

[Cite as *State v. Harris*, 2002-Ohio-4573.]

COURT OF APPEALS OF OHIO, EIGHTH DISTRICT

COUNTY OF CUYAHOGA

NO. 80772

STATE OF OHIO	:	
	:	
Plaintiff-Appellee	:	
	:	JOURNAL ENTRY
vs.	:	
	:	and
KENNETH HARRIS	:	
	:	OPINION
Defendant-Appellant	:	

DATE OF ANNOUNCEMENT OF DECISION:	September 5, 2002
--------------------------------------	-------------------

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDING:	Criminal appeal from Court of Common Pleas Case Nos. CR-269072 and CR-272224
--------------------------	---

JUDGMENT:	AFFIRMED
-----------	----------

DATE OF JOURNALIZATION:	_____
-------------------------	-------

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff-Appellee:	WILLIAM D. MASON Cuyahoga County Prosecutor 1200 Ontario Street Cleveland, Ohio 44113
-------------------------	--

For Defendant-Appellant:	BERNARD REDFIELD 1328 Standard Building Cleveland, Ohio 44113
--------------------------	---

KENNETH L. HARRIS, pro se
Inmate No. A-257-572
Marion Corr. Institution
P.O. Box 57
Marion, Ohio 43302-0057

[Cite as *State v. Harris*, 2002-Ohio-4573.]

COLLEEN CONWAY COONEY, J.:

{¶1} Defendant-appellant Kenneth Harris appeals the trial court's denial of his motion to withdraw his guilty plea. For the reasons below, we affirm.

{¶2} In December 1991, Harris had two criminal cases pending. On December 27, 1991, he pled guilty to felonious assault with a violence specification. On January 2, 1992, he pled guilty to attempted rape in the second case. The trial court sentenced him to five to fifteen-year terms in each case, to run consecutively.

{¶3} On December 4, 2001, Harris filed a motion to withdraw his guilty pleas, which the trial court denied.

{¶4} In his pro se brief on appeal, Harris maintains that the trial court abused its discretion when it denied his motion to withdraw his guilty plea.¹ He argues that the trial court did not have subject matter jurisdiction because each page of the indictments against him was not time-stamped. Accordingly, he contends that he should be permitted to withdraw his plea.

{¶5} Crim.R. 32.1 permits a post-sentence motion to withdraw a guilty plea only to correct a manifest injustice. *State v. Blalock*, Cuyahoga App. No. 80599, 2002-Ohio-3637, citing, *State v. Xie* (1992), 62 Ohio St.3d 521, 526, 584 N.E.2d 715; *State v. Grigsby* (1992), 80 Ohio App.3d 291, 299, 609 N.E.2d 183. The

¹ Counsel appeared at oral argument and raised ineffective assistance of counsel as a second issue. However, this issue was not argued in the appellate brief. See App.R. 12(B).

burden of establishing a manifest injustice is upon the defendant.

State v. Legree (1988), 61 Ohio App.3d 568, 572, 573 N.E.2d 687;
State v. Grigsby, supra at 299.

{¶6} In the instant case, there is no showing of a manifest injustice. The technical argument which Harris raises does not require a withdrawal of his guilty plea. Accordingly, the trial court did not err in denying Harris' motion.

Judgment affirmed.

It is ordered that appellee recover of appellant its costs herein taxed.

The court finds there were reasonable grounds for this appeal.

It is ordered that a special mandate issue out of this court directing the Cuyahoga County Court of Common Pleas to carry this judgment into execution. The defendant's conviction having been affirmed, any bail pending appeal is terminated. Case remanded to the trial court for execution of sentence.

A certified copy of this entry shall constitute the mandate pursuant to Rule 27 of the Rules of Appellate Procedure.

ANNE L. KILBANE, P.J. and

TERRENCE O'DONNELL, J. CONCUR

JUDGE
COLLEEN CONWAY COONEY