication thirty years" and prays for "ten million in damages for buying out the IRS whistleblowers I turned in embezzling vehicles and interfering with FBI my work related places stalked and called for games sex. They also rigged my knee which blocked the second appointment a MRI." Plaintiff's allegations and prayer for relief amount to no more than conclusory declarations, unsupported by factual allegations. They are insufficient to withstand a motion to dismiss.

What is more, Plaintiff has filed around 20 lawsuits in the Lucas County Common Pleas Court since May 2021. These lawsuits have similar incoherent and rambling allegations against various individuals and entities, and a majority, if not all, were dismissed under Civ.R. 12(B). This complaint is no different—it is a frivolous filing and "prevent[s] the speedy consideration of proper litigation." *Mayer v. Bristow*, 91 Ohio St.3d 3, 13, 740 N.E.2d 656 (2000)(court are not the place for vexatious or frivolous pro-se lawsuits).

For these reasons, AWC requests that this Court dismiss Plaintiff's complaint with prejudice.

I HAVE BEEN ABUSED BY FBI INTERSTATE SINCE 1992. THEY HAVE FABRICATED ME A DRUG DEALER AND PUT MY BROTHER IN LAW TERRY PURSLEY A SECURITY GUARD, COUSIN TODD SULFARO A SHERIFF AS LAISON OFFICER, AND LESLIE EMERSON WHO MARRIED DAN STEFANSKI, HEAD OF CITY OF MONROE WATEWATER. LESLIE WAS PRINCIPALS SECRETARY BACK WHEN I WAS IN HIGH SCHOOL. THIS WAY, FBI HAD ME BLACKBALLED JOBS ANY JOB APPLICATION AS YOU LIST HIGH SCHOOL AN EDUCATION. KIM AND BOB BROOKS IN TOLEDO, JASON HILL, MARK SIEBARTH, JOHN PACE, GREG SMITH AND OTHERS WERE DRUG DEALER, RASPIST AND TERRORIST GAMES. THEY TWICE EXTENDED STATUTE OF LIMITATION RAPE MICHIGAN A GAME TO FRAME ME. I HAVE NEVER EVER BEEN CONVICTED OF ANY CRIME, AND ALL THE ABOVE WERE SLANDERING ME WITH FBI AND RIGGED SEX ANY FEMALE I CONTACTED. I WANT THE ABOVE PROSECUTED WITH FBI. I AM RIGGED BANNED FROM FILING LAWSUITS AS JUDGES WERE CORPORATELY BOUGHT AND WITNESSES RIGGED IN FEDERAL COURT. THIS COULD NOT GET ALL OF MUNICIPAL COURT, AS JUDGE AMY BERLING IN TOLEDO WAS NOT CORRUPT. SHE EXPUNGED A FABRICATED RECORD AS FBI USES JOURNEYMAN PIPEFITTER BOOKS AS A BRIBE TO MEET MEN AND MAKE MONEY. THE FEMALES END UP PASSED AND DISCARDED SEX, THEN FBI LETS THEM ROT AT THE CURB DONE USING THEM. NEXT, FBI WENT TO LUCAS COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT, BUYING JUDGES THERE. CASES WERE MANIPULATED WITH WITNESSES BEHIND MY BACK, EVERY ATTORNEY WAS SLANDER AND DEFORMATION EVERY CASE IN COURT. THEY ARE NOT A PSYCHIATRIST TO MAKE THIS ALLEGATION, AND EACH CASE WAS RIGGED FEMALE ATTORNEYS FOR FBI TO SEX INTERSTATE. FEMALES WITH PIPEFITTER BOOKS WERE PLACED FABRICATED WITNESS PROTECTION PROGRAMS. RIGHT NOW THEY THINK HAVE A RIGHT TO GO BETWEEN IN DATE WEBSITES RIGGING SEX FEMALES GUYS BEHIND MY BACK, OR ME. NOBODY INVADES OUR PAID FOR BY LAW INTERNET WEBSITE PRIVACY, OR RIGS US SEX BETWEEN OR DICTATING HOW, OR WHEN. THEY USE CAMERAS TO ON A INTERNET WEBSITE DISPLAY SEX ACTS ON INTERNET. BLACKMAIL MONEY IS A COMMON FACTOR WITH THE WEALTHY. DRUGS IS ALSO INTERSTYATE TRANSPORTED WITH FEMALES. I HAD A LAWSUIT MY PENSION DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE, COURT OF APPEALS KICKED IT BACK AND PENDING IS A LAWSUIT 28 DAY GAME DEFAULT REFINERY. CRAIGSLIST WAS DISMISSED AND JUDGE TRIED A CORRUPT RULING ON IT. THAT CASE IS IN COURT OF APPEALS, CASE CL22-1291. I AM TELLING FEMALES WRITE STATEMENTS TO CASE IN COURT TO SUE AS FBI OBSTRUCTS COMMUNICATION FORCED RAPE. AUTO WAREHOUSING CASE WAS DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE, TO STEAL MY COPYRIGHTS I WAS KICKED OUT OF A BANK POLICE ESCORT CLAIMS I HAD A GUN IN SAFE DEPOSIT BOX. THIS CASE WAS SAT ON COMMON PLEAS COURT, THEN ANOTHER WEEK PROCESSING , CASE CL22-1294. THE FBI SCARES PEOPLE NOT TO COMMUNICATE, MALE OR FEMALE. JUDD IN EAST LANSING LOCAL IN MICHIGAN EMAILED BACK ONCE, HE PUT PEOPLE BACK WHERE CAME FROM AS FOR FUN AND GAMES FBI RIGGED EVEN COMNVICTED FELONS ON PROBATION PIPEFITTERS BOOKS. I WANT THIS INJUNCTION ENFORCED AND DEFINATELY FBI AN AGENCY TERMINATED. TAXPAYERS DO NOT PAY AGENTS 80,000 A YEAR SALARY TO STALK HUMANS AND INTERSTATE SEX TRAFFIC THEM.



