

[Cite as *State v. Thompson*, 2006-Ohio-483.]

IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR CLARK COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO	:	
Plaintiff-Appellee	:	C.A. CASE NO. 2004 CA 62
v.	:	T.C. NO. 04 CR 0164
LARESA THOMPSON	:	(Criminal Appeal from
Defendant-Appellant	:	Common Pleas Court)
	:	

.....

OPINION

Rendered on the 3rd day of February, 2006.

.....

STEPHEN A. SCHUMAKER, Prosecuting Attorney, 50 E. Columbia Street, P. O. Box 1608, Springfield, Ohio 45502
Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellee

STEPHEN D. MARLOWE, Atty. Reg. No. 0072100, 202 E. Central Ave., Miamisburg, Ohio 45342
Attorney for Defendant-Appellant

.....

WOLFF, J.

{¶ 1} Laresa Thompson was indicted for kidnapping, a first degree felony. After a trial by jury, Thompson was found guilty as charged and the trial court sentenced her to five years imprisonment. Thompson appealed and counsel was appointed to prosecute the appeal. On October 11, 2005, appointed appellate counsel filed a brief

pursuant to *Anders v. California* (1967), 386 U.S. 738, wherein he indicated that after review of the record he could find no arguably meritorious issues to present on appeal. On October 18, 2005, we informed Thompson by decision and entry that her counsel had filed an *Anders* brief and the significance of an *Anders* brief. We provided Thompson with sixty days from October 18, 2005 to present any pro se assignments of error. To date, Thompson has filed nothing with this court. Pursuant to our responsibilities under *Anders*, we have done an independent review of the entire record and having done so we conclude, as did appointed appellate counsel, that there are no arguably meritorious issues to be presented to this court.

{¶ 2} Accordingly, the judgment entered below will be affirmed.

.....

GRADY, P.J. and DONOVAN, J., concur.

Copies mailed to:

Stephen A. Schumaker
 Stephen D. Marlowe
 Hon. Richard J. O'Neill