

[Cite as *State ex rel. Csanad v. Saffold*, 2017-Ohio-1456.]

# Court of Appeals of Ohio

EIGHTH APPELLATE DISTRICT  
COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA

---

JOURNAL ENTRY AND OPINION  
No. 105428

---

**STATE OF OHIO, EX REL.,  
ADAM CSANAD**

RELATOR

vs.

**HON. SHIRLEY STRICKLAND SAFFOLD**

RESPONDENT

---

**JUDGMENT:  
WRIT DENIED**

---

Writ of Procedendo  
Motion No. 505044  
Order No. 505978

**RELEASE DATE:** April 14, 2017

**FOR RELATOR**

Adam Csanad, pro se  
Inmate No. A660-494  
Grafton Correctional Institution  
2500 South Avon Belden Road  
Grafton, Ohio 44044

**ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT**

Michael C. O'Malley  
Cuyahoga County Prosecutor  
By: James E. Moss  
Assistant County Prosecutor  
The Justice Center, 8<sup>th</sup> Floor  
1200 Ontario Street  
Cleveland, Ohio 44113

KATHLEEN ANN KEOUGH, A.J.:

{¶1} Adam Csanad filed a complaint for a writ of procedendo, seeking an order from this court that requires respondent Judge Shirley Strickland Saffold to issue a ruling on a motion to convert court costs to performing community service filed on April 24, 2015 in the underlying case *State v. Csanad*, Cuyahoga C.P. No. CR-14-581618. On March 2, 2017, respondent moved for summary judgment on the grounds that the matter is moot, which Csanad has not opposed. We grant the motion for summary judgment.

{¶2} Attached to respondent's motion for summary judgment is a copy of a journal entry, file-stamped February 22, 2017, that demonstrates Csanad's motion was ruled upon by the trial court — granting his motion to convert remaining court costs to community service. This journal entry therefore establishes that the request for a writ of procedendo is moot. *State ex rel. Bortoli v. Dinkelacker*, 105 Ohio St.3d 133, 2005-Ohio-779, 823 N.E.2d 448, ¶ 3 (“A writ of procedendo will not issue to compel the performance of a duty that has already been performed.”); *State ex rel. Jerningham v. Cuyahoga Cty. Court of Common Pleas*, 74 Ohio St.3d 278, 658 N.E.2d 723 (1996); *State ex rel. Pettway v. Cuyahoga Cty. Court of Common Pleas*, 8th Dist. Cuyahoga No. 98699, 2012-Ohio-5423, ¶ 2 (trial court's ruling on motion rendered the request for a writ of procedendo moot).

{¶3} Accordingly, the court grants the respondent's motion for summary judgment and denies the writ. Costs assessed against relator; costs waived. The clerk

is directed to serve upon the parties notice of this judgment and its date of entry upon the journal. Civ.R. 58(B).

{¶4} Writ denied.

---

KATHLEEN ANN KEOUGH, ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

MARY J. BOYLE, J., and  
SEAN C. GALLAGHER, J., CONCUR