IN THE COMMON PLEAS COURT OF LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO

PLAINTIFF:

JOSEPH EMERSON

V

DEFENDANTS:

INTERSTATE BUILDING MAINTENANCE (ET/AL)

CASE NUMBER: G-4801-CI-202204383-000

JUDGE: LORI OLENDER


COMPLAINT: POSTAL CRIME HID EMPLOYEE

SUMMARY:

THE POSTAL SERVICE BLEW OFF THE CRIME STEALING AND NOT SIGNING CERTIFIED MAIL. INSTEAD, IT WENT TO A GAME THE TOPIC MY MAIL IN MY POST OFFICE BOX. I WANT TO KNOW WHO IS THE CARRIER, AND WHO TOOK THE COURT SUMMONS NOT SIGNING FOR IT. I HAVE ACTIVE CASE CI-20223723-000 IN LUCAS COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT I BERLIEVE SAME SITUATION PREVIOUS THERE. NOW I GET THIS LETTER TO BUY TIME THE CASE.

JOSEPH EMERSON

01/09/2023

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joseph Emerson", written in a cursive style.

From: formishi2run@gmail.com,  
To: joseph.emerson@aol.com,  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023 8:10 am

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: joseph emerson <formishi2run@gmail.com>  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023, 7:00 AM  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: <hd\_investigations@homedepot.com>

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: joseph emerson <formishi2run@gmail.com>  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023, 6:55 AM  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: <judd@local1333.com>

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: joseph emerson <formishi2run@gmail.com>  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023, 6:55 AM  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: <tips@komo4news.com>

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: joseph emerson <formishi2run@gmail.com>  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023, 6:55 AM  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: <tips@ena.com>

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: joseph emerson <formishi2run@gmail.com>  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023, 6:55 AM  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: <news@wltx.com>

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: joseph emerson <formishi2run@gmail.com>  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023, 6:55 AM  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: <news@kgun9.com>

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: USPS Customer Support <uspscustomersupport@usps.gov>  
Date: Mon, Jan 2, 2023, 7:12 AM  
Subject: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: formishi2run@gmail.com <formishi2run@gmail.com>



Dear Joseph Emerson,

Thank you for contacting the United States Postal Service® and taking the time to share your concerns with us. Your inquiry has been processed and assigned to Service Request # 46285705. Your inquiry has been forwarded to the appropriate management team for research and response.

The Postal Service is constantly changing, but its mission remains the same – to deliver the mail promptly, efficiently, and accurately, and to do so in a friendly manner.

Due to the COVID-19 Virus, employee's availability has impacted some delivery operations. The Postal Service is mindful of the fact that

1/9/2023, 6:23 PM

1: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705

customers are in need of the timely delivery of mail, particularly mail conveying medications, merchandise packages, and First-Class Mail. Consequently, we are currently undertaking efforts to bring on additional resources to address this situation.

We will continue to monitor daily performance and ensure that mail delivery is returned to normal as soon as possible. Please be assured that your local post office is doing everything it can to resume normal delivery with limited staff.

We invite you to visit our Frequently Asked Questions page at <https://faq.usps.com/s/> for help with your future mailing and shipping needs.

Thank you again for bringing this to our attention, and for your patience while we investigate this matter.

ref\_00Dj0GyYH.\_500t015GqAU:ref

00Xt0000000xXXbEAM

Pro Se 2 (Rev. 12/16) Complaint and Request for Injunction

# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

Northern District of Ohio

CIVIL Division

Case No. \_\_\_\_\_

(to be filled in by the Clerk's Office)

JOSEPH EMMETT (ET/AL)

Plaintiff(s)

(Write the full name of each plaintiff who is filing this complaint. If the names of all the plaintiffs cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)

-v-

FBI INTERSTATE

Defendant(s)

(Write the full name of each defendant who is being sued. If the names of all the defendants cannot fit in the space above, please write "see attached" in the space and attach an additional page with the full list of names.)

## COMPLAINT AND REQUEST FOR INJUNCTION

### I. The Parties to This Complaint

#### A. The Plaintiff(s)

Provide the information below for each plaintiff named in the complaint. Attach additional pages if needed.

Name

JOSEPH EMMETT

Street Address

P.O. Box 13

City and County

TOLEDO

State and Zip Code

OHIO 43697

Telephone Number

567-315-0878

E-mail Address

#### B. The Defendant(s)

Provide the information below for each defendant named in the complaint, whether the defendant is an individual, a government agency, an organization, or a corporation. For an individual defendant, include the person's job or title (if known). Attach additional pages if needed.

Pro Se 2 (Rev. 12/16) Complaint and Request for Injunction

Defendant No. 1

Name TOLEDO FBI  
 Job or Title (if known) \_\_\_\_\_  
 Street Address 420 MADISON AVE #800  
 City and County TOLEDO (OHIO)  
 State and Zip Code OHIO 43604  
 Telephone Number \_\_\_\_\_  
 E-mail Address (if known) \_\_\_\_\_

Defendant No. 2

Name DETROIT FBI  
 Job or Title (if known) \_\_\_\_\_  
 Street Address 477 MICHIGAN AVE  
 City and County DETROIT  
 State and Zip Code MICH 48226  
 Telephone Number \_\_\_\_\_  
 E-mail Address (if known) \_\_\_\_\_

(SEX GUY, FORD, AND MORGAN, MI)

Defendant No. 3

Name COLUMBIA FBI  
 Job or Title (if known) \_\_\_\_\_  
 Street Address 151 WESTPARK BLVD  
 City and County COLUMBIA  
 State and Zip Code SOUTH CAROLINA 29210  
 Telephone Number \_\_\_\_\_  
 E-mail Address (if known) \_\_\_\_\_

(PIPEFITTER UNION, LOCAL 421)

