

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

June 8, 2010

[Cite as *06/08/2010 Case Announcements*, 2010-Ohio-2549.]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2008-2424. State v. Williams, Slip Opinion No. 2010-Ohio-2453.

Montgomery App. No. 22532, 179 Ohio App.3d 584, 2008-Ohio-6245. Judgment of the trial court reversed, and judgment of the trial court reinstated.

Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

Pfeifer and Lanzinger, JJ., dissent.

Brown, C.J., not participating.

2009-0918. State ex rel. FedEx Ground Package Sys., Inc. v. Indus. Comm., Slip Opinion No. 2010-Ohio-2451.

Franklin App. No. 07AP-959, 182 Ohio App.3d 152, 2009-Ohio-1708. Judgment of the court of appeals affirmed.

Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

Brown, C.J., and Lanzinger, J., not participating.

2009-0922. State ex rel. Extendicare Health Services, Inc. v. Ryan, Slip Opinion No. 2010-Ohio-2452.

In Mandamus. Limited writ granted.

Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

Brown, C.J., not participating.

2009-1323. Garr v. Warden, Madison Corr. Inst., Slip Opinion No. 2010-Ohio-2449.

Certified Question of State Law, United States District Court, Southern District of Ohio, Western Division, No. 1:08cv293. Certified question answered in the negative.

Pfeifer, Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

Brown, C.J., not participating.

2010-0416. State ex rel. Duke Energy Ohio, Inc. v. Hamilton Cty. Court of Common Pleas, Slip Opinion No. 2010-Ohio-2450.

In Prohibition. Writ granted.

Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

Pfeifer, J., dissents and would dismiss the cause.

Brown, C.J., not participating.

MOTIONS AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2009-1292. State ex rel. Doner v. Logan.

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus. On October 23, 2009, the court referred this case to a master commissioner “for the limited purpose of receiving evidence and making all necessary determinations and rulings in regard thereto.”

All evidence in this case was due no later than June 1, 2010. It is ordered, sua sponte, that any motions regarding the admissibility of evidence shall be filed no later than Friday, June 18, 2010. Any response to a motion regarding the admissibility of evidence shall be filed within ten days from the date the motion is filed as required by S.Ct.Prac.R. 14.4(B).

Cupp, J., not participating.