IN THE	COURT
Plaintiff v.	: Case No
, Defendant	: :
<u>OI</u>	RDER
Sign Language Interpreter	
	ring, or deaf blind. At the request of a party or witness, ds that the services of a sign language interpreter are arty, witness, or juror in the proceedings.
☐ A Supreme Court Certified Sign Language Inte Evid.R. 604 and 702. Sup.R. 88(E)(1).	erpreter is appointed and is presumed to comply with
Court has considered the gravity of the proceeding Supreme Court Certified Sign Language Interpreted	oreter does not exist or is not reasonably available. The ngs and the ability to reschedule in order to obtain a er. For good cause shown, a sign language interpreter $38(E)(2)$ is appointed. Type of certification of appointed
	aring, or deaf blind party, witness, or juror is unique and interpreter who is hearing. A sign language interpreter istry of Interpreters for the Deaf is appointed.
	aring, or deaf blind party, witness, or juror requires silent ho possesses an "Oral Transliteration Certificate" is
	AFFIRMATION cified by the Supreme Court of Ohio)
On the record, the interpreter has sworn or affirm impartially using the best of his or her skill and jud	ned that s/he will interpret accurately, completely, and gment.
☐ The sign language interpreter has sworn or affirm to the Code of Professional Conduct for Court Inter	ned that s/he knows, understands, and will act according rpreters and Translators. (Sup.R. 88, App. H)
Date	Judge/Magistrate
Interpreter's Name:	□ Certification #
Signature:	Date of Service:
Agency:	