Defendant No. 4

Name FBI  
 Job or Title (if known) \_\_\_\_\_  
 Street Address 11000 WILSHIRE BLVD #1700  
 City and County LOS ANGELES  
 State and Zip Code CALIF 90024  
 Telephone Number \_\_\_\_\_  
 E-mail Address (if known) \_\_\_\_\_

(BLOCKED CELEBRITIES FROM  
 USING MY COPYRIGHTS TO  
 STEAL THEM)

**II. Basis for Jurisdiction**

Federal courts are courts of limited jurisdiction (limited power). Generally, only two types of cases can be heard in federal court: cases involving a federal question and cases involving diversity of citizenship of the parties. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1331, a case arising under the United States Constitution or federal laws or treaties is a federal question case. Under 28 U.S.C. § 1332, a case in which a citizen of one State sues a citizen of another State or nation and the amount at stake is more than \$75,000 is a diversity of citizenship case. In a diversity of citizenship case, no defendant may be a citizen of the same State as any plaintiff.

What is the basis for federal court jurisdiction? (check all that apply)

- Federal question
- Diversity of citizenship

Fill out the paragraphs in this section that apply to this case.

**A. If the Basis for Jurisdiction Is a Federal Question**

List the specific federal statutes, federal treaties, and/or provisions of the United States Constitution that are at issue in this case.

- 1) NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT (7 & 8)
- 2) FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS DECEIT ACT
- 3) ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY ACT.

**B. If the Basis for Jurisdiction Is Diversity of Citizenship**

1. The Plaintiff(s)

a. If the plaintiff is an individual

The plaintiff, (name) \_\_\_\_\_, is a citizen of the State of (name) \_\_\_\_\_.

b. If the plaintiff is a corporation

The plaintiff, (name) \_\_\_\_\_, is incorporated under the laws of the State of (name) \_\_\_\_\_, and has its principal place of business in the State of (name) \_\_\_\_\_.

*(If more than one plaintiff is named in the complaint, attach an additional page providing the same information for each additional plaintiff.)*

2. The Defendant(s)

a. If the defendant is an individual

The defendant, (name) \_\_\_\_\_, is a citizen of the State of (name) \_\_\_\_\_. Or is a citizen of (foreign nation) \_\_\_\_\_.

Pro Se 2 (Rev. 12/16) Complaint and Request for Injunction

b. If the defendant is a corporation

The defendant, (name) \_\_\_\_\_, is incorporated under the laws of the State of (name) \_\_\_\_\_, and has its principal place of business in the State of (name) \_\_\_\_\_.  
Or is incorporated under the laws of (foreign nation) \_\_\_\_\_, and has its principal place of business in (name) \_\_\_\_\_.

(If more than one defendant is named in the complaint, attach an additional page providing the same information for each additional defendant.)

3. The Amount in Controversy

The amount in controversy—the amount the plaintiff claims the defendant owes or the amount at stake—is more than \$75,000, not counting interest and costs of court, because (explain):

III. Statement of Claim

Write a short and plain statement of the claim. Do not make legal arguments. State as briefly as possible the facts showing that each plaintiff is entitled to the injunction or other relief sought. State how each defendant was involved and what each defendant did that caused the plaintiff harm or violated the plaintiff's rights, including the dates and places of that involvement or conduct. If more than one claim is asserted, number each claim and write a short and plain statement of each claim in a separate paragraph. Attach additional pages if needed.

A. Where did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?

INTERNET ANY WEBSITE I GO ON

B. What date and approximate time did the events giving rise to your claim(s) occur?

2008 TO PRESENT ON FACEBOOK AND CARIOLIST WEBSITES, EVEN AFTER FILED LAWSUIT CARIOLIST CL22-1291 IN COURT OF APPEALS AND FACEBOOK G-4801-CI-202202672-000  
IN LUCKY COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT.

Pro Se 2 (Rev. 12/16) Complaint and Request for Injunction

---

C. What are the facts underlying your claim(s)? (For example: What happened to you? Who did what? Was anyone else involved? Who else saw what happened?)

FEMALES TOLD TO WRITE STATEMENT FACEBOOK  
LAWSUIT G-4801-CI-202202672-000 IN LUCAS COUNTY  
COURTHOUSE AND CRAIGSUITS CL22-1291 IN COURT OF  
APPEAL IN TOLEDO.

---

IV. Irreparable Injury

Explain why monetary damages at a later time would not adequately compensate you for the injuries you sustained, are sustaining, or will sustain as a result of the events described above, or why such compensation could not be measured.

V. Relief

State briefly and precisely what damages or other relief the plaintiff asks the court to order. Do not make legal arguments. Include any basis for claiming that the wrongs alleged are continuing at the present time. Include the amounts of any actual damages claimed for the acts alleged and the basis for these amounts. Include any punitive or exemplary damages claimed, the amounts, and the reasons you claim you are entitled to actual or punitive money damages.

MONEY DAMAGES THREE TO FIVE  
MILLION FEMALES CRAIGSUITS AND FACEBOOK  
AS SEX PREYING CONTINUES, TWO MILLION FOR  
ATTORNEY AFTER ATTORNEY SLANDERING ME CASE  
AND BANNED FROM FILING CASES FEDERAL COURT.

---




**VI. Certification and Closing**

Under Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 11, by signing below, I certify to the best of my knowledge, information, and belief that this complaint: (1) is not being presented for an improper purpose, such as to harass, cause unnecessary delay, or needlessly increase the cost of litigation; (2) is supported by existing law or by a nonfrivolous argument for extending, modifying, or reversing existing law; (3) the factual contentions have evidentiary support or, if specifically so identified, will likely have evidentiary support after a reasonable opportunity for further investigation or discovery; and (4) the complaint otherwise complies with the requirements of Rule 11.

**A. For Parties Without an Attorney**

I agree to provide the Clerk's Office with any changes to my address where case-related papers may be served. I understand that my failure to keep a current address on file with the Clerk's Office may result in the dismissal of my case.

