COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO, EIGHTH DISTRICT

COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA

NO. 77644

STATE OF OHIO :

•

Plaintiff-Appellee : JOURNAL ENTRY

AND

v. : OPINION

:

AQUIL ZAYID :

:

Defendant-Appellant :

DATE OF JOURNALIZATION: NOVEMBER 14, 2002

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDING: Application for Reopening

Motion No. 72855.

Lower Court No. CR 383316

Court of Common Pleas

JUDGMENT: Application Denied.

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff-Appellee: For Defendant-Appellant:

WILLIAM D. MASON Aquil Zayid, Pro Se

Cuyahoga County Prosecutor #385-736

SHERRY F. MCCREADY Mansfield Correctional

Assistant Prosecuting Attorney Institution
The Justice Center P.O. Box 788

1200 Ontario Street Mansfield, Ohio 44901 Cleveland, Ohio 44113

Judge Kenneth A. Rocco:

- {¶1} On September 18, 2002, Aquil Zayid filed a second application for reopening pursuant to App. R. 26(B). He is again attempting to reopen the appellate judgment that was rendered by this court in State v. Clifford Patrick, AKA Aquil Zayid, (Aug. 17, 2000), Cuyahoga App. No. 77644. In that opinion, we affirmed Mr. Zayid's plea to one count of kidnapping and one count of attempted rape. The record indicates that Mr. Zayid filed his first application to reopen pursuant to App.R. 26(B) on June 29, 2001. This court denied that application on August 27, 2001. For the following reasons, we sua sponte deny Mr. Zayid's second application to reopen.
- {¶2} Mr. Zayid's second application to reopen is not well taken because there is no right to file successive applications for reopening pursuant to App.R. 26(B). State v. Richardson (1996), 74 Ohio St.3d 235, 658 N.E.2d 273; State v. Cheren (1995), 73 Ohio St.3d 137, 652 N.E.2d 707; State v. Peeples (1995), 73 Ohio St.3d 149, 652 N.E.2d 717; State v. Towns (Nov. 3, 1997), Cuyahoga App. No. 71244, reopening disallowed, (Apr. 22, 2002), Motion No. 37343; State v. Sherrills (Sept. 18, 1997), Cuyahoga App. No. 56777, reopening disallowed, (Mar. 6, 2001), Motion No. 24318; and State v. Stewart (Nov. 19, 1998), Cuyahoga App. No. 73255, reopening

 $\Pi \alpha \gamma \epsilon -3-$

disallowed, (Nov. 2, 2001), Motion No. 32159. "Neither Murnahan

nor App.R. 26(B) was intended as an open invitation for persons

sentenced to long periods of incarceration to concoct new theories

of ineffective assistance of appellate counsel in order to have a

new round of appeals." State v. Reddick (1995), 72 Ohio St.3d 88,

647 N.E.2d 784

 $\{\P 3\}$ Furthermore, the doctrine of Res Judicata prohibits this

court from considering Mr. Zayid's second application for reopening

because his new claims of ineffective assistance of appellate

counsel could have been raised in his initial application to

reopen. Stewart; Fuller; State v. Phelps (Sept. 30, 1996),

Cuyahoga App. No. 69157, second reopening disallowed (Nov. 30,

1998), Motion No. 79992; and State v. Brantley (June 29, 1992),

Cuyahoga App. No. 62412, second reopening disallowed (May 22,

1996), Motion No. 72855.

{¶4} Accordingly, Mr. Zayid's second application for reopening

is denied.

JAMES D. SWEENEY, J. and

DIANE KARPINSKI, J. CONCUR.

KENNETH A. ROCCO PRESIDING JUDGE