Court of Appeals of Ohio

EIGHTH APPELLATE DISTRICT COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA

JOURNAL ENTRY AND OPINION No. 88657

STATE OF OHIO

PLAINTIFF-APPELLEE

VS.

MICHAEL McCOLLINS

DEFENDANT-APPELLANT

JUDGMENT: AFFIRMED

Criminal Appeal from the Cuyahoga County Court of Common Pleas Case No. CR-453575

BEFORE: McMonagle, J., Cooney, P.J., Rocco, J.

RELEASED: May 17, 2007

JOURNALIZED:

[Cite as *State v. McCollins*, 2007-Ohio-2380.] **ATTORNEY FOR APPELLANT**

Marcus S. Sidoti Sidoti & Sidoti Co., LPA 55 Public Square 1800 Illuminating Building Cleveland, OH 44113

ATTORNEYS FOR APPELLEE

William D. Mason Cuyahoga County Prosecutor

BY: T. Allan Regas Assistant County Prosecutor 8th Floor Justice Center 1200 Ontario Street Cleveland, OH 44113

- {¶ 1} Defendant-appellant, Michael McCollins, appeals the sentence imposed by the trial court for his convictions for attempted murder and aggravated burglary. We affirm.
- {¶ 2} In June 2004, the Cuyahoga County Grand Jury indicted McCollins on one count each for attempted murder, felonious assault, aggravated burglary, and domestic violence. The attempted murder and aggravated burglary counts included prior conviction and repeat violent offender specifications.
- {¶ 3} On November 8, 2004, as part of a plea bargain, McCollins pled guilty to attempted murder and aggravated burglary, with the prior conviction and repeat violent offender specifications deleted. The State nolled the remaining counts. The trial court sentenced McCollins to four years on each count, to run concurrently.
- {¶ 4} McCollins appealed his sentence. In light of *State v. Foster*, 109 Ohio St.3d 1, 2006-Ohio-856, this court vacated McCollins' sentence and remanded for resentencing. *State v. McCollins*, Cuyahoga App. No. 86561, 2006-Ohio-2888. The court stated:
- statutes were unconstitutional for violating the Sixth Amendment to the United States Constitution in the manner set forth in *Apprendi v. New Jersey* [(2000), 530 U.S. 466, 120 S.Ct. 2348, 147 L.Ed.2d 435] and *Blakely v. Washington* [(2004), 542 U.S. 296, 124 S.Ct. 2531, 159 L.Ed.2d 403], and ordered them severed from the Ohio

Revised Code. Trial courts are no longer required to make findings or give their reasons for imposing maximum, consecutive, or more than the minimum sentences, and have full discretion to impose a prison sentence within the statutory range.

- [¶ 6] "In this case, the trial court relied on R.C. 2929.14(B) when sentencing McCollins to more than the minimum prison term. The court found that a nonminimum sentence was appropriate because McCollins had served a prior prison term. Although the U.S. Supreme Court in *Blakely* held findings of prior convictions were properly determined by the trial court and no jury determination was needed, the Ohio Supreme Court in *Foster* declared R.C. 2929.14(B) unconstitutional in its entirety and severed it from Ohio's sentencing code. Because McCollins' sentence is based on an unconstitutional statute, it is void and must be vacated and the matter remanded for resentencing."
- {¶ 7} Upon remand, the trial court again sentenced McCollins to four years on each count, to be served concurrently.
- {¶ 8} McCollins now appeals this sentence. He argues that because his criminal conduct pre-dated *Foster*, any retroactive application of *Foster* is a violation of his due process rights as an expost facto law.
- {¶ 9} We reject his argument in light of this court's recent decision in *State v. Mallette*, Cuyahoga App. No. 87984, 2007-Ohio-715, at ¶¶ 37-48. See, also, *State v. Brito*, Cuyahoga App. No. 88223, 2007-Ohio-1311.

Affirmed.

It is ordered that appellee recover from appellant costs herein taxed.

The court finds there were reasonable grounds for this appeal.

It is ordered that a special mandate issue out of this court directing the common pleas court to carry this judgment into execution. The defendant's conviction having been affirmed, any bail pending appeal is terminated. Case remanded to the trial court for execution of sentence.

A certified copy of this entry shall constitute the mandate pursuant to Rule 27 of the Rules of Appellate Procedure.

CHRISTINE T. McMONAGLE, JUDGE

COLLEEN CONWAY COONEY, P.J., and KENNETH A. ROCCO, J., CONCUR