## IN The SUPPREME COURT OF OHIO

wiggedm'intect' Pagigmore Verse The united States of America State oxobio

CASE NO. 21-0282 Habeds corpus case Motion: To Expedite Bulings And Apply Sumery Judgement

MAR 3 1 2021

CLERK OF COURT SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

Pmo se, The to the tack that I Am still daily being to thed, The level of Abusie + WAT have layed out in my petition 701 relite. I'm requesting this court expectate viewing and deciding This request. The Decision of the court in the manorthis case has been handled Threatens the very structure of the constitution and of international law It undermines legislative intent, ignorestly Plain meaning at the LAW and Act, and creates its own unsupported views. The very sperit of this case is illogical. Thease Decisions ettect billions of People and directly thretten the lives of every person involved and that I we ever interacted with or will on earth let, the court was repeatedly for years ignored mulitpule Ponotests by the very People who exect and impower this court. The origins of the people mean nothing to the courts. This crule and unusual toture sabotages. The very integrity of "Aw's that have been established by thru and sinke the Creation at the constitution including but not limited to, take imprisan menti involantary survitude, Toture, largetery

"So PRECEIVED OF AS LISTED IN MY PETITION OF HABEAS.

MAR 3 1 2021

CLERK OF COURT

It undermines the fundamental Philociphe at the rule of LAW.
The FACT that the constitution was ignored and law's were so that overly Broken and bent make's this issue one of urgent consern and one of Grate Public integer.

Endependently, this is a case, in Form, that involves collective bargaining under the onio constitution section 34, article II. whether promotions are a mandatory subject of collective bargaining under Bo C. Chapter 4117. The Public employees' collective Bargaining Act. Due to the FACT was signed up with D.E.A. and the massomery Cansty Sherifts department and I was Excluded From pertisipating in the details of the deal. I have never committed a Chime punishable of 12 mostlys. under the onio and us. Constitution I have Pigyts to Hum' and See".

the to the level of totule and Alouse, invalled like lequest that this court outlor thease proceeding expedited and issues a betailed becision, and grants summery thousands. I would also request my betalia Conditions alle granted "A Change of Pace" to promote sentless, houst, acts of honor and integrety our Pain and the Chillent Parer (Pay per) "Nigger!" theirm. This case should of Aluase been striden humanitarion work and been theamed after that form of leader ship over pain and Punishment for brokents I don't feel rember. I should be on a reward educational system over punish ment while invocants

Please cousider alack Prignors & The 6th Admitted alack Prignors & The 6th Admitted allack Prignors & The 6th Admitted wester health its successful should be everything in it self to expand the record, investy the and expidite mulings!

Case: 20-3989 Document: 45-1 Filed: 02/23/2021 Page: 1 (1 of 3)

### UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS

#### FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

Deborah S. Hunt POTTER STEWART U.S. COURTHOUSE Clerk CINCINNATI, OHIO 45202-3988

Tel. (513) 564-7000 www.ca6.uscourts.gov

Filed: February 23, 2021

Mr. Steven Richard Jaeger The Jaeger Firm 23 Erlanger Road Erlanger, KY 41018

Mr. Brent G. Tabacchi Office of the U.S. Attorney 200 W. Second Street Suite 602 Dayton, OH 45402

Re: Case No. 20-3989, USA v. William Prigmore Originating Case No.: 3:17-cr-00187-1

Dear Counsel,

The Court issued the enclosed Order today in this case.

Sincerely yours,

s/Ryan E. Orme Case Manager Direct Dial No. 513-564-7079

cc: Mr. Richard W. Nagel

Enclosure

Case: 20-3989 Document: 45-2 Filed: 02/23/2021 Page: 2 (3 of 3)

No. 20-3989

establish good cause to expedite. See 6 Cir. R. 27(f). Although we cannot grant these petitions as they are, it is clear from the record that Prigmore has been experiencing mental health issues and thus may not be equipped to properly support his petitions to this court. Since filing these petitions, the court has appointed Prigmore substitute counsel. Given the seriousness of Prigmore's abuse allegations, we will dismiss his petitions to expand the record and expedite proceedings without prejudice so that his counsel may determine whether the allegations can be substantiated.

Finally, Prigmore moves for release pending appeal. Federal Rule of Appellate Procedure 9(b) allows a defendant to obtain review of a district court order granting or denying release pending appeal. But the Rule does not authorize a defendant to seek such relief in the court of appeals in the first instance. *See United States v. Hochevar*, 214 F.3d 342, 343 (2d Cir. 2000) (*per curiam*).

Accordingly, the motion to supplement the record and for appointment of counsel is **DENIED IN PART WITHOUT PREJUDICE** and **DENIED IN PART AS MOOT**. The motion to expedite is **DENIED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** and the motion for release pending appeal is **DENIED**.

ENTERED BY ORDER OF THE COURT

Deborah S. Hunt, Clerk

They never andered my" course!" who was totured and raped me to investigate of war, did they appoint seprate course! to since the abuse is from my current course! Nick Ganaris! melinda tranks

### **CASE NO. 20-3989**

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE

VS.

**WILLIAM PRIGMORE** 

**DEFENDANT-APPELLANT** 

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO (DAYTON) CASE NO. 3:17-cr-00187-WHR-1

#### **BRIEF OF APPELLANT**

STEVEN R. JAEGER (KBA 35451)
THE JAEGER FIRM PLLC
23 Erlanger Road
Erlanger, Kentucky 41018
TELE: (859) 342-4500
EMAIL: <a href="mailto:srjaeger@thejaegerfirm.com">srjaeger@thejaegerfirm.com</a>

Counsel for Appellant - Defendant

### **CASE NO. 20-3989**

# UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE SIXTH CIRCUIT

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE

VS.

**WILLIAM PRIGMORE** 

**DEFENDANT-APPELLANT** 

ON APPEAL FROM THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO (DAYTON) CASE NO. 3:17-cr-00187-WHR-1

## DISCLOSURE OF CORPORATE AFFILIATION AND FINANCIAL INTEREST

Pursuant to 6th Circuit Rule 26.01, Appellant, William Prigmore, makes the following disclosures:

1. Is said party a subsidiary or affiliate of a publicly owned corporation?

ANSWER: NO.

2. Is there a publicly-owned corporation, not a party to the appeal, which has a financial interest in the outcome?

ANSWER: NO.

s/ Steven R. Jaeger

STEVEN R. JAEGER

Dated: February 10, 2021