

[Cite as *Klink v. N. Cent. Corr. Inst.*, 2002-Ohio-6412.]

IN THE COURT OF CLAIMS OF OHIO

KEVIN J. KLINK, #375-897 :
670 Marion-Williamsport Road :
Marion, Ohio 43301-1812 : Case No. 2002-06316-AD

Plaintiff : MEMORANDUM DECISION

v. :

NORTH CENTRAL CORRECTIONAL :
INSTITUTION :

Defendant :

: : : : : : : : : : : : : : :

For Defendant: Gregory C. Trout, Chief Counsel
Department of Rehabilitation and
Correction
1050 Freeway North
Columbus, Ohio 43229

: : : : : : : : : : : : : : :

FINDINGS OF FACT

{¶1} Plaintiff, Kevin J. Klink, an inmate at defendant, North Central Correctional Institution, stated his locker box was broken into on April 16, 2002 and several items of personal property were stolen.

{¶2} Defendant conducted a prompt, but fruitless, search after being informed of the theft.

{¶3} Plaintiff has filed this complaint seeking to recover \$87.61, the estimated value of his personal property, which he asserts was stolen as a direct result of defendant's negligence in failing to provide adequate protection. Plaintiff also seeks recovery of the fling fee.

[Cite as *Klink v. N. Cent. Corr. Inst.*, 2002-Ohio-6412.]

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

{¶4} The mere fact a theft occurred is insufficient to show defendant's negligence, *Williams v. Southern Ohio Correctional Facility* (1985), 84-02425. Plaintiff must show defendant breached a duty of ordinary or reasonable care. *Williams*, supra.

{¶5} Defendant is not responsible for actions of other inmates unless an agency relationship is shown or it is shown that defendant was negligent. *Walker v. Southern Ohio Correctional Facility* (1978), 78-0217-AD.

{¶6} The fact defendant supplied plaintiff with a locker box and access to a lock to secure valuables constitutes prima facie evidence of defendant discharging its duty of reasonable care. *Watson v. Department of Rehabilitation and Correction* (1987), 86-02635.

{¶7} This court in *Mullett v. Department of Correction* (1976), 76-0292-AD, held that the defendant does not have the liability of an insurer (i.e., is not liable without fault) with respect to inmate property, but that it does have the duty to make "reasonable attempts to protect, or recover" such property.

{¶8} Plaintiff has failed to prove, by a preponderance of the evidence, he suffered any loss as a result of a negligent act or omission on the part of defendant. Consequently, plaintiff's case is denied. *Fitzgerald v. Department of Rehabilitation and Correction* (1998), 97-10146-AD.

DANIEL R. BORCHERT
Deputy Clerk

[Cite as *Klink v. N. Cent. Corr. Inst.*, 2002-Ohio-6412.]

IN THE COURT OF CLAIMS OF OHIO

KEVIN J. KLINK, #375-897	:	
670 Marion-Williamsport Road	:	
Marion, Ohio 43301-1812	:	Case No. 2002-06316-AD
Plaintiff	:	ORDER OF ADMINISTRATIVE DETERMINATION
v.	:	
NORTH CENTRAL CORRECTIONAL INSTITUTION	:	
Defendant	:	

: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :

For Defendant: Gregory C. Trout, Chief Counsel
 Department of Rehabilitation and Correction
 1050 Freeway North
 Columbus, Ohio 43229

: : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :

Having considered all the evidence in the claim file and adopting the memorandum decision concurrently herewith;

IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1) Plaintiff's claim is DENIED and judgment is rendered in favor of defendant;
- 2) The court shall absorb the court costs of this case in excess of the filing fee.

DANIEL R. BORCHERT
 Deputy Clerk

Sent to S.C. reporter 11/25/02