IN THE COURT OF APPEALS FOR GREENE COUNTY, OHIO

STATE OF OHIO :

Plaintiff-Appellant : C.A. CASE NO. 2005 CA 62

v. : T.C. NO. 2005 CR 151

MICHAEL ROBINSON : (Criminal Appeal from

Common Pleas Court)

Defendant-Appellee :

:

.

OPINION

Rendered on the 19th day of May, 2006.

.

ELIZABETH A. ELLIS, Atty. Reg. No.0074332, Assistant Prosecutor, 61 Greene St., Xenia, Ohio 45385

Attorney for Plaintiff-Appellant

PATRICK J. CONBOY II, Atty. Reg. No. 0070073, 5613 Brandt Pike, Huber Heights, Ohio 45424 Attorney for Defendant-Appellee

.

WOLFF, J.

{¶1} Michael Robinson, Jr., was indicted with one count of domestic violence, a felony of the fourth degree, arising out of the assault of his live-in girlfriend on December 19, 2004. Robinson moved to dismiss the charge, arguing that it violated the so-called Defense of Marriage amendment to the Ohio Constitution, Article XV, Section 11. On June 9, 2005, the court dismissed the charge.

The state appeals.

 $\{\P 2\}$ The state's sole assignment of error is as follows:

{¶3} "THE TRIAL COURT ERRED AS A MATTER OF LAW WHEN IT DECLARED

REVISED CODE SECTION 2919.25 UNCONSTITUTIONAL AS IT RELATES TO

COHABITATING PARTNERS, PURSUANT TO ARTICLE 15, SECTION 11 OF THE OHIO

CONSTITUTION."

¶4} The state claims that the trial court erred when it found R.C. 2919.25 unconstitutional

as it applies to persons living as spouses.

{¶5} The record in this case indicates that Robinson and the victim had been living

together off and on for approximately one year at the time of the assault. There is no suggestion that

they were married, had been married, or had any children together. Accordingly, based on the

record, the victim was a "person living as a spouse" under R.C. 2919.25.

 $\{\P6\}$ This court has recently determined that, to the extent that R.C. 2919.25 extended its

protection to "a person living as a spouse," it was rendered unconstitutional by the Defense of

Marriage amendment, which became effective on December 2, 2004. State v. Ward, Greene App.

No. 2005-CA-75, 2006-Ohio-1407. For the reasons set forth in Ward, the state's argument is

without merit.

 $\{\P7\}$ The assignment of error is overruled.

 $\{\P8\}$ The judgment of the trial court will be affirmed.

.

FAIN, J. and VALEN, J., concur.

(Hon. Anthony Valen retired from the Twelfth District Court of Appeals sitting by assignment of the

Chief Justice of the Supreme Court of Ohio).

Copies mailed to:

Elizabeth A. Ellis Patrick J. Conboy II Hon. Stephen A. Wolaver