Date of signing: Dec 16, 2022

Signature of Plaintiff   
Printed Name of Plaintiff JOSEPH EMERON

**B. For Attorneys**

Date of signing: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature of Attorney \_\_\_\_\_  
Printed Name of Attorney \_\_\_\_\_  
Bar Number \_\_\_\_\_  
Name of Law Firm \_\_\_\_\_  
Street Address \_\_\_\_\_  
State and Zip Code \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone Number \_\_\_\_\_  
E-mail Address \_\_\_\_\_

FILED  
LUCAS COUNTY

2022 NOV 16 COPY

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO  
BERNE QUILLER  
CLERK OF COURTS

JOSEPH EMERSON  
Plaintiff,

vs.

CRAIGSLIST CORPORATE  
HEADQUARTERS, et al.,  
Defendants.

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

Case No. G-4801-CI-0202103693-000


Judgment Entry

JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE

The record of this case shows that more than 28 days have passed since service was obtained on the Defendant, TOLEDO FBI, and that no responsive pleading has been filed.

It is therefore Ordered that Plaintiff, Joseph Emerson, file a Motion for Default Judgment by December 5, 2022, or this case will be dismissed as to Defendant, TOLEDO FBI, without prejudice pursuant to Ohio Civil Rule 41.

November 14, 2022

  
JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE

cc:  
JOSEPH EMERSON- See Praecipe  
TOLEDO FBI- See Praecipe

E-JOURNALIZED

NOV 21 2022

FILED  
LUCAS COUNTY

NOV 18 PM 3:47

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO  
CLERK OF COURTS

JOSEPH EMERSON  
Plaintiff,

vs.


CRAIGSLIST CORPORATE  
HEADQUARTERS, et al.,  
Defendants.

\* Case No. G-4801-CI-0202103693-000  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\* Judgment Entry for Partial Dismissal  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\* JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE  
\*

Matter comes before the Court upon review of the record. This Court notes that the *pro se* Plaintiff, JOSEPH EMERSON, has failed to comply with this Court's Order filed on September 29, 2022 by not showing cause why service has not been perfected on the Defendants, Craigslist Corporate Headquarters and FBI Headquarters.

Based upon the foregoing, the Plaintiff having failed to show cause why the case should not be dismissed as to said Defendants, pursuant to Lucas County Local Rule 5.01(C), it is Ordered that the above case is dismissed as to Defendants Craigslist Corporate Headquarters and FBI Headquarters for want of prosecution without prejudice and otherwise than on the merits at Plaintiff's costs.

November 14, 2022

  
JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE

cc:  
JOSEPH EMERSON- See Praecipe

E-JOURNALIZED  
NOV 21 2022

FILED  
LUCAS COUNTY

2022 NOV 29 PM 3:36

COMMON PLEAS COURT  
BERNIE GUILTER  
CLERK OF COURTS  
COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO

JOSEPH EMERSON  
Plaintiff,

vs.

LOCAL 421, et al.,  
Defendants.

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

Case No. G-4801-CI-0202202529-000


Judgment Entry

JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE

The record of this case shows that more than 28 days have passed since service was obtained on the Defendant, BP HUSKY REFINERY and that no responsive pleading has been filed.

It is therefore Ordered that Plaintiff file a Motion for Default Judgment by December 19, 2022, or this case will be dismissed as to Defendant, BP HUSKY REFINERY without prejudice pursuant to Ohio Civil Rule 41.

November 28, 2022

  
JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE

cc:  
JOSEPH EMERSON- See Praecipe

**E-JOURNALIZED**

NOV 30 2022

E - JOURNALIZED  
CIVILSCANNER1  
11/30/2022 10:34:14 AM  
LUCAS COUNTY  
IMAGING SYSTEM  
JOURNALIZED:  
11/30/2022  
JOURNAL ID: 17714036

Case # G-480-CI-202202529-000

JUDGE LINDSAY NAVARRE

FILED  
LUCAS COUNTY

JOSEPH EMERSON  
Plaintiff

P.O. Box 2012  
DEC 2 PM 3:40

TOLEDO, OHIO 43697  
COMMON PLEAS COURT  
BERNIE QUILTER  
CLERK OF COURTS

vs.

**MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT**

BP HUSKY REFINERY  
Defendant

4001 CEDAR POINT ROAD

OREGON, OHIO 43616

Plaintiff hereby moves the Court to enter judgment by default herein in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant. The defendant has been duly served with summons herein in accordance with law and has not entered an appearance, answered or otherwise defended the action brought by the plaintiff, and that, therefore the plaintiff is entitled to a default judgment in the amount demanded herein.

Joseph Emerson  
Plaintiff

**JUDGMENT ENTRY**

Upon application of the plaintiff herein having been heard, the Court finds that the allegations stated in plaintiff's application are true and said application should therefore be sustained and, therefore, the Court makes the following order.

WHEREFORE, it is the order of the Court that the plaintiff be granted judgment against the defendant in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ plus the costs this action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of this pleading was mailed to the defendant via US mail on this date, DECEMBER 02, 2022, to the Defendant at:

BP HUSKY REFINERY  
(address)  
4001 CEDAR POINT ROAD  
OREGON, OHIO 43616

IN THE COMMON PLEAS COURT OF LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO

PLAINTIFF:

JOSEPH EMERSON

V

DEFENDANTS:

INTERSTATE BUILDING MAINTENANCE (ET/AL)

CASE NUMBER: G-4801-CI-202204383-000

JUDGE: LORI OLENDER

COMPLAINT: POSTAL CRIME HID EMPLOYEE

SUMMARY:

THE POSTAL SERVICE BLEW OFF THE CRIME STEALING AND NOT SIGNING CERTIFIED MAIL. INSTEAD, IT WENT TO A GAME THE TOPIC MY MAIL IN MY POST OFFICE BOX. I WANT TO KNOW WHO IS THE CARRIER, AND WHO TOOK THE COURT SUMMONS NOT SIGNING FOR IT. I HAVE ACTIVE CASE CI-20223723-000 IN LUCAS COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT I BELIEVE SAME SITUATION PREVIOUS THERE. NOW I GET THIS LETTER TO BUY TIME THE CASE.

