

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

THURSDAY
December 20, 2001

DISCIPLINARY DOCKET

97-1739. Lorain Cty. Bar Assn. v. Papcke.

On February 18, 1998, this court suspended respondent, Mary E. Papcke, a.k.a. Mary Elizabeth Papcke, Attorney Registration No. 0010560, from the practice of law for eighteen months, with twelve months stayed on conditions, pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(6)(B)(3). On March 31, 1998, this court entered an interim suspension against respondent pursuant to Gov.Bar R. V(5)(A)(4), in Supreme Court case No. 98-376, *In re Mary Papcke, a.k.a. Mary Elizabeth Papcke*. On February 23, 2000, this court in Supreme Court case No. 99-1888, *Disciplinary Counsel v. Mary E. Papcke, a.k.a., Mary Elizabeth Papcke*, dismissed Supreme Court case No. 98-376, ordered respondent to serve the remainder of her existing six-month, nonstayed suspension entered in Supreme Court case No. 97-1739, and indefinitely suspended respondent in case No. 99-1888, effective July 11, 2000, with credit for the interim suspension served from March 31, 1998, until February 23, 2000, in Supreme Court case No. 98-376. On February 2, 2001, respondent filed a petition for reinstatement in case No. 99-1888, and on August 29, 2001, the Board of Commissioners on Grievances and Discipline filed its final report recommending that respondent be reinstated to the practice of law. Upon consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED, *sua sponte*, that the suspension in case No. 97-1739 be, and hereby is, terminated.

99-1888. Disciplinary Counsel v. Papcke.

On petition for reinstatement. The petition of Mary E. Papcke is granted.

01-1718. Disciplinary Counsel v. Houser.

On Certified Order of the Supreme Court of California, No. S095786.

William John Houser, Jr., is suspended from the practice of law for six months, stayed, and placed on two years of probation.