[Cite as Morris v. Bur. of Sentence Computation, 2007-Ohio-1444.]

# Court of Appeals of Ohio

EIGHTH APPELLATE DISTRICT COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA

JOURNAL ENTRY AND OPINION No. 89517

## **ANDRE T. MORRIS**

**RELATOR** 

VS.

## **BUREAU OF SENTENCE COMPUTATION**

RESPONDENT

# JUDGMENT: COMPLAINT DISMISSED

WRIT OF MANDAMUS ORDER NO. 394615

**RELEASE DATE:** March 23, 2007

[Cite as Morris v. Bur. of Sentence Computation, 2007-Ohio-1444.]

### **FOR RELATOR:**

Andre T. Morris, pro se Inmate No. 482-925 Grafton Correctional Inst. 2500 South Avon Belden Road Grafton, Ohio 44044

### ATTORNEY FOR RESPONDENT:

Bureau of Sentence Computation P.O. Box 450 Orient, Ohio 43146

William D. Mason Cuyahoga County Prosecutor 8th Floor Justice Center 1200 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 44113

- If and an analysis of the Ohio Dept. Of Rehabilitation and Correction, to amend the calculation of his pre-sentence jail-time credit. For the following reasons, we sua sponte dismiss Morris' complaint for a writ of mandamus.
- {¶2} Initially, we find that Morris' complaint for a writ of mandamus is defective, since it is improperly captioned. A complaint for a writ of mandamus must be brought in the name of the state, on relation of the person applying. The failure of Morris to properly caption his complaint for a writ of mandamus warrants dismissal. *Maloney v. Court of Common Pleas of Allen Cty.* (1962), 173 Ohio St. 226, 181 N.E.2d 270; *Dunning v. Cleary* (Jan. 11, 2001), Cuyahoga App. No. 78763.
- {¶ 3} Morris has also failed to comply with R.C. 2969.25, which requires the attachment of an affidavit to the complaint for a writ of mandamus that describes each civil action or appeal filed within the previous five years in any state or federal court. Morris' failure to comply with R.C. 2969.25 warrants the dismissal of the complaint for a writ of mandamus. *State ex rel. Zanders v. Ohio Parole Bd.*, 82 Ohio St.3d 421, 1998-Ohio-218, 696 N.E.2d 594; *State ex rel. Alford v. Winters*, 80 Ohio St.3d 285, 1997-Ohio-117, 685 N.E.2d 1242. It must also be noted that Morris has failed to comply with Loc.App.R. 45(B)(1)(a), which mandates that the complaint must be supported by an affidavit that specifies the details of the claim. The failure

-4-

of Morris to comply with the supporting affidavit requirement of Loc.App.R.

45(B)(1)(a) requires dismissal of the complaint for a writ of mandamus. State ex rel.

Smith v. McMonagle (July 17, 1996), Cuyahoga App. No. 70899; State ex rel. Wilson

v. Calabrese (Jan. 18, 1996), Cuyahoga App. No. 70077. Finally, venue is not

proper before this court, since the Bureau of Sentence Computation conducts its

business in Orient, Ohio, Pickaway County. Cf. State ex rel. Davis v. Ghee (1998),

126 Ohio App.3d 569, 710 N.E.2d 1178. Thus, venue is proper in the Fourth

Appellate District.

{¶4} Accordingly, we sua sponte dismiss, without prejudice, Morris'

complaint for a writ of mandamus. Costs to Morris. It is further ordered that the

Clerk of the Eighth District Court of Appeals serve notice of this judgment upon all

parties as required by Civ.R. 58(B).

Complaint dismissed.

MARY J. BOYLE, JUDGE

SEAN C. GALLAGHER, P.J., and

ANN DYKE, J., CONCUR