JOSEPH EMERSON

01/09/2023

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Joseph Emerson", written in a cursive style.

From: formishi2run@gmail.com,  
To: joseph.emerson@aol.com,  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023 8:10 am

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Joseph emerson <formishi2run@gmail.com>  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023, 7:00 AM  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: <hd\_investigations@homedepot.com>

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Joseph emerson <formishi2run@gmail.com>  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023, 6:55 AM  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: <judd@local333.com>

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Joseph emerson <formishi2run@gmail.com>  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023, 6:55 AM  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: <tips@komo4news.com>

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Joseph emerson <formishi2run@gmail.com>  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023, 6:55 AM  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: <tips@cmn.com>

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: joseph emerson <formishi2run@gmail.com>  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023, 6:55 AM  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: <news@wltx.com>

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: Joseph emerson <formishi2run@gmail.com>  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023, 6:55 AM  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: <news@kgun9.com>

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: USPS Customer Support <uspscustomersupport@usps.gov>  
Date: Mon, Jan 2, 2023, 7:12 AM  
Subject: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: formishi2run@gmail.com <formishi2run@gmail.com>



Dear Joseph Emerson,

Thank you for contacting the United States Postal Service® and taking the time to share your concerns with us. Your inquiry has been processed and assigned to Service Request # 46285705. Your inquiry has been forwarded to the appropriate management team for research and response.

The Postal Service is constantly changing, but its mission remains the same – to deliver the mail promptly, efficiently, and accurately, and to do so in a friendly manner.

Due to the COVID-19 Virus, employee's availability has impacted some delivery operations. The Postal Service is mindful of the fact that

USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705

customers are in need of the timely delivery of mail, particularly mail conveying medications, merchandise packages, and First-Class Mail. Consequently, we are currently undertaking efforts to bring on additional resources to address this situation.

We will continue to monitor daily performance and ensure that mail delivery is returned to normal as soon as possible. Please be assured that your local post office is doing everything it can to resume normal delivery with limited staff.

We invite you to visit our Frequently Asked Questions page at <https://faq.usps.com/s/> for help with your future mailing and shipping needs.

Thank you again for bringing this to our attention, and for your patience while we investigate this matter.

ref\_00DJ0GyYH.\_500t015GqAU:ref

00Xt0000000xXXbEAM



AO 91 (Rev. 11/11) Criminal Complaint

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the

United States of America

v.

- 1) FBI INTERSTATE
2) CRAIGSLIST (CRAIG NEWMANIL)
3) FACEBOOK (MARIL ZULKENBURG)

Defendant(s)

Case No.

RECEIVED
2022 DEC 22 P 8:45 AM
U.S. FEDERAL CIRCUIT

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

I, the complainant in this case, state that the following is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

On or about the date(s) of 1992 TO PRESENT in the county of LUCAS, OHIO in the NORTHERN District of OHIO, the defendant(s) violated:

Table with 2 columns: Code Section and Offense Description. Code Section includes NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT (748), FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS DECEIT ACT, and ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY ACT. Offense Description includes RIGGED PIPEFITTER JOUANEYMAN BOOKS AND JOB INTERSTATE BY THE INVASION OF PRIVACY ME AND PEOPLE ACCOUNTS ON CRAIGSLIST AND FACEBOOK.

This criminal complaint is based on these facts:

STATEMENTS TOLD FEMALES TO WRITE AND SEND IN MY CRAIGSLIST LAWSUITS G-4801-CI-0202103693000 AND FACEBOOK LAWSUIT G-4801-CI-202202672-000 FILED IN LUCAS COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT, CRAIGSLIST IS NOW CASE CL22-1291 IN COURT OF APPEAL, SIXTH APPELLATE COURT TOLEDO

Continued on the attached sheet.

Handwritten signature of Joseph Emerjoo

Complainant's signature

JOSEPH EMERJOO
Printed name and title

Sworn to before me and signed in my presence.

Date:

Blank line for signature

Judge's signature

City and state:

Blank line for signature

Printed name and title



FILED  
LUCAS COUNTY

NOV 18 PM 3:47

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO  
CLERK OF COURTS

JOSEPH EMERSON  
Plaintiff,

vs.

CRAIGSLIST CORPORATE  
HEADQUARTERS, et al.,  
Defendants.

\* Case No. G-4801-CI-0202103693-000  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\* Judgment Entry for Partial Dismissal  
\*  
\*  
\* JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE  
\*

Matter comes before the Court upon review of the record. This Court notes that the *pro se* Plaintiff, JOSEPH EMERSON, has failed to comply with this Court's Order filed on September 29, 2022 by not showing cause why service has not been perfected on the Defendants, Craigslist Corporate Headquarters and FBI Headquarters.

Based upon the foregoing, the Plaintiff having failed to show cause why the case should not be dismissed as to said Defendants, pursuant to Lucas County Local Rule 5.01(C), it is Ordered that the above case is dismissed as to Defendants Craigslist Corporate Headquarters and FBI Headquarters for want of prosecution without prejudice and otherwise than on the merits at Plaintiff's costs.

November 14, 2022

  
JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE

cc:  
JOSEPH EMERSON- See Praecipe

**E-JOURNALIZED**  
NOV 21 2022



IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO

Case # G-4801-CI-202202529-000

JUDGE LINDSAY NAYARRE

FILED  
JOSEPH EMERAS  
Plaintiff

P.O. Box 43697  
2022 DEC -2 PM 3:40

TOLEDO, OHIO 43697  
BERNIE QUILTER  
CLERK OF COURTS

vs.

**MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT**

BP HUSKY REFINERY  
Defendant

4001 CEDAR POINT ROAD

OREGON, OHIO 43616

Plaintiff hereby moves the Court to enter judgment by default herein in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant. The defendant has been duly served with summons herein in accordance with law and has not entered an appearance, answered or otherwise defended the action brought by the plaintiff, and that, therefore the plaintiff is entitled to a default judgment in the amount demanded herein.

Joseph Emeras  
Plaintiff

**JUDGMENT ENTRY**

Upon application of the plaintiff herein having been heard, the Court finds that the allegations stated in plaintiff's application are true and said application should therefore be sustained and, therefore, the Court makes the following order.

WHEREFORE, it is the order of the Court that the plaintiff be granted judgment against the defendant in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ plus the costs this action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE

**CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of this pleading was mailed to the defendant via US mail on this date, DECEMBER 02, 2022, to the Defendant at:

BP HUSKY REFINERY  
(address)  
4001 CEDAR POINT ROAD  
OREGON, OHIO 43616

AO 91 (Rev. 11/11) Criminal Complaint

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
for the

United States of America )

v. )

- 1) FBI INTENTATE )
- 2) CRAIGSLIST (CRAIG NEWMARK) )
- 3) FACEBOOK (MARK ZUCKERBERG) )

Defendant(s)

Case No.

RECEIVED  
2023 DEC 22 P 8:45 AM  
U.S. FEDERAL COURTS

CRIMINAL COMPLAINT

I, the complainant in this case, state that the following is true to the best of my knowledge and belief.

On or about the date(s) of 1992 TO PRESENT in the county of LUCA, OHIO in the NORTHERN District of OHIO, the defendant(s) violated:

Code Section	Offense Description
1) NATIONAL LABOR RELATIONS ACT (748)	RIGGED PIPEFITTER
2) FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION ACT	JOURNEYMAN BOULDER AND
3) ELECTRONIC COMMUNICATIONS PRIVACY ACT	JOB, INTENTATE BY THE
	INVASION OF PRIVACY ME
	AND PEOPLE ACCOUNT ON
	CRAIGSLIST AND FACEBOOK

This criminal complaint is based on these facts:

STATEMENTS TOLD FEMALES TO WRITE AND SEND IN MY  
CRAIGSLIST LAWSUITS G-4801-CI-0202103693000 AND FACEBOOK  
LAWSUIT G-4801-CI-202202672-000 FILED IN LUCA COUNTY COMMON  
PLEAS COURT, CRAIGSLIST IS NOW CASE CL22-1291 IN COURT OF  
APPEAL SIXTH APPELLATE COURT TOLEDO

Continued on the attached sheet.

Joseph Emerud  
Complainant's signature

JOSEPH EMERUD  
Printed name and title

Sworn to before me and signed in my presence.

Date: \_\_\_\_\_

Judge's signature

City and state: \_\_\_\_\_

Printed name and title

FILED  
LUCAS COUNTY

2022 NOV 14 **COPY**

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO  
BERNE QUINER  
CLERK OF COURTS

JOSEPH EMERSON  
Plaintiff,

vs.

CRAIGSLIST CORPORATE  
HEADQUARTERS, et al.,  
Defendants.

\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\*

Case No. G-4801-CI-0202103693-000


Judgment Entry

JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE

The record of this case shows that more than 28 days have passed since service was obtained on the Defendant, TOLEDO FBI, and that no responsive pleading has been filed.

It is therefore Ordered that Plaintiff, Joseph Emerson, file a Motion for Default Judgment by December 5, 2022 , or this case will be dismissed as to Defendant, TOLEDO FBI, without prejudice pursuant to Ohio Civil Rule 41.

November 14, 2022

  
JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE

cc:  
JOSEPH EMERSON- See Praecipe  
TOLEDO FBI- See Praecipe

**E-JOURNALIZED**

NOV 21 2022

FILED  
LUCAS COUNTY

NOV 18 PM 3:47

IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS, LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO  
CLERK OF COURTS

JOSEPH EMERSON  
Plaintiff,

vs.

CRAIGSLIST CORPORATE  
HEADQUARTERS, et al.,  
Defendants.

\* Case No. G-4801-CI-0202103693-000  
\*  
\*  
\*  
\* Judgment Entry for Partial Dismissal  
\*  
\*  
\* JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE  
\*

Matter comes before the Court upon review of the record. This Court notes that the *pro se* Plaintiff, JOSEPH EMERSON, has failed to comply with this Court's Order filed on September 29, 2022 by not showing cause why service has not been perfected on the Defendants, Craigslist Corporate Headquarters and FBI Headquarters.

Based upon the foregoing, the Plaintiff having failed to show cause why the case should not be dismissed as to said Defendants, pursuant to Lucas County Local Rule 5.01(C), it is Ordered that the above case is dismissed as to Defendants Craigslist Corporate Headquarters and FBI Headquarters for want of prosecution without prejudice and otherwise than on the merits at Plaintiff's costs.

November 14, 2022

  
JUDGE LINDSAY D NAVARRE

cc:  
JOSEPH EMERSON- See Praecipe

E-JOURNALIZED

NOV 21 2022





IN THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS  
LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO

Case # G-4801-CI-202202529-000

JUDGE LINDSAY NAVARRE

FILED  
JOSEPH EMERSON  
Plaintiff

P.O. Box 43697  
2022 DEC 2 PM 3:40

TOLEDO, OHIO 43697  
BERNIE QUILTER  
CLERK OF COURTS

vs.

MOTION FOR DEFAULT JUDGMENT

BP HUSKY REFINERY  
Defendant

4001 CEDAR POINT ROAD

OREGON, OHIO 43616

Plaintiff hereby moves the Court to enter judgment by default herein in favor of the plaintiff and against the defendant. The defendant has been duly served with summons herein in accordance with law and has not entered an appearance, answered or otherwise defended the action brought by the plaintiff, and that, therefore the plaintiff is entitled to a default judgment in the amount demanded herein.

