COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO, EIGHTH DISTRICT

COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA

NO. 84620

STATE OF OHIO, : ACCELERATED

:

Plaintiff-Appellant :

: JOURNAL ENTRY

vs. : AND : OPINION

JOHN CHAPPELL, :

:

Defendant-Appellee :

DATE OF ANNOUNCEMENT

OF DECISION : MAY 19, 2005

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDING: : Criminal appeal from

: Common Pleas Court : Case No. CR-442956

JUDGMENT : REVERSED AND REMANDED.

DATE OF JOURNALIZATION :

APPEARANCES:

For plaintiff-appellant: William D. Mason, Esq.

Cuyahoga County Prosecutor BY: Jon W. Oebker, Esq. Assistant County Prosecutor The Justice Center - 8th Floor

1200 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 44113

For defendant-appellee: David L. Doughten, Esq.

The Brownhoist Building 4403 St. Clair Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44103

MICHAEL J. CORRIGAN, J.:

- {¶1} This cause came to be heard upon the accelerated calendar pursuant to App.R. 11 and Loc.R. 11.1, the record from the Cuyahoga County Court of Common Pleas and the briefs of counsel. The state charged defendant-parolee John Chappell with escape after he failed to report timely to his parole officer. Chappell filed a motion to dismiss the charge, citing to our decision in State v. Thompson, Cuyahoga App. No. 78919, 2002-Ohio-6478, in which we held that a parolee cannot be prosecuted for escape if the underlying offense giving rise to the parole status had been committed prior to July 1, 1996. The court granted the motion to dismiss.
- $\{\P\,2\}$ We sustain the state's assignment of error because the Ohio Supreme Court recently reversed our decision in *Thompson*. In State v. Thompson, 102 Ohio St.3d 287, 2004-Ohio-2946, the supreme court held that the date of the underlying offense is irrelevant to the crime of escape. For purposes of the escape charge, we need only be concerned with the date on which the escape occurred. It follows that the court erred by granting Chappell's motion to dismiss.

Reversed and remanded.

This cause is reversed and remanded for proceedings consistent with this opinion.

It is, therefore, ordered that said appellant recover of said appellee its costs herein taxed.

It is ordered that a special mandate be sent to said court to carry this judgment into execution.

A certified copy of this entry shall constitute the mandate pursuant to Rule 27 of the Rules of Appellate Procedure.

MICHAEL J. CORRIGAN
JUDGE

FRANK D. CELEBREZZE, JR., P.J., and CHRISTINE T. McMONAGLE, J., CONCUR.

N.B. This entry is an announcement of the court's decision. See App.R. 22(B), 22(D) and 26(A); Loc.App.R. 22. This decision will be journalized and will become the judgment and order of the court pursuant to App.R. 22(E) unless a motion for reconsideration with supporting brief, per App.R. 26(A), is filed within ten (10) days of the announcement of the court's decision. The time period for review by the Supreme Court of Ohio shall begin to run upon the journalization of this court's announcement of decision by the clerk per App.R. 22(E). See, also, S.Ct.Prac.R. II, Section 2(A)(1).