### [Cite as State v. Beauregard, 2005-Ohio-3722.]

# COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO, EIGHTH DISTRICT

### COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA

NOS. 85402, 85403, 85404 & 85405

STATE OF OHIO

Plaintiff-Appellant : JOURNAL ENTRY

and

vs. : OPINION

:

MICHAEL BEAUREGARD (NO. 85402):
KIMAUDURA PULLIE (NO. 85403):
MARIE DEARMOND (NO. 85404):
SAMUEL TEASLEY (NO. 85405):

:

Defendants-Appellees :

DATE OF ANNOUNCEMENT

OF DECISION : JULY 21, 2005

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDING: : Criminal appeal from

Common Pleas Court Case No. CR-452110

JUDGMENT : DISMISSED.

DATE OF JOURNALIZATION :

APPEARANCES:

For plaintiff-appellant William D. Mason, Esq.

Cuyahoga County Prosecutor BY: Martina Kulick, Esq. Assistant County Prosecutor The Justice Center - 9<sup>th</sup> Floor

1200 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 44113

For defendant-appellee,

Michael Beauregard:

Robert L. Tobik, Esq.

Cuyahoga County Public Defender

BY: Paul Kuzmins, Esq. Assistant Public Defender 1200 West Third Street 100 Lakeside Place

Cleveland, Ohio 44113

For defendant-appellee, Kimaudura Pullie:

Elizabeth Kelley, Esq. 13938A Cedar Road Suite No. 285 Cleveland, Ohio 44118-3204

For defendant-appellee, Marie Dearmond:

Marie Dearmond, Pro Se 2219 Payne Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44112

For defendant-appellee, Samuel Teasley:

Ralph T. DeFranco, Esq. 75 Public Square Suite No. 1320 Cleveland, Ohio 44113

# MICHAEL J. CORRIGAN, J.:

{¶1} The state appeals from a dismissal of four separate criminal indictments on grounds that it failed to produce at a pretrial an out-of-state witness. We dismiss the appeal for want of a final, appealable order because the order itself is considered to be without prejudice. See City of Fairview Park v. Fleming (Dec. 7, 2000), Cuyahoga App. Nos. 77323 and 77324 (dismissal of a criminal complaint cannot be considered a proceeding ancillary to the action; therefore, the dismissal of a criminal complaint, without prejudice, is not a final order, and the court lacks jurisdiction to consider it); State v. Steel, Cuyahoga App. No. 85076, 2005-Ohio-2623 at ¶6.

### $\{\P 2\}$ This appeal is dismissed.

It is, therefore, ordered that appellees recover of appellant their costs herein taxed.

It is ordered that a special mandate issue out of this court directing the Common Pleas Court to carry this judgment into execution.

A certified copy of this entry shall constitute the mandate pursuant to Rule 27 of the Rules of Appellate Procedure.

MICHAEL J. CORRIGAN
JUDGE

ANTHONY O. CALABRESE, JR., P.J.,

MARY EILEEN KILBANE, J., CONCUR.

N.B. This entry is an announcement of the court's decision. See App.R.  $22\,(B)$ ,  $22\,(D)$  and  $26\,(A)$ ; Loc.App.R. 22. This decision will be journalized and will become the judgment and order of the court pursuant to App.R. $22\,(E)$  unless a motion for reconsideration with supporting brief, per App.R.  $26\,(A)$ , is filed within ten (10) days of the announcement of the court's decision. The time period for review by the Supreme Court of Ohio shall begin to run upon the journalization of this court's announcement of decision by the clerk per App.R.  $22\,(E)$ . See, also, S.Ct.Prac.R. II, Section  $2\,(A)\,(1)$ .