Joseph Emerson  
Plaintiff

JUDGMENT ENTRY

Upon application of the plaintiff herein having been heard, the Court finds that the allegations stated in plaintiff's application are true and said application should therefore be sustained and, therefore, the Court makes the following order.

WHEREFORE, it is the order of the Court that the plaintiff be granted judgment against the defendant in the amount of \$ \_\_\_\_\_ plus the costs this action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
JUDGE

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of this pleading was mailed to the defendant via US mail on this date, DECEMBER 02, 2022, to the Defendant at:

BP HUSKY REFINERY  
(address)  
4001 CEDAR POINT ROAD  
OREGON, OHIO 43616

IN THE COMMON PLEAS COURT OF LUCAS COUNTY, OHIO

PLAINTIFF:

JOSEPH EMERSON

V

DEFENDANTS:

INTERSTATE BUILDING MAINTENANCE (ET/AL)

CASE NUMBER: G-4801-CI-202204383-000

JUDGE: LORI OLENDER

COMPLAINT: POSTAL CRIME HID EMPLOYEE

SUMMARY:

THE POSTAL SERVICE BLEW OFF THE CRIME STEALING AND NOT SIGNING CERTIFIED MAIL. INSTEAD, IT WENT TO A GAME THE TOPIC MY MAIL IN MY POST OFFICE BOX. I WANT TO KNOW WHO IS THE CARRIER, AND WHO TOOK THE COURT SUMMONS NOT SIGNING FOR IT. I HAVE ACTIVE CASE CI-20223723-000 IN LUCAS COUNTY COMMON PLEAS COURT I BERLIEVE SAME SITUATION PREVIOUS THERE. NOW I GET THIS LETTER TO BUY TIME THE CASE.

JOSEPH EMERSON



01/09/2023

From: formishi2run@gmail.com,  
To: joseph.emerson@aol.com,  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023 8:10 am

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: joseph emerson <formishi2run@gmail.com>  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023, 7:00 AM  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: <hd\_investigations@homedepot.com>

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: joseph emerson <formishi2run@gmail.com>  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023, 6:55 AM  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: <judd@local333.com>

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: joseph emerson <formishi2run@gmail.com>  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023, 6:55 AM  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: <tips@kono4news.com>

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: joseph emerson <formishi2run@gmail.com>  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023, 6:55 AM  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: <tips@kenn.com>

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: joseph emerson <formishi2run@gmail.com>  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023, 6:55 AM  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: <news@wltx.com>

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: joseph emerson <formishi2run@gmail.com>  
Date: Sun, Jan 8, 2023, 6:55 AM  
Subject: Fwd: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: <news@kgun9.com>

----- Forwarded message -----  
From: USPS Customer Support <uspscustomersupport@usps.gov>  
Date: Mon, Jan 2, 2023, 7:12 AM  
Subject: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705  
To: formishi2run@gmail.com <formishi2run@gmail.com>



Dear Joseph Emerson,

Thank you for contacting the United States Postal Service® and taking the time to share your concerns with us. Your inquiry has been processed and assigned to Service Request # 46285705. Your inquiry has been forwarded to the appropriate management team for research and response.

The Postal Service is constantly changing, but its mission remains the same – to deliver the mail promptly, efficiently, and accurately, and to do so in a friendly manner.

Due to the COVID-19 Virus, employee's availability has impacted some delivery operations. The Postal Service is mindful of the fact that

1: USPS Case Confirmation For Service Request 46285705

customers are in need of the timely delivery of mail, particularly mail conveying medications, merchandise packages, and First-Class Mail. Consequently, we are currently undertaking efforts to bring on additional resources to address this situation.

We will continue to monitor daily performance and ensure that mail delivery is returned to normal as soon as possible. Please be assured that your local post office is doing everything it can to resume normal delivery with limited staff.

We invite you to visit our Frequently Asked Questions page at: <https://faq.usps.com/s/> for help with your future mailing and shipping needs.

Thank you again for bringing this to our attention, and for your patience while we investigate this matter.

ref:\_00Dj0GyYH.\_500t015GqAU:ref

00Xt0000000xXXbEAM

CIVIL COVER SHEET

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.)

I. (a) PLAINTIFFS
Joseph Emerson (Pro Se)
(b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff Lucas County
(c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number)
Joseph Emerson
P.O. Box 13
Toledo, OH 43697

DEFENDANTS
United States District Court for the Northern of Ohio; FBI
County of Residence of First Listed Defendant
NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED.
Attorneys (If Known)
John J. Stark (0076231), Assistant United States Attorney
United States Attorney's Office, Southern District of Ohio
303 Marconi Boulevard, Suite 200, Columbus, OH 43215 (614) 469-5715

II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only)
1 U.S. Government Plaintiff
2 U.S. Government Defendant
3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)
4 Diversity (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III)

III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff and One Box for Defendant)
PTF DEF
Citizen of This State 1 1
Citizen of Another State 2 2
Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country 3 3
Incorporated or Principal Place of Business In This State 4 4
Incorporated and Principal Place of Business In Another State 5 5
Foreign Nation 6 6

IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions.

Table with columns: CONTRACT, REAL PROPERTY, TORTS, CIVIL RIGHTS, PRISONER PETITIONS, FORFEITURE/PENALTY, LABOR, IMMIGRATION, BANKRUPTCY, SOCIAL SECURITY, FEDERAL TAX SUITS, OTHER STATUTES. Includes various legal categories like Personal Injury, Real Property, Labor, etc.

