Court of Appeals of Ohio

EIGHTH APPELLATE DISTRICT COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA

JOURNAL ENTRY AND OPINION No. 90603

STATE OF OHIO

PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE

VS.

EVERETT GRIDER

DEFENDANT-APPELLANT

JUDGMENT: AFFIRMED

Criminal Appeal from the Cuyahoga County Common Pleas Court Case No. CR-363298

BEFORE: Sweeney, A.J., Kilbane, J., and Blackmon, J.

RELEASED: October 2, 2008

JOURNALIZED:

[Cite as State v. Grider, 2008-Ohio-5132.] ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT

Charles H. Bragg Standard Building, Suite 1520 1370 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 44113

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLEE

William D. Mason Cuyahoga County Prosecutor BY: Thorin Freeman Assistant Prosecuting Attorney 1200 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 44113

N.B. This entry is an announcement of the court's decision. See App.R. 22(B), 22(D) and 26(A); Loc.App.R. 22. This decision will be journalized and will become the judgment and order of the court pursuant to App.R. 22(E) unless a motion for reconsideration with supporting brief, per App.R. 26(A), is filed within ten (10) days of the announcement of the court's decision. The time period for review by the Supreme Court of Ohio shall begin to run upon the journalization of this Court's announcement of decision by the clerk per App.R. 22(E). See, also, S.Ct. Prac.R. II, Section 2(A)(1).

JAMES J. SWEENEY, A.J.:

{¶ 1} Defendant-appellant, Everett Grider, appeals from the imposition of mandatory

postrelease control as part of his sentence following his convictions for rape, aggravated

burglary, and kidnapping. For the reasons that follow, we affirm.

 $\{\P 2\}$ Appellant's sole assignment of error provides:

{¶ 3} "I. R.C. 2967.28 violates the double jeopardy clauses of the United States and

Ohio Constitutions."

{¶ 4} Appellant contends that R.C. 2967.28 violates double jeopardy because it

provides for the imposition of an additional prison term at a later date for an offender who

has "already served his entire prison term for the same criminal conviction." The Ohio

Supreme Court has addressed this argument and held, "R.C. 2967.28(F)(4), which specifies

that a person released on postrelease control who violates conditions of that postrelease

control faces a term of incarceration for the violation as well as criminal prosecution for the

conduct that was the subject of the violation as a felony in its own right, does not violate the

Double Jeopardy Clause of the United States or Ohio Constitution." State v. Martello, 97

Ohio St.3d 398, 2002-Ohio-6661, syllabus. Accordingly, this assignment of error is

overruled.

Judgment affirmed.

It is ordered that appellee recover from appellant its costs herein taxed.

The court finds there were reasonable grounds for this appeal.

It is ordered that a special mandate issue out of this Court directing the Court of

Common Pleas to carry this judgment into execution. The defendant's conviction having

been affirmed, any bail pending appeal is terminated. Case remanded to the trial court for

execution of sentence.

A certified copy of this entry shall constitute the mandate pursuant to Rule 27 of the

Rules of Appellate Procedure.

JAMES J. SWEENEY, ADMINISTRATIVE JUDGE

MARY EILEEN KILBANE, J., and

PATRICIA A. BLACKMON, J., CONCUR