

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS
TWELFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT OF OHIO
WARREN COUNTY

STATE OF OHIO, :
 :
 Plaintiff-Appellee, : CASE NO. CA2004-08-098
 :
 - vs - : D E C I S I O N
 : 4/25/2005
 :
 KAREN M. STEINHART-TRITT, :
 :
 Defendant-Appellant. :

CRIMINAL APPEAL FROM MASON MUNICIPAL COURT
Case No. 04CRB00696

Robert W. Peeler, Mason City Prosecutor, Teresa R. Wade, 5950 Mason
Montgomery Road, Mason, Ohio 45040, for plaintiff-appellee

Craig A. Newburger, 477 Forest Edge Drive, South Lebanon, Ohio
45065, for defendant-appellant

Per Curiam.

{¶1} This cause came on to be considered upon a notice of
appeal, the transcript of the docket and journal entries, the
transcript of proceedings and original papers from the Mason
Municipal Court, and upon the briefs, oral argument having been
waived.

{¶2} Counsel for defendant-appellant, Karen M. Steinhart-
Tritt, has filed a brief with this court pursuant to Anders v.

California (1967), 386 U.S. 738, 87 S.Ct. 1396, which (1) indicates that a careful review of the record from the proceedings below fails to disclose any errors by the trial court prejudicial to the rights of appellant upon which an assignment of error may be predicated; (2) lists one potential error "that might arguably support the appeal," Anders at 744, 87 S.Ct. at 1400; (3) requests that this court review the record independently to determine whether the proceedings are free from prejudicial error and without infringement of appellant's constitutional rights; (4) requests permission to withdraw as counsel for appellant on the basis that the appeal is wholly frivolous; and (5) certifies that a copy of both the brief and motion to withdraw have been served upon appellant.

{¶3} Having allowed appellant sufficient time to respond, and no response having been received, we have accordingly examined the record and find no error prejudicial to appellant's rights in the proceedings in the trial court. The motion of counsel for appellant requesting to withdraw as counsel is granted, and this appeal is dismissed for the reason that it is wholly frivolous.

POWELL, P.J., WALSH and BRESSLER, JJ., concur.

[Cite as *State v. Steinhart-Tritt*, 2005-Ohio-1926.]