[Cite as Johnson v. Federal Ins. Co., 2003-Ohio-6172.]

COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO, EIGHTH DISTRICT COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA No. 82428

RONICA A. JOHNSON, et al., :

Plaintiffs-Appellants : JOURNAL ENTRY

vs. : AND

FEDERAL INSURANCE CO., : OPINION

Defendant-Appellee :

:

DATE OF ANNOUNCEMENT NOVEMBER 20, 2003

OF DECISION

:

:

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDING : Civil appeal from

Common Pleas Court Case No. CV-463543

JUDGMENT : AFFIRMED

DATE OF JOURNALIZATION :

APPEARANCES:

[Cite as Johnson v. Federal Ins. Co., 2003-Ohio-6172.]

For Plaintiffs-Appellants: PAUL W. FLOWERS

Paul W. Flowers Co., LPA 1200 Illuminating Building

55 Public Square

Cleveland, Ohio 44113

ALAN S. LEVINE

Levine & Levine Co., LPA 1200 Illuminating Building

55 Public Square

Cleveland, Ohio 44113

For Defendant-Appellee: ROBERT H. EDDY

TIMOTHY J. FITZGERALD COLLEEN A. MOUNTCASTLE Gallagher, Sharp, Fulton &

Norman

Bulkley Building - 7th Floor

1501 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44115

ANNE L. KILBANE, P.J.

{¶1} This is an appeal from an order of Judge Brian J. Corrigan that granted summary judgment to Federal Insurance Co. ("Federal") on Ronica A. and Brionna Johnson's claims for underinsured motorist ("UIM") benefits under a policy it issued to Ms. Johnson's employer. They claim it was error to find that they did not overcome the presumption of prejudice arising from their failure to comply with notice provisions in the policy. We affirm.

 $\{\P2\}$ On February 12, 1997, Ms. Johnson, employed by Olympic Steel, Inc., and her minor daughter, Brionna, were injured in a three-car collision caused by the negligence of Anthony G. Sgro. In November of 1997, Ms. Johnson agreed to accept the offer of Sgro's liability insurer, Victoria

Insurance Group, for \$12,500 to settle her claims, and \$10,000 for her child's claims, which exhausted Sgro's liability limits. Ms. Johnson's car was insured by a Safe Auto Insurance Co. policy with uninsured motorist coverage of \$12,500 per person, \$25,000 per accident, and Safe Auto provided \$2,500 to settle Brionna's UIM claim.

- $\{\P 3\}$ The Johnsons subsequently made claims to Federal seeking UIM and consortium claims under its Business Auto Policy issued to Olympic and, in February of 2002, filed a complaint alleging breach of contract and seeking a declaratory judgment.
- {¶4} Federal moved for summary judgment, arguing that the Johnsons were barred from recovery by their failure to give prompt notice of both the claims and of the settlements with Victoria. It also contended that they were not entitled to UIM benefits because they were not occupants of a "covered auto," as that term was defined in the policy, at the time of the accident.
- $\{\P5\}$ The judge granted summary judgment on the notice issue, finding that the Johnsons had failed to rebut the presumption of prejudice created by the untimely notice, citing the Ohio Supreme Court's decision in Ferrando v. Auto-

¹Scott-Pontzer v. Liberty Mut. Fire Ins. Co. 85 Ohio St.3d 660, 1999-Ohio-292, 710 N.E.2d 1116.

Owners Mut. Ins. Co., 2 which was decided December 27, 2002.

 $\{\P 6\}$ The Johnsons make two arguments under a single assignment of error, which is set forth in Appendix A, that challenge the notice and subrogation provisions and the covered auto exclusion within the UM/UIM coverage of the Federal policy. We need not reach these issues because, under the newly released opinion in Westfield Ins. Co. v. Galatis,3 "a policy of insurance that names a corporation as an insured for uninsured or underinsured motorist coverage covers a loss sustained by an employee of the corporation only if the loss occurs within the course and scope of employment." 4 Moreover, the court also held: "Where a policy of insurance designates a corporation as a named insured, the designation of "family members" of the named insured as other insureds does not extend insurance coverage to a family member of an employee of the corporation, unless that employee is also a named insured."5

 $\{\P7\}$ At the time of the loss, Ms. Johnson was not within the course and scope of her employment and not a named insured under the Federal policy. Neither she nor her daughter are insured for UM/UIM coverage under the Federal policy. We

²98 Ohio St.3d 186, <u>2002-Ohio-7217</u>, 781 N.E.2d 927.

³100 Ohio St.3d 216, 2003-Ohio-5849.

⁴Id., paragraph two of the syllabus.

⁵Id., paragraph three of the syllabus.

affirm the judgment, albeit for another reason. The assignment of error lacks merit.

Judgment affirmed.

It is ordered that appellee shall recover of appellant costs herein taxed.

The court finds that there were reasonable grounds for this appeal.

It is ordered that a special mandate issue out of this court directing the Cuyahoga County Common Pleas Court to carry this judgment into execution.

 $^{^6}$ Joyce v. Gen. Motors Corp. (1990), 49 Ohio St.3d 93, 96, 551 N.E.2d 172.

A certified copy of this entry shall constitute the mandate pursuant to Rule 27 of the Rules of Appellate Procedure.

COLLEEN CONWAY COONEY, J., And

SEAN C. GALLAGHER, J., Concur

ANNE L. KILBANE PRESIDING JUDGE

N.B. This entry is an announcement of the court's decision. See App.R. 22(B), 22(D) and 26(A); Loc. App.R.22. This decision will be journalized and will become the judgment and order of the court pursuant to App.R. 22(E), unless a motion for reconsideration with supporting brief, per App.R. 26(A) is filed within ten (10) days of the announcement of the court's decision. The time period for review by the Supreme Court of Ohio shall begin to run upon the journalization of this court's announcement of decision by the clerk per App.R. 22(E). See, also, S.Ct.Prac.R. II, Section 2(A)(1).