Court of Appeals of Ohio

EIGHTH APPELLATE DISTRICT COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA

JOURNAL ENTRY AND OPINION No. 92157

STATE OF OHIO, EX REL., REGINALD D. SEVAYEGA

RELATOR

VS.

THE HONORABLE RICHARD J. MCMONAGLE

RESPONDENT

JUDGMENT: COMPLAINT DISMISSED

WRIT OF PROCEDENDO MOTION NO. 415255 ORDER NO. 415423

RELEASE DATE: December 1, 2008

[Cite as State ex rel. Sevayega v. McMonagle, 2008-Ohio-6275.]

FOR RELATOR:

Richard D. Sevayega, pro se 4701 Belfiore Road Warrensville Heights, Ohio 44128

ATTORNEYS FOR RESPONDENT:

William D. Mason Cuyahoga County Prosecutor

By: Pamela Bolton Assistant County Prosecutor 8th Floor Justice Center 1200 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 44113 [Cite as State ex rel. Sevayega v. McMonagle, 2008-Ohio-6275.]

Judge Anthony O. Calabrese:

{¶ 1} On October 3, 2008, relator Reginald D. Sevayega commenced this procedendo

action against Judge Richard J. McMonagle to compel him to rule on his petition for post-

conviction relief in State v. Sevayega, Cuyahoga County Court of Common Pleas Case No.

CR-92-290548, which was filed on March 3, 2008. On November 12, 2008, Judge

McMonagle, through the Cuyahoga County Prosecutor's Office, filed a motion to dismiss

Sevayega's action in procedendo. For the following reason, we grant the motion to dismiss.

 $\{\P 2\}$ Attached to the motion to dismiss is a copy of a journal entry which indicates

that on November 5, 2008, Judge McMonagle denied Sevayega's petition for post-conviction

relief thereby rendering the petition for a writ of procedendo moot. State ex rel. Grant v.

Coleman (1983), 6 Ohio St.3d 5, 450 N.E.2d 1163; State ex rel. Jerningham v. Cuyahoga

Cty. Court of Common Pleas (1996), 74 Ohio St.3d 278, 658 N.E.2d 723.

{¶ 3} Accordingly, we dismiss Sevayega's petition for a writ of procedendo.¹

Respondent to bear costs. It is further ordered that the clerk shall serve upon all parties

notice of this judgment and date of entry pursuant to Civ.R. 58(B).

Writ dismissed.

ANTHONY O. CALABRESE, JR., PRESIDING JUDGE

¹ Dismissal is appropriate despite the fact that the motion to dismiss presents matters outside of the pleading. Civ.R. 12(B) and 56. A court may take judicial notice of mootness. See *State ex rel. McCuller v. Corrigan*, Cuyahoga App.No. 89582, 2007-Ohio-1975.

KENNETH A. ROCCO, J., and ANN DYKE, J., CONCUR