



Family Drug Court
Statewide System Reform Program

Ohio Statewide System Reform Implementation Kick-off Meeting

October 24, 2017


The Ohio Union

THE SUPREME COURT *of* OHIO

Ohio | Department of
Job and Family Services

Ohio
Department of Medicaid





New York
State Unified Court System

Judicial Branch of
Iowa

Colorado
Judicial Department


Supreme Court of
Ohio

Alabama
Administrative Office of Courts


State System Reform Program


2014

OJJDP funding comparison by state FY 2014



OJJDP funding comparison by state FY '14-'15





New York
State Unified Court System

Judicial Branch of
Iowa

Colorado
Judicial Department

Supreme Court of
Ohio


Alabama
Administrative Office of Courts

Judiciary of
Guam

State System Reform Program

2016

OJJDP funding comparison by state FY '14-'16





Department of Justice



Office of Justice Programs

FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE
THURSDAY, SEPT. 21, 2017
WWW.USDOJ.GOV


OFFICE OF COMMUNICATIONS
CONTACT: JAMES GOODWIN
EMAIL: james.goodwin@usdoj.gov; (202) 353-5624

OJJDP FY 2017 Family Drug Court Statewide System Reform Implementation

WASHINGTON – The following Fiscal Year 2017 Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention grant awards were announced today:

Program Description: The Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention (OJJDP) Fiscal Year (FY) 2017 Family Drug Court Statewide System Reform Implementation program aligns with the Attorney General’s priorities of reducing drug use, including opioid use, by building the effectiveness of family drug court practices at the individual and local levels and institutionalizing them in the larger state-level child welfare, substance abuse treatment, and court systems to improve child, parent, and family outcomes. The goal is for states to serve more families affected by parental substance use disorders who are involved in the child welfare system. This year’s grantees include:

Grantee Name	City	State	Award Amount	Award Number
Family Drug Court Statewide System Reform Implementation Program				
The Supreme Court of Ohio	Columbus	OH	\$703,319	2017-DC-BX-K001
Colorado Judicial Department	Denver	CO	\$503,319	2017-DC-BX-K004
Judiciary Courts for the State of Iowa	Des Moines	IA	\$503,319	2017-DC-BX-K002
New York State Unified Court System	New York	NY	\$953,318	2017-DC-BX-K003
Family Drug Court TTA				
Center for Children and Family Futures, Inc.	Lake Forest	CA	\$1,446,650	2016-DC-BX-K003



New York
State Unified Court System

Judicial Branch of
Iowa

Colorado
Judicial Department


Supreme Court of
Ohio

Judiciary of
Guam

State System Reform Program


2017

OJJDP funding comparison by state FY '14-'17



Project activities 10/01/17 – 09/30/18

- Five in-person regional trainings for multi-disciplinary teams
 - Costs include: funds for expert trainers, local travel, meeting space, materials




August 22, 2018

July 25, 2018

January 24, 2018

March 7, 2018

March 28, 2018



Project activities 10/01/17 – 09/30/18

- Supportive funds to 11 demonstration site courts plus 5 additional courts
 - Costs include: supportive funds to each of the original 11 demonstration sites as well as 5 new sites



Demo Sites

- Ashtabula
- Clermont
- Coshocton
- Hancock
- Hardin
- Henry
- Lucas
- Ross
- Summit
- Union
- Wayne




Project activities 10/01/17 – 09/30/18

- GRC continuous performance monitoring through data collection & analysis
 - Costs include: one-year contract for GRC to deliver
 - Quarterly Performance Measurement of the 11 performance measures for all sites
 - Quarterly Benchmarking: Individual reports for each demonstration site
 - Semiannual Progress Reports
 - Annual Evaluation


GRC Report Findings

Factoring for missing and invalid data, probabilistic matching procedures resulted in:

- 79.64% of child SACWIS records and
- 67.11% of adult SACWIS records being successfully linked to Medicaid administrative records.



