[Cite as Bernabei v. Cincinnati Ins. Cos., 2005-Ohio-2914.]

STATE OF OHIO, STARK COUNTY

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS

FIFTH DISTRICT

CASE NO. 2002CA00078 ROBERT BERNABEI, et al. PLAINTIFFS-APPELLEES VS. **OPINION AND** JOURNAL ENTRY THE CINCINNATI INSURANCE COS., et al. **DEFENDANTS-APPELLANTS**) CHARACTER OF PROCEEDINGS: Appellant's Motion for Reconsideration and Motion to Certify a Conflict. Case No.: 2000CV02399 JUDGMENT: Denied.

Filed:

June 9, 2005

JUDGES: Hon. Cheryl L. Waite Hon. Gene Donofrio Hon. Joseph J. Vukovich

Judges of the Seventh Appellate District Sitting by Assignment

APPEARANCES:

For Robert, Shirley & Angela Bernabei:	Atty. Stacey Roth Atty. Christopher J. Van Blargan Atty. Brian L. Zimmerman Allen Schulman & Assoc. Co., LPA 236 Third Street, SW Canton, Ohio 44702
For Tamara Bernabei:	Atty. Larry Slagle 2200 Whales Road, NW Massillon, Ohio 44646
For Cincinnati Insurance Co.:	Atty. Laura M. Faust Roetzel & Andress 222 S. Main Street, Suite 400 Akron, Ohio 44308
	Atty. Ralph F. Dublikar Atty. Jack R. Baker Baker, Dublikar, Beck, Wiley & Mathews 400 South Main Street North Canton, Ohio 44720
For St. Paul Fire & Marine Ins. Co.:	Atty. D. John Travis Gallagher, Sharp, Fulton & Forman Bulkley Building – 7 th Floor 1501 Euclid Avenue Cleveland, Ohio 44115-2108
For Allstate Insurance Co.:	Atty. Dennis Pilawa 920 Key Building Akron, Ohio 44308
For Westfield Insurance Co.:	Atty. Mark Fischer Atty. Julie Gieser 8040 Cleveland Ave., NW, Suite 400 North Canton, Ohio 44702
For Progressive Insurance Co.:	Atty. Paul R. Garlock 4450 Belden Village Ave., N.W. Suite 21

Canton, Ohio 44718

PER CURIAM.

{¶1} On September 30, 2004, Appellant Cincinnati Insurance Company filed with this Court a motion for reconsideration (also known as an application for reconsideration), pursuant to App.R. 26(A), and a motion to certify a conflict, pursuant to App.R. 25(A), in reference to our decision in *Bernabei v. Cincinnati Ins. Cos.*, 5th Dist. No. 2002CA00078, 2004-Ohio-4939, released on September 20, 2004. This appeal was decided by three judges from the Seventh District Court of Appeals who were sitting by assignment.

{¶2} Appellant's motions were not forwarded to the judges actually assigned to the case, and said motions have not yet been addressed by this Court. It recently came to our attention that, during the time Appellant's motions were pending before this Court, Appellant filed a notice of appeal and memorandum of jurisdiction with the Ohio Supreme Court, and that the appeal to the Ohio Supreme Court has already been dismissed.

{¶3} On April 26, 2005, the Ohio Supreme Court issued the following ruling:

{¶4} "This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal. On November 4, 2004, appellant filed a notice that a motion to certify a conflict was pending in the court of appeals and, pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. IV(4)(A), this court stayed consideration of the jurisdictional memoranda filed in this appeal. Whereas

appellant has neither notified this court that the court of appeals determined that a conflict does not exist as provided by S.Ct.Prac.R. IV(4)(B) nor filed a copy of the court of appeals' order certifying the existence of a conflict as provided by S.Ct.Prac.R. IV(4)(C),

{¶5} "IT IS ORDERED by the court, sua sponte, that appellant show cause within ten days of the date of this entry why this court should not proceed to consider the jurisdictional memoranda in this appeal pursuant to S.Ct.Prac.R. III(6)."

{¶6} On May 12, 2005, the Ohio Supreme Court dismissed Appellant's appeal with the following entry:

{¶7} "This cause is pending before the court as a discretionary appeal. Upon consideration of appellant's application for dismissal,

{¶8} "IT IS ORDERED by the court that the application for dismissal be, and hereby is, granted.

{¶9} "ACCORDINGLY, IT IS FURTHER ORDERED by the court that this cause be, and hereby is, dismissed."

{¶10} Based on the action of the Ohio Supreme Court, Appellant's motion for reconsideration and motion to certify a conflict are now moot and it is unnecessary for us to issue any further opinion. *Carter v. Kilburn* (Aug. 7, 1989), 12th Dist. No. CA88-03-020. As a result, the motions are denied.

CHERYL L. WAITE, JUDGE

GENE DONOFRIO, PRESIDING JUDGE

JOSEPH J. VUKOVICH, JUDGE