COURT OF APPEALS LICKING COUNTY, OHIO FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

STATE OF OHIO JUDGES:

Hon. Sheila G. Farmer, P.J. Hon. John W. Wise, J. Hon. Patricia A. Delaney, J.

-VS-

BRET A. FOGLE Case No. 2008CA00137

Defendant-Appellant <u>OPINION</u>

CHARACTER OF PROCEEDING: Appeal from the Municipal Court, Case No.

08CRB01501

JUDGMENT: Dismissed

DATE OF JUDGMENT ENTRY: July 16, 2009

APPEARANCES:

For Plaintiff-Appellee For Defendant-Appellant

TRICIA M. MOORE ANDREW T. SANDERSON 40 West Main Street 21 West Church Street

Newark, OH 43055 Suite 201

Newark, OH 43055

Farmer, P.J.

- {¶1} On June 26, 2008, appellant, Bret Fogle, was charged with one count of domestic violence in violation of R.C. 2919.25. Said charge arose from an incident between appellant and his wife, Lisa Darby.
- {¶2} A jury trial commenced on October 13, 2008. The jury found appellant guilty as charged. By judgment entry filed October 13, 2008, the trial court sentenced appellant to one hundred eighty days in jail.
- {¶3} Appellant filed an appeal and this matter is now before his court for consideration. Assignments of error are as follows:

ı

{¶4} "THE RECORD BELOW FAILS TO DEMONSTRATE THAT THE JURY WAS PROPERLY IMPANELED AND PRESENT IN THE COURT ROOM PRIOR TO THE ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE PURPORTED VERDICT."

Ш

{¶5} "THE DEFENDANT-APPELLANT WAS DENIED THE EFFECTIVE ASSISTANCE OF TRIAL COUNSEL."

Ш

- $\{\P 6\}$ "THE CONVICTION OF THE DEFENDANT-APPELLANT WAS AGAINST THE MANIFEST WEIGHT OF THE EVIDENCE PRESENTED BELOW."
- {¶7} At the outset, we must examine the October 13, 2008 sentencing entry in light of the Supreme Court of Ohio's decision in *State v. Baker*, 119 Ohio St.3d 200, 2008-Ohio-3330. The *Baker* court at syllabus held the following:

- {¶8} "A judgment of conviction is a final appealable order under R.C. 2505.02 when it sets forth (1) the guilty plea, the jury verdict, or the finding of the court upon which the conviction is based; (2) the sentence; (3) the signature of the judge; and (4) entry on the journal by the clerk of court. (Crim.R.32(C), explained.)"
- {¶9} The *Baker* court at ¶17 further held, "Only one document can constitute a final appealable order."
- {¶10} Upon review of the sentencing entry, we find it does not include the "jury verdict." Therefore, it is not a final appealable order and this court lacks jurisdiction to entertain the appeal. See, Section 3(B)(2), Article IV, Ohio Constitution; R.C. 2953.02.
 - {¶11} Pursuant to *Baker*, the appeal is dismissed.

By Farmer, P.J.

Wise, J. and

Delaney J. concur.

s/Sheila G. Farmer_		
s/John W. Wise		
s/Patricia A. Delaney		
1110000		
JUDGES		

SGF/db 0701

STATE OF OHIO

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR LICKING COUNTY, OHIO FIFTH APPELLATE DISTRICT

	Plaintiff-Appellee	
·vs-		: : JUDGMENT ENTRY
3RET	A. FOGLE	
	Defendant-Appellant	: CASE NO. 2008CA00137
	For the reasons stated in our accom	panying Memorandum-Opinion, the appeal is
dismis	ssed.	
		s/Sheila G. Farmer
		-/
		s/John W. Wise
		s/Patricia A. Delaney
		JUDGES