GRC Report




GRC Recommendations

- Implement an informed consent process for FDTC participants to provide courts with the necessary authority to share identifying information for linking to administrative data
- Establish a standardized reporting format that courts can use to collect data elements required for linkage
- Use new OBHIS dataset administered by OhioMHAS to gather performance measures related to Reduced Substance Use, Employment, and Criminal Justice Involvement
- Use of data from the universal screen in SACWIS to capture more reliable information about substance use

Propensity score matching analysis

- In the infusion site comparison, the PSM model achieved excellent balance and supported unbiased estimation of differences on evaluation outcomes.
- In the expansion site comparison, the PSM model did not achieve robust balance on independent variables between FDTC participants and non-participants.
 - Traditional multivariable regression models could be considered to produce a more efficient estimate of differences in evaluation outcomes between these groups.



Preliminary findings

- FDTC participation had a significant positive effect on substance abuse treatment participation and retention
- Parents participating in FDTC were more likely to be engaged in behavioral health services and medication assisted treatment
- Among expansion participants, improvements in treatment and retention were observed in community mental health and substance use disorder counseling, medication assisted treatment, and other healthcare services provided for a behavioral health primary diagnosis

Preliminary findings continued

- Among infusion participants, improvements were observed in pharmacological management and healthcare service provided for a behavioral health primary diagnosis
- Infusion participants were less likely to receive MAT and experienced shorter retention in MAT
- No significant positive impact was observed in child welfare performance measures
 - The study timeframe may have been too short to adequately assess some of these child welfare measures

Proof-of-concept lessons learned

- The administrative data available to evaluate the Ohio SSRP was generally adequate for the evaluation and is applicable for use by the Court to monitor performance
- The missing and errant values described in the final GRC report should be addressed in order to foster better outcome evaluation
- PSM is an effective strategy to use for unbiased estimation of treatment effects
- Limitations imposed by the limited timeframe and sample size for this evaluation could be addressed in the future as SSRP reaches a larger number of Ohio families over time

Project activities 10/01/17 – 09/30/18

Court language services access to translation services

- Costs include: language line access as well as translation of program materials
- The table to the right shows SACWIS cases with both interpreter needed as well as substance abuse indicators

FDTC Counties	Cases Needing Interpreter	
	Total	With Substance Abuse Indicators
Belmont	1	1
Clermont	26	15
Coshocton	1	0
Cuyahoga	592	404
Delaware	11	6
Fairfield	24	10
Franklin	1,305	1,042
Hancock	1	0
Hardin	1	0
Logan	13	3
Lorain	144	96
Lucas	13	3
Mahoning	20	12
Marion	9	7
Montgomery	174	114
Morrow	1	1
Muskingum	8	4
Ottawa	3	2
Ross	1	0
Scioto	3	0
Stark	71	46
Summit	124	85
Trumbull	7	1
Union	5	2
Williams	2	0

Project activities 10/01/17 – 09/30/18

- Case management and reporting system enhancements
 - Costs include: supportive funds to local courts through competitive bid process
 - This funding is to help sites improve their technology for data collection, reporting, and analysis.

Project activities 10/01/17 – 09/30/18

11 PERFORMANCE MEASURES FOR OHIO SSRP

CHILD/YOUTH MEASURES

1. Children remain at home
2. Occurrence of child maltreatment
3. Average length of stay in foster care
4. Re-entries to foster care placement
5. Timeliness of reunification
6. Timeliness of permanency

ADULT MEASURES

1. Access to substance abuse treatment
2. Retention in substance abuse treatment
3. Reduced substance use
4. Employment
5. Criminal behavior

Project activities 10/01/17 – 09/30/18

	1 st Qtr (Jan-Mar)	2 nd Qtr (Apr-Jun)	3 rd Qtr (Jul-Sept)	4 th Qtr (Oct-Dec)
1. # of Referrals to Child Welfare Intake that were Substantiated or Indicated				
2. # of Parents Screened with Screening Tool				
3. # of Parents Needing Further SUD Assessment as determined by screening tool				
4. # of Parents Referred for SUD Assessment				
5. # of Parents Completing SUD Assessment				
6. # of Parents Receiving SUD Diagnosis				
7. # of Parents Referred to FDTC				
8. # of Parents Admitted to FDTC				
9. # of Successful FDTC Completions				
10. # of Unsuccessful FDTC Terminations				
11. # of Neutral FDTC Terminations				
12. # of FDTC Parents Reunified with Children				
13. # of Protective Supervision Cases				

