

The Supreme Court of Ohio

CASE ANNOUNCEMENTS

December 3, 2009

[Cite as *12/03/2009 Case Announcements, 2009-Ohio-6299.*]

MERIT DECISIONS WITH OPINIONS

2007-1807. Ohio Bell Tel. Co. v. Levin, Slip Opinion No. 2009-Ohio-6189.

Board of Tax Appeals, No. 2005-K-202. Decision reversed and determination of the Tax Commissioner reinstated.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

Lundberg Stratton, J., dissents.

2008-2363 and 2009-0170. Mayer v. Medancic, Slip Opinion No. 2009-Ohio-6190.

Geauga App. Nos. 2008-G-2826, 2008-G-2827, and 2008-G-2828, 2008-Ohio-5531. Certified question answered in the negative, judgment of the court of appeals reversed, and cause remanded to the trial court.

Moyer, C.J., and Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, O'Donnell, Lanzinger, and Cupp, JJ., concur.

Pfeifer, J., concurs in judgment only.

2009-0364. State v. Jones, Slip Opinion No. 2009-Ohio-6188.

Montgomery App. No. 22558, 2009-Ohio-61. The cause is dismissed, sua sponte, as having been improvidently accepted.

Moyer, C.J., and Pfeifer, O'Donnell, and Lanzinger, JJ., concur.

Lundberg Stratton, O'Connor, and Cupp, JJ., dissent.

MOTION AND PROCEDURAL RULINGS

2009-1950. Copeland v. Summit Cty. Probate Court.

Summit App. No. 24648, 2009-Ohio-4860. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal. On October 26, 2009, when this appeal was filed, a check in the amount of \$100 was submitted by appellant to satisfy the requirement of the docket fee imposed by R.C. 2503.17 and S.Ct.Prac.R. XV(1). This court has been informed by the Office of the Treasurer of the State of Ohio that the check was returned because of insufficient funds. Whereas R.C. 2503.17 and S.Ct.Prac.R. XV(1) require that the docket fee shall be paid before a notice of appeal is filed or a case is docketed,

It is ordered by the court, sua sponte, that this cause is dismissed.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

2007-1411. State ex rel. Glasgow v. Mandel.

In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a complaint for a writ of mandamus. Upon consideration of relator's application for dismissal,

It is ordered by the court that the application for dismissal is granted. Accordingly, this cause is dismissed.