V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only)
1 Original Proceeding
2 Removed from State Court
3 Remanded from Appellate Court
4 Reinstated or Reopened
5 Transferred from Another District (specify)
6 Multidistrict Litigation - Transfer
8 Multidistrict Litigation - Direct File

VI. CAUSE OF ACTION
Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity):
28 U.S.C. Sections 1442 and 1446
Brief description of cause:
Release from imprisonment in Ohio correctional institute

VII. REQUESTED IN COMPLAINT:
CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. DEMAND \$
CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: JURY DEMAND: Yes No

VIII. RELATED CASE(S) IF ANY (See instructions):
JUDGE DOCKET NUMBER

DATE 2/16/2023 SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY OF RECORD /s/ John J. Stark (0076231)

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY
RECEIPT # AMOUNT APPLYING IFP JUDGE MAG. JUDGE

## INSTRUCTIONS FOR ATTORNEYS COMPLETING CIVIL COVER SHEET FORM JS 44

### Authority For Civil Cover Sheet

The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replaces nor supplements the filings and service of pleading or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. Consequently, a civil cover sheet is submitted to the Clerk of Court for each civil complaint filed. The attorney filing a case should complete the form as follows:

- I.(a) Plaintiffs-Defendants.** Enter names (last, first, middle initial) of plaintiff and defendant. If the plaintiff or defendant is a government agency, use only the full name or standard abbreviations. If the plaintiff or defendant is an official within a government agency, identify first the agency and then the official, giving both name and title.
- (b) County of Residence.** For each civil case filed, except U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county where the first listed plaintiff resides at the time of filing. In U.S. plaintiff cases, enter the name of the county in which the first listed defendant resides at the time of filing. (NOTE: In land condemnation cases, the county of residence of the "defendant" is the location of the tract of land involved.)
- (c) Attorneys.** Enter the firm name, address, telephone number, and attorney of record. If there are several attorneys, list them on an attachment, noting in this section "(see attachment)".
- II. Jurisdiction.** The basis of jurisdiction is set forth under Rule 8(a), F.R.Cv.P., which requires that jurisdictions be shown in pleadings. Place an "X" in one of the boxes. If there is more than one basis of jurisdiction, precedence is given in the order shown below.  
 United States plaintiff. (1) Jurisdiction based on 28 U.S.C. 1345 and 1348. Suits by agencies and officers of the United States are included here. United States defendant. (2) When the plaintiff is suing the United States, its officers or agencies, place an "X" in this box.  
 Federal question. (3) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1331, where jurisdiction arises under the Constitution of the United States, an amendment to the Constitution, an act of Congress or a treaty of the United States. In cases where the U.S. is a party, the U.S. plaintiff or defendant code takes precedence, and box 1 or 2 should be marked.  
 Diversity of citizenship. (4) This refers to suits under 28 U.S.C. 1332, where parties are citizens of different states. When Box 4 is checked, the citizenship of the different parties must be checked. (See Section III below; **NOTE: federal question actions take precedence over diversity cases.**)
- III. Residence (citizenship) of Principal Parties.** This section of the JS 44 is to be completed if diversity of citizenship was indicated above. Mark this section for each principal party.
- IV. Nature of Suit.** Place an "X" in the appropriate box. If there are multiple nature of suit codes associated with the case, pick the nature of suit code that is most applicable. Click here for: [Nature of Suit Code Descriptions](#).
- V. Origin.** Place an "X" in one of the seven boxes.  
 Original Proceedings. (1) Cases which originate in the United States district courts.  
 Removed from State Court. (2) Proceedings initiated in state courts may be removed to the district courts under Title 28 U.S.C., Section 1441.  
 Remanded from Appellate Court. (3) Check this box for cases remanded to the district court for further action. Use the date of remand as the filing date.  
 Reinstated or Reopened. (4) Check this box for cases reinstated or reopened in the district court. Use the reopening date as the filing date.  
 Transferred from Another District. (5) For cases transferred under Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1404(a). Do not use this for within district transfers or multidistrict litigation transfers.  
 Multidistrict Litigation – Transfer. (6) Check this box when a multidistrict case is transferred into the district under authority of Title 28 U.S.C. Section 1407.  
 Multidistrict Litigation – Direct File. (8) Check this box when a multidistrict case is filed in the same district as the Master MDL docket.  
**PLEASE NOTE THAT THERE IS NOT AN ORIGIN CODE 7.** Origin Code 7 was used for historical records and is no longer relevant due to changes in statute.
- VI. Cause of Action.** Report the civil statute directly related to the cause of action and give a brief description of the cause. **Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity.** Example: U.S. Civil Statute: 47 USC 553 Brief Description: Unauthorized reception of cable service.
- VII. Requested in Complaint.** Class Action. Place an "X" in this box if you are filing a class action under Rule 23, F.R.Cv.P.  
 Demand. In this space enter the actual dollar amount being demanded or indicate other demand, such as a preliminary injunction.  
 Jury Demand. Check the appropriate box to indicate whether or not a jury is being demanded.
- VIII. Related Cases.** This section of the JS 44 is used to reference related pending cases, if any. If there are related pending cases, insert the docket numbers and the corresponding judge names for such cases.

**Date and Attorney Signature.** Date and sign the civil cover sheet.





Parties Not Served	Parties Dismissed	Answers Filed
<p><i>I.E. Defendant John Doe</i></p> <p>N/A</p>	<p><i>I.E. Defendant John Doe</i></p> <p>N/A</p>	<p><i>I.E. Defendant John Doe</i></p> <p>N/A</p>

Party and Type	Attorney(s)
<p><i>I.E. Plaintiff John Doe</i></p> <p>Plaintiff Joseph Emerson P.O. Box 13 Toledo, OH 43697</p> <p>Defendants: U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Ohio and Federal Bureau of Investigation, represented by John J. Stark, Attorney for Federal Respondents.</p>	<p><i>I.E. Attorney(s) Name</i> <i>Firm</i> <i>Address</i> <i>City, State, Zip</i> <i>Telephone and Fax Number</i> <i>Supreme Court Number</i></p> <p>Attorney for Federal Respondents: John J. Stark (0076231) Assistant United States Attorney 303 Marconi Boulevard, Suite 200 Columbus, Ohio 43215 PH: (614) 469-5715 FX: (614) 469-5240</p>

USE A SEPARATE SHEET OF PAPER IF NECESSARY