	1 st Qtr (Jan-Mar)	2 nd Qtr (Apr-Jun)	3 rd Qtr (Jul-Sept)	4 th Qtr (Oct-Dec)
# of Referrals to Child Welfare Intake that were Accepted for Assessment				
1. # of Parents Screened with Screening Tool				
2. # of Parents Needing Further SUD Assessment as determined by screening tool				
3. # of Parents Referred for SUD Assessment				
4. # of Parents Completing SUD Assessment				
5. # of Parents Receiving SUD Diagnosis				
6. # of Parents Referred to SUD Treatment				
7. # of Parents Referred to Other Interventions				
8. # of AND Cases Filed				
9. # of Infusion Parents Reunified with Children				
10. # of Protective Supervision Cases				

Project activities 10/01/17 – 09/30/18

Data Collection Template

County:	COUNTY
Date Completed:	2/15/2017
Period Start date:	11/1/2015
Period End date:	12/31/2016

Family	Relationship	First Name	Last Name	Date of Birth	Social Security Number
1	Parent	Jane	Smith	2/23/1982	999999999
1	Parent	George	Jones	9/17/1977	555555555
1	Child	Carly	Smith	4/12/2015	444444444
2	Parent	Sarah	Markus	5/10/1988	222222222
2	Child	Karen	Markus	1/20/2016	888888888
2	Child	Joey	Markus	7/5/2014	777777777

Project activities 10/01/17 – 09/30/18

- Travel to required grantee meeting in Washington, DC on 01/31/18 – 2/2/18
 - Costs include: travel for eight joint subcommittee and core team members
- SSRP staff
 - Costs include: full time staff salary and benefits for SSRP Program Manager

Sub-award responsibilities

Sub-award Responsibilities

- SSRP new Sub-awards must fully comply with the 'new' Federal Uniform Guidance 2 CFR 200.

Who here lives and breathes it?

Who has just heard of it – and perhaps even scared?

Who receives other federal funding?

Has your court made changes in procedures or policies?

Why did the Federal Government make these changes?

- To combine many different federal agency policies for simplification and consistency.
- Establish a minimum threshold for most policies; agencies may impose additional requirements but no less than 2 CFR 200.
- Reduce the occurrence of fraud, theft and misuse of tax payer money used for the Federal awards.

Highlight of the Court's Changes

- First, keep all requirements as simple as possible.
- Work to educate courts not familiar with the requirements.
- New Sub-award agreement will better communicate responsibilities.
- All courts receiving funds must have a DUNS number and active SAM.gov account, complete the FFATA form, will be checked for suspension and debarment in federal database.
- All courts will be assessed for risk of noncompliance, complete internal controls questionnaire, comply with additional monitoring and take recommended action to mitigate risk.

What should you do?

- Go online to the US Government Publishing Office and become familiar with 2 CFR 200. Downloadable: https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?tpl=/ecfrbrowse/Title02/2cfr200_main_02.tpl
- Read, understand, and comply with the Court's Sub-award agreement.
- Read, understand, and comply with the Federal Notice of Award.
- Respond promptly to all requests from the Court.
- Technical Assistance: Linda Flickinger, Grant Administrator
 - Linda.Flickinger@sc.ohio.gov; grants@sc.ohio.gov; 614.387.9522

Charge and expectations

- Work due by December 1, 2017 for sub-awards:
 1. FFATA form
 2. Action plan
 3. Budget
 4. Scope of work

Email all documents to latonya.harris@sc.ohio.gov

Upcoming meetings

- Please review the schedule attached to your agenda.
 - Phase 1 demonstration site calls are now scheduled with each site individually on a quarterly basis.
 - Phase 2 demonstration sites are continuing to meet as a learning collaborative on the first Friday of every month.
 - Interdisciplinary training & education workgroup will continue to meet monthly.
 - Data workgroup is moving to every other month.
- Regional trainings held quarterly.

Closing comments



Family Drug Court
Statewide System Reform Program