

ATTENTION

DIRECT ANY QUESTIONS ABOUT THE ANNOUNCEMENT TO WALTER S. KOBALKA,
REPORTER OF DECISIONS, AND DEBORAH J. BARRETT AT (614) 466-4961 OR
1-800-826-9010.

SUPREME COURT OF OHIO

COLUMBUS

ANNOUNCEMENT

TUESDAY
May 23, 1995

MOTION DOCKET

95-594. State ex rel. The Plain Dealer Publishing Co. v. Cleveland.
In Mandamus. This cause originated in this court on the filing of a
complaint for a writ of mandamus. Upon consideration of relator's
motion for protective order and motion to quash subpoenas or,
alternatively, motion for protective order limiting the scope of the
examination at the depositions of Robert McAuley, Steven Koff, or
any other representative of relator, to questions about the
substance and circumstances of relator's request and follow-up
requests for the resumes at issue and the city's refusal of those
requests,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, effective May 19, 1995, that the
motion for protective order limiting the scope of the examination at
the depositions of Robert McAuley, Steven Koff, or any other
representative of relator, to questions about the substance and
circumstances of relator's request and follow-up requests for the
resumes at issue and the city's refusal of those requests be, and
the same hereby is, granted.

Pfeifer, J., dissents.

DISCIPLINARY DOCKET

95-87. Disciplinary Counsel v. Gamble.
On Certified Order of the Supreme Court of Arizona, No.
SB-94-0078-D. This cause is pending before the Supreme Court of
Ohio in accordance with the reciprocal discipline provisions of Gov.

Bar. R. V(11)(F).

On January 13, 1995, the Office of Disciplinary Counsel of the Supreme Court filed with this court a certified copy of an order of the Supreme Court of Arizona in In the Matter of a Member of the State Bar of Arizona: Albert R. Gamble, case No. SB-94-0078-D, censuring respondent, Albert Reginald Gamble, effective October 18, 1994.

On January 26, 1995, this court ordered respondent to show cause why identical or comparable discipline should not be imposed in this state. Respondent filed no timely response to said show cause order, and this cause was considered by the court. On consideration thereof,

IT IS ORDERED AND ADJUDGED by this court, effective May 17, 1995, that pursuant to Gov. Bar R. V(11)(F)(4), respondent, Albert Reginald Gamble, Attorney Registration No. 0046360, last known address in Tucson, Arizona, be publicly reprimanded.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that all documents filed with this court in this case shall meet the filing requirements set forth in the Rules of Practice of the Supreme Court of Ohio, including requirements as to form, number, and timeliness of filings.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, sua sponte, that service shall be deemed made on respondent by sending this order, and all other orders in this case, by certified mail to the most recent address respondent has given to the Attorney Registration Office.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that the Clerk of this court issue certified copies of this order as provided for in Gov. Bar R. V(8)(D)(1), that publication be made as provided for in Gov. Bar R. V(8)(D)(2), and that respondent bear the costs of publication.

Moyer, C.J., Douglas, Wright, Resnick, F.E. Sweeney, Pfeifer and Cook, JJ., concur.

MISCELLANEOUS DISMISSALS

94-2616. Spriggs v. Lightning Rod Mut. Ins. Co. Scioto County, No. 93CA2203. This cause is pending before the court as an appeal from the Court of Appeals for Scioto County. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, effective May 19, 1995, that the application for dismissal be, and the same is hereby, granted.

ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed.

95-454. Cates v. Consol. Rail Corp. Montgomery County, No. 14432. This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal. Upon consideration of the application of appellants, Grand Trunk Western Railroad Company and Larry O. Meek, for dismissal of the their appeal,

IT IS ORDERED by the court, effective May 19, 1995, that the application for dismissal of the appeal of Grand Trunk Western Railroad Company and Larry O. Meek be, and hereby is, granted.

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that the appeal of Luann M. Cates et al. remains pending until further order of this court.