[Cite as State ex rel. Zayed v. McFaul, 2005-Ohio-6974.]

COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO, EIGHTH DISTRICT

COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA

No. 87110

STATE OF OHIO, EX REL., : ORIGINAL ACTION

JAMIL ZAYED :

: JOURNAL ENTRY

Relator : AND

: OPINION

vs.

:

GERALD T. MCFAUL, SHERIFF

:

Respondent :

DATE OF JOURNALIZATION: DECEMBER 27, 2005

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDINGS: WRIT OF HABEAS CORPUS

JUDGMENT: Writ Dismissed.

Motion No. 377052 Order No. 378773

APPEARANCES:

For Relator: PAUL B. DAIKER

LARRY W. ZUKERMAN

Zukerman, Daiker & Lear Co.

2000 East Ninth Street

Suite 700

Cleveland, Ohio 44115

For Respondent: WILLIAM D. MASON

Cuyahoga County Prosecutor

BY: AMY VENISILE

Assistant County Prosecutor

Justice Center - 9th Floor

1200 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 44113 JUDGE FRANK D. CELEBREZZE, JR.:

{¶1} On September 30, 2005, the petitioner, Jamil Zayed, commenced this habeas corpus action against Sheriff Gerald McFaul, on the grounds of excessive bail. On October 17, 2005, the sheriff moved to dismiss. Zayed never filed a response. For the following reasons, this court grants the motion to dismiss.

{¶2} In the underlying case, *State of Ohio v. Jamil Zayed*, Cuyahoga County Common Pleas Court Case No. CR. 468557, the Grand Jury indicted Zayed on one count each of rape, attempted rape, gross sexual imposition, kidnaping and having a weapon under disability. The trial court originally set bond at \$25,000. At a hearing on September 28, 2005, the state requested the court to raise the bond. The court noted that Zayed had felony convictions for two counts of trafficking in food stamps, one count of intimidation and one count of domestic violence. The state submitted that Zayed was a foreign national, from either Israel or Palestine, and that he had financial transactions with people outside the United States. Furthermore, the trial judge did not get straight answers on the location or existence of Zayed's passport or his immigration status. Therefore, the judge increased the bond to \$500,000.

{¶3} In the petition Zayed argued that \$500,000 was excessive. He had strong ties to the Cleveland area, with employment and family here, and had made all court hearings. The State replied that the trial court did not abuse its discretion in setting bond at \$500,000 because of the seriousness of charges, his long criminal history, his out-of-

¹ The gravamen of the charge was that he raped a twenty-eight year-old female employee at gunpoint. Zayed's defense counsel asserted that the defense would be based on consensual sex.

-3-

country ties, and his questionable immigration status. $Jenkins \ v. \ Billy \ (1989)$, 43

Ohio St.3d 84, 584 N.E.2d 1045; In re Gentry (1982), 7 Ohio App.3d

143, 454 N.E.2d 987; Lewis v. Telb (1985), 26 Ohio App.3d 11, 497

N.E.2d 1376; and In re Green (1995), 101 Ohio App.3d 726, 656

N.E.2d 705.

{¶4} However, this habeas corpus action is now moot. A review of the docket in

the underlying case reveals that on November 30, 2005, Zayed pleaded guilty to rape with

a one-year firearm specification and received a five-year sentence; the other counts were

nolled.

¶5 Accordingly, the court grants the motion to dismiss and dismisses this action

as moot. Costs assessed against petitioner. The clerk is directed to serve upon the

parties notice of this judgment and its date of entry upon the journal. Civ.R. 58(B).

FRANK D. CELEBREZZE, JR. PRESIDING JUDGE

DIANE KARPINSKI, J., CONCURS

CHRISTINE T. MCMONAGLE, J., CONCURS